

---

Subject: Re: Re: [patch 05/10] add "permit user mounts in new namespace" clone flag

Posted by [Ram Pai](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 17:14:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 2007-04-16 at 11:56 +0200, Miklos Szeredi wrote:

> > > Also for bind-mount and remount operations the flag has to be propagated  
> > > down its propagation tree. Otherwise a unprivileged mount in a shared  
> > > mount wont get reflected in its peers and slaves, leading to unidentical  
> > > shared-subtrees.

> > >

> > > That's an interesting question. Do we want shared mounts to be  
> > > totally identical, including mnt\_flags? It doesn't look as if  
> > > do\_remount() guarantees that currently.

> >

> > Depends on the semantics of each of the flags. Some flags like of the  
> > read/write flag, would not interfere with the propagation semantics  
> > AFAICT. But this one certainly seems to interfere.

>

> That depends. Current patches check the "unprivileged submounts  
> allowed under this mount" flag only on the requested mount and not on  
> the propagated mounts. Do you see a problem with this?

Don't see a problem if the flag is propagated to all peers and slave  
mounts.

If not, I see a problem. What if the propagated mount has its flag set  
to not do un-privileged mounts, whereas the requested mount has it  
allowed?

RP

>

> Miklos

---