Hi,

When physical routers are used, they allow VRF based forwarding. They can be made of Linux namespace.

However, when a container is being used to virtualize routers, it prevents from running within each containers some VRF based packet processing. For instance, assume that you have 10 containers running MP-BGP into each containers; using MP-BGP, each container can manage 1 to few thousands of VRF (routing table).

With the current assumptions, assuming than MP-BGP uses namespace to index the routing table, then it cannot support the combination of VRF forwarding within each container/namespace.

A workaround can be to add a VRF attribute into each namespace so any packet processing can be prefixed by an VR index (routing table, IPsec SAD/SPD lookup, etc.).

Have you ever considered any other options like adding a VRF index into each namespace?

Thank you,
  Vincent

--
Multicore Packet Processing Forum:
  http:// multicorepacketprocessing.com

Subject: Re: VRF within containers
Posted by Vincent JARDIN on Mon, 22 Oct 2012 20:34:25 GMT

As anyone any concerns about VRF exclusivity versus containers? Or should I send it on devel@ mailing list instead?

Thank you,
  Vincent
On 18/10/2012 10:31, Vincent JARDIN wrote:
> Hi,
> >
> When physical routers are used, they allow VRF based forwarding. They
> can be made of Linux namespace.
>
> > However, when a container is being used to virtualize routers, it
> > prevents from running within each containers some VRF based packet
> > processing. For instance, assume that you have 10 containers running
> > MP-BGP into each containers; using MP-BGP, each container can manage 1
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> > packet processing can be prefixed by an VR index (routing table, IPsec
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> > each namespace?