

---

Subject: Re: Re: Network virtualization/isolation  
Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Sat, 09 Dec 2006 06:35:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 10:13:48PM -0800, Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Sat, 9 Dec 2006 04:50:02 +0100

> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> wrote:

>

> > On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 12:57:49PM -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> > > Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> > >

> > > > But, ok, it is not the real point to argue so much imho

> > > > and waste our time instead of doing things.

> >

> > > > well, IMHO better talk (and think) first, then implement

> > > > something ... not the other way round, and then start

> > > > fixing up the mess ...

> > >

> > > Well we need a bit of both.

> >

> > hmm, are 'we' in a hurry here?

> >

> > until recently, 'Linux' (mainline) didn't even want

> > to hear about OS Level virtualization, now there

> > is a rush to quickly get 'something' in, not knowing

> > or caring if it is usable at all?

>

> It's actually happening quite gradually and carefully.

hmm, I must have missed a testing phase for the  
IPC namespace then, not that I think it is broken  
(well, maybe it is, we do not know yet)

> > I think there are a lot of 'potential users' for

> > this kind of virtualization, and so 'we' can test

> > almost all aspects outside of mainline, and once

> > we know the stuff works as expected, then we can

> > integrate it ...

> >

> > the UTS namespace was something 'we all' had already

> > implemented in this (or a very similar) way, and in

> > one or two iterations, it should actually work as

> > expected. nevertheless, it was one of the simplest

> > spaces ...

> >

> > we do not yet know the details for the IPC namespace,

> > as IPC is not that easy to check as UTS, and 'we'

> > haven't gotten real world feedback on that yet ...

>  
> We are very dependent upon all stakeholders including yourself  
> to review, test and comment upon this infrastructure as it is  
> proposed and merged. If something is proposed which will not  
> suit your requirements then it is important that we hear about  
> it, in detail, at the earliest possible time.

okay, good to hear that I'm still considered a stakeholder

will try to focus the feedback and cc as many folks  
as possible, as it seems that some feedback is lost  
on the way upstream ...

best,  
Herbert

> Thanks.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.osdl.org  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---