
Subject: Re: utrace regressions (was: -mm merge plans for 2.6.21)

Posted by [adobriyan](#) on Tue, 13 Feb 2007 15:28:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Feb 12, 2007 at 01:36:34PM -0800, Roland McGrath wrote:

> > We're aware of two regressions compared to mainline if ptrace is utrace:

>

> Thanks very much for bringing these to my attention.

>

> > 1) zero holes for PTRACE_PEEKUSR vanished.

>

> I've fixed this in the current patches.

Looking at mainline x86_64 ptrace code I think hole for u_debugreg[4]
and [5] is also needed.

--- a/arch/x86_64/kernel/ptrace.c

+++ b/arch/x86_64/kernel/ptrace.c

@@ -687,6 +687,8 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(utrace_x86_64_native);

#ifdef CONFIG_PTRACE

static const struct ptrace_layout_segment x86_64_uarea[] = {

 {0, sizeof(struct user_regs_struct), 0, 0},

+ {sizeof(struct user_regs_struct),

+ offsetof(struct user, u_debugreg[0]), -1, 0},

 {offsetof(struct user, u_debugreg[0]),

 offsetof(struct user, u_debugreg[4]), 3, 0},

 {offsetof(struct user, u_debugreg[6]),