

---

Subject: Re: task\_session() and task->signal->session  
Posted by [Sukadev Bhattiprolu](#) on Fri, 17 Nov 2006 18:15:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks.

Eric W. Biederman [ebiederm@xmission.com] wrote:  
| Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com> writes:

|  
| > I am trying to understand the diff between task\_session() and  
| > task->signal-session and why we have the two notions of session.  
| > Are we just phasing out one of them ?

| Yes.

I guess we are phasing out task->signal->session.

|  
| > In general each process has a single session id. The only exception  
| > being a process that unshares its pid ns. Such process will have  
| > exactly two session ids, one for each pid ns. No ?

| Each process has a single session.

| That session can potentially have a different session id in each  
| pid namespace.

both of us used the word "have" above. But do we actually store (in some  
data structure) the multiple session ids ? Or will the following work:

Each task refers to another task (possibly itself) as its session  
leader (we find this using task\_session()).

The session leader, like any other task, has multiple process ids,  
one in each namespace.

So to find the session id of a task, we find its session leader  
and find the appropriate process id of the session leader

i.e we don't actually store the multiple sids a task

|  
| So for internal tests we want to compare the struct pid pointers  
| instead of the numbers visible to user space.

| Eric

---

Containers mailing list

