

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/2] user namespace [try #2]  
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 11:48:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

> Eric W. Biederman wrote:  
>> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:  
>>  
>>  
>> In addition I don't have problems with incremental progress  
>> if we implement in such a way that we don't enable the ability  
>> to create a new uid namespace to user space before we are certain  
>> it is safe.  
>>  
>> All of the code could be present and we just have a one line check  
>> that denied requests to create a new namespace.  
>  
> OK. I'll see how this is possible. I guess the simplest way for the moment  
> is to remove the unshare() of the user\_namespace.

That is largely what I was thinking. Possibly even leaving the code there but denying all requests with the CLONE\_NEWUSERNS bit set.

> So, shall we follow the 'grep' method for uids like we are doing for pids  
> and thread ? This is going to be painful but I guess there is no simple  
> solution ...

I can't think of a better one. Although hopefully since security is involved those checks are in a little better shape, and a little less distributed throughout the kernel.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---