

---

Subject: RE: Network virtualization/isolation

Posted by [Leonid Grossman](#) on Sat, 25 Nov 2006 22:17:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Eric W. Biederman [mailto:ebiederm@xmission.com]

> Sent: Saturday, November 25, 2006 11:27 AM

> To: Leonid Grossman

> Cc: hadi@cyberus.ca; Daniel Lezcano; Dmitry Mishin; Stephen

> Hemminger; netdev@vger.kernel.org; Linux Containers

> Subject: Re: Network virtualization/isolation

>

> "Leonid Grossman" <Leonid.Grossman@neterion.com> writes:

>

> >

> >

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: netdev-owner@vger.kernel.org

> > [mailto:netdev-owner@vger.kernel.org] On Behalf Of Eric W.

> > Biederman

> >

> > Then the question is how do we reduce the overhead when we  
> don't have

> > enough physical network interfaces to go around.

> > My feeling is that we could push the work to the network  
> adapters and

> > allow single physical network adapters to support multiple network

> > interfaces, each with a different link-layer address. At

> > which point

> > the overhead is nearly nothing and newer network adapters

> > may start

> > implementing enough filtering in hardware to do all of the  
> work for

> > us.

> >

> > Correct, to a degree.

> > There will be always a limit on the number of physical

> > "channels" that

> > a NIC can support, while keeping these channels fully

> > independent and

> > protected at the hw level.

> > So, you will probably still need to implement the sw path, with the

> > assumption that some containers (that care about

> > performance) will get

> > a separate NIC interface and avoid the overhead, and other

> > containers

> > will have to use the sw path.

> > There are some multi-channel NICs shipping today so it would be

> > possible to see the overhead between the two options (I suspect it  
> > will be quite noticeable), but for a general idea about what work  
> > could be pushed down to network adapters in the near future you can  
> > look at the [pcsig.com](http://pcsig.com) I/O Virtualization Workgroup.  
> > Once the single root I/O Virtualization spec is completed, it is  
> > likely to be supported by several NIC vendors to provide multiple  
> > network interfaces on a single NIC that you are looking for.  
>  
> Pushing it all of the way into the hardware is an  
> optimization, that while great is likely not necessary.  
> Simply doing a table lookup by link-level address and  
> selecting between several network interfaces is enough to  
> ensure we only traverse the network stack once.  
>  
> To keep overhead down in the container case I don't need the  
> hardware support to be so good you can do kernel bypass and  
> still trust that everything is safe. I simply a fast  
> link-level address to container mapping. We already look at  
> the link-level address on every packet received so that  
> should not generate any extra cache misses.

I did not mean kernel bypass, just L2 hw channels that for  
all practical purposes act as separate NICs -  
different MAC addresses, no blocking, independent reset, etc.

>  
> In the worst case I might need someone to go as far as the  
> Grand Unified Lookup to remove all of the overheads. Except  
> for distributing the work load more evenly across the machine  
> with separate interrupts and the like I see no need for  
> separate hardware channels to make things go fast for my needs.  
>  
> Despite the title of this thread there is no virtualization  
> or emulation of the hardware involved. Just enhancements to  
> the existing hardware abstractions.

Right, I was just trying to say that IOV support (likely, from multiple  
vendors since  
virtualization is expected to be widely used) would provide an option to  
export multiple  
independent L2 interfaces from a single NIC - even if only a subset of  
IOV functionality would be used in this case.

>  
> Eric  
>

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.osdl.org  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---