

---

Subject: Problems on AVX &quot;Sandy Bridge&quot; hardware

Posted by [MK](#) on Mon, 18 Jul 2011 14:36:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have a small VPS I use for testing and personal projects which is run under openVZ by a commercial provider. About 3 weeks ago, the provider migrated to using new Xeon "Sandy Bridge" processors. I do a lot of things with apache mod\_perl, and at that point, most of it broke; the apache worker exits after receiving SIGILL, "Illegal instruction".

That is not a perl error, and a very simple test case confirmed this. So I ran apache in gdb and have a backtrace pasted here:

<http://pastebin.com/16SrEzHM>

This backtrace is nearly identical to one from a bug reported last year for glibc 2.12 on AVX enabled hardware:

[http://sourceware.org/bugzilla/show\\_bug.cgi?format=multiple&id=12113](http://sourceware.org/bugzilla/show_bug.cgi?format=multiple&id=12113)

Wikipedia claims that the 2011 Xeon "Sandy Bridge" is the only currently available processor using these new extensions:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced\\_Vector\\_Extensions#CPUs\\_with\\_AVX](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Vector_Extensions#CPUs_with_AVX)

Apparently glibc 2.13, gcc 4.6, and kernel 2.6.30 support AVX. I imagine this is generally true as I could find no reports of any problem besides the glibc 2.12 issue from last year. There is a "small reproducer" .zip attached to that report, and it does reproduce on the VPS, which is running Fedora 14, glibc 2.13 built with gcc 4.5.1, and kernel 2.6.32-238.

So I reported this as a glibc bug, but with fedora:

[https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show\\_bug.cgi?id=720176](https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=720176)

Andreas Schwab points out there that according to my dump of /proc/cpuinfo (in the report), the processor *is not* AVX enabled. However, it definitely is a "Sandy Bridge" and the model number from cpuinfo, "Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E31230", is according to Intel an AVX processor:

<http://ark.intel.com/Product.aspx?id=52271>

This lead me to ask about the issue on the linux kernel devel mailing list. Responses there claim that this is likely due to the virtual containerization (ie, openVZ) screwing up the cpu flags.

Could that be due to some misconfiguration by the vendor? Right now, they claim no one else has reported such a problem, but have no idea how many (if any) of their other clients are running mod\_perl, and the only other software I have noticed which triggers the problem is the "small reproducer" (qv.) from the original glibc 2.12 bugzilla report on sourceware.org.

Or could this be a problem intrinsic to openVZ?

Sincerely, Mark Eriksen

--

"Enthusiasm is not the enemy of the intellect." (said of Irving Howe)

"The angel of history[...]is turned toward the past." (Walter Benjamin)

---