
Subject: Re: FS 'namespace'

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Fri, 08 Dec 2006 16:41:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Dec 08, 2006 at 08:40:59AM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> Quoting Herbert Poetzl (herbert@13thfloor.at):

> >

> > just a question: why do we keep the fs (struct_fs)

> > outside of nsproxy?

>

> Good question. So we have a mounts namespace, and you

> would consider the per-process fs root to be an fs

> namespace? Practically, it would mean that chroot

> and pivot_mount would create a new nsproxy, but i guess

> that's not a real problem.

>

> It might force us to stop our current lazy checks for

> 'current->nsproxy==&init_nsproxy', since the pivot_mount

> in early boot would make that not true.

well, IMHO those are broken anyway, I can imagine
a number of applications using private namespaces
(the old ones) without running in 'containers'

best,

Herbert

> -serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.osdl.org

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
