Subject: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:20:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi All,

I'd like to resurrect our discussion about network namespaces.
In our previous discussions it appeared that we have rather polar concepts
which seemed hard to reconcile.
Now I have an idea how to look at all discussed concepts to enable everyone's
usage scenario.

1. The most straightforward concept is complete separation of namespaces,
   covering device list, routing tables, netfilter tables, socket hashes, and
   everything else.

   On input path, each packet is tagged with namespace right from the
   place where it appears from a device, and is processed by each layer
   in the context of this namespace.
   Non-root namespaces communicate with the outside world in two ways: by
   owning hardware devices, or receiving packets forwarded them by their parent
   namespace via pass-through device.

   This complete separation of namespaces is very useful for at least two
   purposes:
   - allowing users to create and manage by their own various tunnels and
     VPNs, and
   - enabling easier and more straightforward live migration of groups of
     processes with their environment.

2. People expressed concerns that complete separation of namespaces
   may introduce an undesired overhead in certain usage scenarios.
   The overhead comes from packets traversing input path, then output path,
   then input path again in the destination namespace if root namespace
   acts as a router.

   So, we may introduce short-cuts, when input packet starts to be processes
   in one namespace, but changes it at some upper layer.
   The places where packet can change namespace are, for example:
   routing, post-routing netfilter hook, or even lookup in socket hash.

   The cleanest example among them is post-routing netfilter hook.
   Tagging of input packets there means that the packets is checked against
   root namespace's routing table, found to be local, and go directly to
   the socket hash lookup in the destination namespace.
   In this scheme the ability to change routing tables or netfilter rules on
   a per-namespace basis is traded for lower overhead.

All other optimized schemes where input packets do not travel
input-output-input paths in general case may be viewed as short-cuts in
scheme (1). The remaining question is which exactly short-cuts make most
sense, and how to make them consistent from the interface point of view.

My current idea is to reach some agreement on the basic concept, review
patches, and then move on to implementing feasible short-cuts.

Opinions?

Next in this thread are patches introducing namespaces to device list,
IPv4 routing, and socket hashes, and a pass-through device.
Patches are against 2.6.18-rc4-mm1.

Best regards,

Andrey

Subject: [PATCH 1/9] network namespaces: core and device list
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CONFIG_NET_NS and net_namespace structure are introduced.
List of network devices is made per-namespace.
Each namespace gets its own loopback device.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>
---
drivers/net/loopback.c | 69 ++++++++++++---------
include/linux/init_task.h | 9 ++
include/linux/net_ns.h | 82 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++
include/linux/netdevice.h | 13 +++
include/linux/nsproxy.h | 3
include/linux/sched.h | 3
kernel/nsproxy.c | 14 ++++
net/Kconfig | 7 ++
net/core/dev.c | 150 ++--
net/core/net-sysfs.c | 24 +++++++
net/ipv4/devinet.c | 2
net/ipv6/addrconf.c | 2
net/ipv6/route.c | 9 +-
13 files changed, 349 insertions(+), 38 deletions(-)

--- ./drivers/net/loopback.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:02:18 2006
+++ ./drivers/net/loopback.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:20 2006
@@ -196,42 +196,55 @@ static struct ethtool_ops loopback_ethto
.set_tso = ethtool_op_set_tso,

```
 };

-struct net_device loopback_dev = {
- .name    = "lo",
- .mtu   = (16 * 1024) + 20 + 20 + 12,
- .hard_start_xmit = loopback_xmit,
- .hard_header  = eth_header,
- .hard_header_cache = eth_header_cache,
- .header_cache_update = eth_header_cache_update,
- .hard_header_len = ETH_HLEN, /* 14 */
- .addr_len  = ETH_ALEN, /* 6 */
- .tx_queue_len  = 0,
- .type   = ARPHRD_LOOPBACK, /* 0x0001*/
- .rebuild_header  = eth_rebuild_header,
- .flags   = IFF_LOOPBACK,
- .features   = NETIF_F_SG | NETIF_F_FRAGLIST
+struct net_device loopback_dev_static;
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(loopback_dev_static);
+
+void loopback_dev_dtor(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ if (dev->priv) {
+  kfree(dev->priv);
+  dev->priv = NULL;
+ }
+ free_netdev(dev);
+}
+
+void loopback_dev_ctor(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ struct net_device_stats *stats;
+
+ memset(dev, 0, sizeof(*dev));
+ strcpy(dev->name, "lo");
+ dev->mtu  = (16 * 1024) + 20 + 20 + 12;
+ dev->hard_start_xmit = loopback_xmit;
+ dev->hard_header = eth_header;
+ dev->hard_header_cache = eth_header_cache;
+ dev->header_cache_update = eth_header_cache_update;
+ dev->hard_header_len = ETH_HLEN; /* 14 */
+ dev->addr_len  = ETH_ALEN; /* 6 */
+ dev->tx_queue_len = 0;
+ dev->type  = ARPHRD_LOOPBACK; /* 0x0001*/
+ dev->rebuild_header = eth_rebuild_header;
+ dev->flags  = IFF_LOOPBACK;
+ dev->features   = NETIF_F_SG | NETIF_F_FRAGLIST
 #ifdef LOOPBACK_TSO
       | NETIF_F_TSO
```

```
 #endif
       | NETIF_F_NO_CSUM | NETIF_F_HIGHDMA
-      | NETIF_F_LLTX,
- .ethtool_ops  = &loopback_ethtool_ops,
-};
-
-/* Setup and register the loopback device. */
-int __init loopback_init(void)
-{
- struct net_device_stats *stats;
+      | NETIF_F_LLTX;
+ dev->ethtool_ops = &loopback_ethtool_ops;

  /* Can survive without statistics */
  stats = kmalloc(sizeof(struct net_device_stats), GFP_KERNEL);
  if (stats) {
   memset(stats, 0, sizeof(struct net_device_stats));
-  loopback_dev.priv = stats;
-  loopback_dev.get_stats = &get_stats;
+  dev->priv = stats;
+  dev->get_stats = &get_stats;
  }
-
- return register_netdev(&loopback_dev);
-};
+}

-EXPORT_SYMBOL(loopback_dev);
+/* Setup and register the loopback device. */
+int __init loopback_init(void)
+{
+ loopback_dev_ctor(&loopback_dev_static);
+ return register_netdev(&loopback_dev_static);
+};
--- ./include/linux/init_task.h.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/linux/init_task.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -87,6 +87,14 @@ extern struct nsproxy init_nsproxy;

 extern struct group_info init_groups;

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+extern struct net_namespace init_net_ns;
+#define INIT_NET_NS \
+ .net_context = &init_net_ns,
+#else
+#define INIT_NET_NS
+#endif
+
```

```
 /*
  *  INIT_TASK is used to set up the first task table, touch at
  * your own risk!. Base=0, limit=0x1fffff (=2MB)
@@ -129,6 +137,7 @@ extern struct group_info init_groups;
  .signal  = &init_signals,    \
  .sighand = &init_sighand,    \
  .nsproxy = &init_nsproxy,    \
+ INIT_NET_NS       \
  .pending = {      \
   .list = LIST_HEAD_INIT(tsk.pending.list),  \
   .signal = {{0}}},     \
--- ./include/linux/net_ns.h.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
+++ ./include/linux/net_ns.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -0,0 +1,82 @@
+/*
+ * Copyright (C) 2006  SWsoft
+ */
+#ifndef __LINUX_NET_NS__
+#define __LINUX_NET_NS__
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+
+#include <asm/atomic.h>
+#include <linux/list.h>
+#include <linux/workqueue.h>
+
+struct net_namespace {
+ atomic_t  active_ref, use_ref;
+ struct net_device *dev_base_p, **dev_tail_p;
+ struct net_device *loopback;
+ unsigned int  hash;
+ struct work_struct destroy_work;
+};
+
+static inline struct net_namespace *get_net_ns(struct net_namespace *ns)
+{
+ atomic_inc(&ns->active_ref);
+ return ns;
+}
+
+extern void net_ns_stop(struct net_namespace *ns);
+static inline void put_net_ns(struct net_namespace *ns)
+{
+ if (atomic_dec_and_test(&ns->active_ref))
+  net_ns_stop(ns);
+}
+
+extern struct net_namespace init_net_ns;
```

```
+#define current_net_ns  (current->net_context)
+
+#define push_net_ns(to, orig) do { \
+     struct task_struct *__cur; \
+     __cur = current; \
+     orig = __cur->net_context; \
+     __cur->net_context = to; \
+    } while (0)
+#define pop_net_ns(orig) do { \
+     current->net_context = orig; \
+    } while (0)
+#define switch_net_ns(to) do { \
+     current->net_context = to; \
+    } while (0)
+
+#define net_ns_match(target, context) ((target) == (context))
+#define net_ns_same(ns1, ns2) ((ns1) == (ns2))
+
+#define net_ns_hash(ns)  ((ns)->hash)
+
+#else /* CONFIG_NET_NS */
+
+struct net_namespace;
+
+#define get_net_ns(x)  NULL
+#define put_net_ns(x)  ((void)0)
+
+#define current_net_ns  NULL
+
+#define push_net_ns(to, orig) do { \
+     orig = NULL; \
+    } while (0)
+#define pop_net_ns(orig) do { \
+     (void) orig; \
+    } while (0)
+#define switch_net_ns(to) do { \
+    } while (0)
+
+#define net_ns_match(target, context) ((void)(context), 1)
+#define net_ns_same(ns1, ns2) 1
+
+#define net_ns_hash(ns)  0
+
+#endif /* CONFIG_NET_NS */
+
+#define current_net_hash net_ns_hash(current_net_ns)
+
+#endif /* __LINUX_NET_NS__ */
```

```
--- ./include/linux/netdevice.h.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/linux/netdevice.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -374,6 +374,10 @@ struct net_device
  int   promiscuity;
  int   allmulti;

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *net_ns;
+#endif
+

  /* Protocol specific pointers */

@@ -556,9 +560,16 @@ struct packet_type {

 #include <linux/interrupt.h>
 #include <linux/notifier.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>

-extern struct net_device  loopback_dev;  /* The loopback */
+extern struct net_device  loopback_dev_static;
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+#define loopback_dev   loopback_dev_static /* The loopback */
 extern struct net_device  *dev_base;  /* All devices */
+#else
+#define loopback_dev   (*current_net_ns->loopback)
+#define dev_base   (current_net_ns->dev_base_p)
+#endif
 extern rwlock_t    dev_base_lock;  /* Device list lock */

 extern int    netdev_boot_setup_check(struct net_device *dev);
--- ./include/linux/nsproxy.h.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/linux/nsproxy.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -33,6 +33,7 @@ struct nsproxy *dup_namespaces(struct ns
 int copy_namespaces(int flags, struct task_struct *tsk);
 void get_task_namespaces(struct task_struct *tsk);
 void free_nsproxy(struct nsproxy *ns);
+void release_net_context(struct task_struct *tsk);

 static inline void put_nsproxy(struct nsproxy *ns)
 {
@@ -48,5 +49,7 @@ static inline void exit_task_namespaces(
   put_nsproxy(ns);
   p->nsproxy = NULL;
  }
+ release_net_context(p);
 }
+
```

```
 #endif
--- ./include/linux/sched.h.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/linux/sched.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -917,6 +917,9 @@ struct task_struct {
  struct files_struct *files;
 /* namespaces */
  struct nsproxy *nsproxy;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *net_context;
+#endif
 /* signal handlers */
  struct signal_struct *signal;
  struct sighand_struct *sighand;
--- ./kernel/nsproxy.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
+++ ./kernel/nsproxy.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -16,6 +16,7 @@
 #include <linux/module.h>
 #include <linux/version.h>
 #include <linux/nsproxy.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>
 #include <linux/namespace.h>
 #include <linux/utsname.h>

@@ -84,6 +85,7 @@ int copy_namespaces(int flags, struct ta
   return 0;

  get_nsproxy(old_ns);
+ (void) get_net_ns(tsk->net_context); /* for pointer copied by memcpy */

  if (!(flags & (CLONE_NEWNS | CLONE_NEWUTS | CLONE_NEWIPC)))
   return 0;
@@ -134,3 +136,15 @@ void free_nsproxy(struct nsproxy *ns)
    put_ipc_ns(ns->ipc_ns);
   kfree(ns);
 }
+
+void release_net_context(struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *net_ns;
+
+ net_ns = tsk->net_context;
+ /* do not get refcounter here, nobody can put it later */
+ tsk->net_context = &init_net_ns;
+ put_net_ns(net_ns);
+#endif
+}
--- ./net/Kconfig.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
```

```
+++ ./net/Kconfig Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -66,6 +66,13 @@ source "net/ipv6/Kconfig"

 endif # if INET

+config NET_NS
+ bool "Network Namespaces"
+ help
+   This option enables multiple independent network namespaces,
+   each having own network devices, IP addresses, routes, and so on.
+   If unsure, answer N.
+
 config NETWORK_SECMARK
  bool "Security Marking"
  help
--- ./net/core/dev.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
+++ ./net/core/dev.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -90,6 +90,7 @@
 #include <linux/if_ether.h>
 #include <linux/netdevice.h>
 #include <linux/etherdevice.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>
 #include <linux/notifier.h>
 #include <linux/skbuff.h>
 #include <net/sock.h>
@@ -174,11 +175,15 @@ static spinlock_t net_dma_event_lock;
  * unregister_netdevice(), which must be called with the rtnl
  * semaphore held.
  */
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
 struct net_device *dev_base;
 static struct net_device **dev_tail = &dev_base;
-DEFINE_RWLOCK(dev_base_lock);
-
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(dev_base);
+#else
+#define dev_tail   (current_net_ns->dev_tail_p)
+#endif
+
+DEFINE_RWLOCK(dev_base_lock);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(dev_base_lock);

 #define NETDEV_HASHBITS 8
@@ -188,6 +193,7 @@ static struct hlist_head dev_index_head[
 static inline struct hlist_head *dev_name_hash(const char *name)
 {
  unsigned hash = full_name_hash(name, strnlen(name, IFNAMSIZ));
+ hash ^= current_net_hash;
```

```
  return &dev_name_head[hash & ((1<<NETDEV_HASHBITS)-1)];
 }

@@ -212,10 +218,12 @@ DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct softnet_data, soft
 extern int netdev_sysfs_init(void);
 extern int netdev_register_sysfs(struct net_device *);
 extern void netdev_unregister_sysfs(struct net_device *);
+extern int netdev_rename_sysfs(struct net_device *);
 #else
 #define netdev_sysfs_init()   (0)
 #define netdev_register_sysfs(dev) (0)
 #define netdev_unregister_sysfs(dev) do { } while(0)
+#define netdev_rename_sysfs(dev) (0)
 #endif


@@ -475,10 +483,13 @@ __setup("netdev=", netdev_boot_setup);
 struct net_device *__dev_get_by_name(const char *name)
 {
  struct hlist_node *p;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  hlist_for_each(p, dev_name_hash(name)) {
   struct net_device *dev
    = hlist_entry(p, struct net_device, name_hlist);
+  if (!net_ns_match(dev->net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
   if (!strncmp(dev->name, name, IFNAMSIZ))
    return dev;
  }
@@ -742,7 +753,7 @@ int dev_change_name(struct net_device *d
  else
   strlcpy(dev->name, newname, IFNAMSIZ);

- err = class_device_rename(&dev->class_dev, dev->name);
+ err = netdev_rename_sysfs(dev);
  if (!err) {
   hlist_del(&dev->name_hlist);
   hlist_add_head(&dev->name_hlist, dev_name_hash(dev->name));
@@ -1683,7 +1694,10 @@ static void net_tx_action(struct softirq
    clear_bit(__LINK_STATE_SCHED, &dev->state);

    if (spin_trylock(&dev->queue_lock)) {
+    struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
+    push_net_ns(dev->net_ns, orig_net_ns);
     qdisc_run(dev);
+    pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
     spin_unlock(&dev->queue_lock);
```

```
    } else {
     netif_schedule(dev);
@@ -1770,6 +1784,7 @@ int netif_receive_skb(struct sk_buff *sk
 {
  struct packet_type *ptype, *pt_prev;
  struct net_device *orig_dev;
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
  int ret = NET_RX_DROP;
  unsigned short type;

@@ -1788,6 +1803,8 @@ int netif_receive_skb(struct sk_buff *sk
  if (!orig_dev)
   return NET_RX_DROP;

+ push_net_ns(skb->dev->net_ns, orig_net_ns);
+
  __get_cpu_var(netdev_rx_stat).total++;

  skb->h.raw = skb->nh.raw = skb->data;
@@ -1858,6 +1875,7 @@ ncls:

 out:
  rcu_read_unlock();
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
  return ret;
 }

@@ -2885,6 +2903,7 @@ int register_netdevice(struct net_device
 {
  struct hlist_head *head;
  struct hlist_node *p;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  int ret;

  BUG_ON(dev_boot_phase);
@@ -2902,9 +2921,19 @@ int register_netdevice(struct net_device
  spin_lock_init(&dev->ingress_lock);
 #endif

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ dev->net_ns = ns;
+ /*
+  * loopback device doesn't hold active reference: it doesn't prevent
+  * stopping of net_namespace
+  */
+ if (dev != ns->loopback)
+  get_net_ns(ns);
+#endif
```

```
+
  ret = alloc_divert_blk(dev);
  if (ret)
-  goto out;
+  goto out_divert;

  dev->iflink = -1;

@@ -2932,6 +2961,8 @@ int register_netdevice(struct net_device
  hlist_for_each(p, head) {
   struct net_device *d
    = hlist_entry(p, struct net_device, name_hlist);
+  if (!net_ns_match(d->net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
   if (!strncmp(d->name, dev->name, IFNAMSIZ)) {
    ret = -EEXIST;
     goto out_err;
@@ -3007,6 +3038,12 @@ out:
  return ret;
 out_err:
  free_divert_blk(dev);
+out_divert:
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ if (dev != ns->loopback)
+  put_net_ns(ns);
+ dev->net_ns = NULL;
+#endif
  goto out;
 }

@@ -3132,9 +3169,11 @@ static DEFINE_MUTEX(net_todo_run_mutex);
 void netdev_run_todo(void)
 {
  struct list_head list;
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;

  /* Need to guard against multiple cpu's getting out of order. */
  mutex_lock(&net_todo_run_mutex);
+ push_net_ns(current_net_ns, orig_net_ns);

  /* Not safe to do outside the semaphore.  We must not return
   * until all unregister events invoked by the local processor
@@ -3161,6 +3200,7 @@ void netdev_run_todo(void)
    continue;
   }

+  switch_net_ns(dev->net_ns);
   netdev_unregister_sysfs(dev);
```

```
   dev->reg_state = NETREG_UNREGISTERED;

@@ -3180,6 +3220,7 @@ void netdev_run_todo(void)
  }

 out:
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
  mutex_unlock(&net_todo_run_mutex);
 }

@@ -3234,6 +3275,16 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL(alloc_netdev);
  */
 void free_netdev(struct net_device *dev)
 {
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *ns;
+
+ ns = dev->net_ns;
+ if (ns != NULL) {
+  if (dev != ns->loopback)
+   put_net_ns(ns);
+  dev->net_ns = NULL;
+ }
+#endif
 #ifdef CONFIG_SYSFS
  /*  Compatibility with error handling in drivers */
  if (dev->reg_state == NETREG_UNINITIALIZED) {
@@ -3244,6 +3295,13 @@ void free_netdev(struct net_device *dev)
  BUG_ON(dev->reg_state != NETREG_UNREGISTERED);
  dev->reg_state = NETREG_RELEASED;

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ if (ns != NULL && ns != &init_net_ns) {
+  kfree((char *)dev - dev->padded);
+  return;
+ }
+#endif
+
  /* will free via class release */
  class_device_put(&dev->class_dev);
 #else
@@ -3489,6 +3547,90 @@ static int __init netdev_dma_register(vo
 static int __init netdev_dma_register(void) { return -ENODEV; }
 #endif /* CONFIG_NET_DMA */

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+struct net_namespace init_net_ns = {
+ .active_ref = ATOMIC_INIT(2),
```

```
+     /* one for init_task->net_context,
+        one not to let init_net_ns go away */
+ .use_ref = ATOMIC_INIT(1), /* for active references */
+ .dev_base_p = &loopback_dev_static,
+ .dev_tail_p = &init_net_ns.dev_base_p,
+ .loopback = &loopback_dev_static,
+};
+
+extern void loopback_dev_ctor(struct net_device *dev);
+extern void loopback_dev_dtor(struct net_device *dev);
+int net_ns_start(void)
+{
+ struct net_namespace *ns, *orig_ns;
+ struct net_device *dev;
+ struct task_struct *task;
+ int err;
+
+ err = -ENOMEM;
+ ns = kmalloc(sizeof(*ns), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (ns == NULL)
+  goto out_ns;
+ dev = kmalloc(sizeof(*dev), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (dev == NULL)
+  goto out_dev;
+ loopback_dev_ctor(dev);
+ dev->destructor = loopback_dev_dtor;
+
+ memset(ns, 0, sizeof(*ns));
+ atomic_set(&ns->active_ref, 1);
+ atomic_set(&ns->use_ref, 1);
+ ns->dev_base_p = NULL;
+ ns->dev_tail_p = &ns->dev_base_p;
+ ns->hash = net_random();
+ ns->loopback = dev;
+
+ task = current;
+ orig_ns = task->net_context;
+ task->net_context = ns;
+ err = register_netdev(dev);
+ if (err)
+  goto out_register;
+ put_net_ns(orig_ns);
+ return 0;
+
+out_register:
+ dev->destructor(dev);
+ task->net_context = orig_ns;
+ BUG_ON(atomic_read(&ns->active_ref) != 1);
```

```
+out_dev:
+ kfree(ns);
+out_ns:
+ return err;
+}
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(net_ns_start);
+
+/* destroy loopback device and protocol datastructures in process context */
+static void net_ns_destroy(void *data)
+{
+ struct net_namespace *ns, *orig_ns;
+
+ ns = data;
+ push_net_ns(ns, orig_ns);
+ unregister_netdev(ns->loopback);
+ if (ns->dev_base_p != NULL) {
+  printk("NET_NS: BUG: context %p has devices! ref %d\n",
+    ns, atomic_read(&ns->active_ref));
+  pop_net_ns(orig_ns);
+  return;
+ }
+ pop_net_ns(orig_ns);
+ kfree(ns);
+}
+
+void net_ns_stop(struct net_namespace *ns)
+{
+ INIT_WORK(&ns->destroy_work, net_ns_destroy, ns);
+ schedule_work(&ns->destroy_work);
+}
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(net_ns_stop);
+#endif
+
 /*
  * Initialize the DEV module. At boot time this walks the device list and
  * unhooks any devices that fail to initialise (normally hardware not
--- ./net/core/net-sysfs.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:02:49 2006
+++ ./net/core/net-sysfs.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -12,6 +12,7 @@
 #include <linux/capability.h>
 #include <linux/kernel.h>
 #include <linux/netdevice.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>
 #include <linux/if_arp.h>
 #include <net/sock.h>
 #include <linux/rtnetlink.h>
@@ -444,6 +445,12 @@ static struct class net_class = {
```

```
 void netdev_unregister_sysfs(struct net_device * net)
 {
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ if (current_net_ns != &init_net_ns)
+  /* not supported yet: sysfs virtualization is required */
+  return;
+#endif
+
  class_device_del(&(net->class_dev));
 }

@@ -453,6 +460,12 @@ int netdev_register_sysfs(struct net_dev
  struct class_device *class_dev = &(net->class_dev);
  struct attribute_group **groups = net->sysfs_groups;

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ if (current_net_ns != &init_net_ns)
+  /* not supported yet: sysfs virtualization is required */
+  return 0;
+#endif
+
  class_device_initialize(class_dev);
  class_dev->class = &net_class;
  class_dev->class_data = net;
@@ -473,6 +486,17 @@ int netdev_register_sysfs(struct net_dev
  return class_device_add(class_dev);
 }

+int netdev_rename_sysfs(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ if (current_net_ns != &init_net_ns)
+  /* not supported yet: sysfs virtualization is required */
+  return 0;
+#endif
+
+ return class_device_rename(&dev->class_dev, dev->name);
+}
+
 int netdev_sysfs_init(void)
 {
  return class_register(&net_class);
--- ./net/ipv4/devinet.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/devinet.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -197,7 +197,7 @@ static void inetdev_destroy(struct in_de
  ASSERT_RTNL();

  dev = in_dev->dev;
```

```
- if (dev == &loopback_dev)
+ if (dev == &loopback_dev_static)
   return;

  in_dev->dead = 1;
--- ./net/ipv6/addrconf.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv6/addrconf.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -2331,7 +2331,7 @@ static int addrconf_ifdown(struct net_de

  ASSERT_RTNL();

- if (dev == &loopback_dev && how == 1)
+ if (dev == &loopback_dev_static && how == 1)
   how = 0;

  rt6_ifdown(dev);
--- ./net/ipv6/route.c.vensdev Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv6/route.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:21 2006
@@ -125,7 +125,7 @@ struct rt6_info ip6_null_entry = {
   .dst = {
    .__refcnt = ATOMIC_INIT(1),
    .__use  = 1,
-   .dev  = &loopback_dev,
+   /* .dev  = &loopback_dev, */
    .obsolete = -1,
    .error  = -ENETUNREACH,
    .metrics = { [RTAX_HOPLIMIT - 1] = 255, },
@@ -147,7 +147,7 @@ struct rt6_info ip6_prohibit_entry = {
   .dst = {
    .__refcnt = ATOMIC_INIT(1),
    .__use  = 1,
-   .dev  = &loopback_dev,
+   /* .dev  = &loopback_dev, */
    .obsolete = -1,
    .error  = -EACCES,
    .metrics = { [RTAX_HOPLIMIT - 1] = 255, },
@@ -167,7 +167,7 @@ struct rt6_info ip6_blk_hole_entry = {
   .dst = {
    .__refcnt = ATOMIC_INIT(1),
    .__use  = 1,
-   .dev  = &loopback_dev,
+   /* .dev  = &loopback_dev, */
    .obsolete = -1,
    .error  = -EINVAL,
    .metrics = { [RTAX_HOPLIMIT - 1] = 255, },
@@ -2332,6 +2332,9 @@ void __init ip6_route_init(void)
 #ifdef CONFIG_IPV6_MULTIPLE_TABLES
  fib6_rules_init();
```

```
 #endif
+ ip6_null_entry.u.dst.dev = &loopback_dev;
+ ip6_prohibit_entry.u.dst.dev = &loopback_dev;
+ ip6_blk_hole_entry.u.dst.dev = &loopback_dev;
 }

 void ip6_route_cleanup(void)
```

Subject: [PATCH 2/9] network namespaces: IPv4 routing
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:42 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Structures related to IPv4 rounting (FIB and routing cache)
are made per-namespace.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>

```
---
 include/linux/net_ns.h   |   10 +++
 include/net/flow.h       |    3 +
 include/net/ip_fib.h     |   46 ++++++++++++----
 net/core/dev.c           |    8 ++
 net/core/fib_rules.c     |   43 ++++++++++++---
 net/ipv4/Kconfig         |    4 -
 net/ipv4/fib_frontend.c  |  132 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++----------
 net/ipv4/fib_hash.c      |   13 +++-
 net/ipv4/fib_rules.c     |   86 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++-----
 net/ipv4/fib_semantics.c |   99 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++-----
 net/ipv4/route.c         |   26 ++++++++-
 11 files changed, 375 insertions(+), 95 deletions(-)

--- ./include/linux/net_ns.h.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
+++ ./include/linux/net_ns.h Mon Aug 14 19:19:14 2006
@@ -14,7 +14,17 @@ struct net_namespace {
  atomic_t  active_ref, use_ref;
  struct net_device *dev_base_p, **dev_tail_p;
  struct net_device *loopback;
+#ifndef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
+ struct fib_table *fib4_local_table, *fib4_main_table;
+#else
+ struct list_head fib_rules_ops_list;
+ struct fib_rules_ops *fib4_rules_ops;
+ struct hlist_head *fib4_tables;
+#endif
+ struct hlist_head *fib4_hash, *fib4_laddrhash;
+ unsigned  fib4_hash_size, fib4_info_cnt;
  unsigned int  hash;
+ char   destroying;
```

```
  struct work_struct destroy_work;
 };

--- ./include/net/flow.h.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/net/flow.h Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -79,6 +79,9 @@ struct flowi {
 #define fl_icmp_code uli_u.icmpt.code
 #define fl_ipsec_spi uli_u.spi
   __u32           secid; /* used by xfrm; see secid.txt */
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *net_ns;
+#endif
 } __attribute__((__aligned__(BITS_PER_LONG/8)));

 #define FLOW_DIR_IN 0
--- ./include/net/ip_fib.h.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/net/ip_fib.h Tue Aug 15 11:53:22 2006
@@ -18,6 +18,7 @@

 #include <net/flow.h>
 #include <linux/seq_file.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>
 #include <net/fib_rules.h>

 /* WARNING: The ordering of these elements must match ordering
@@ -171,14 +172,21 @@ struct fib_table {

 #ifndef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES

-extern struct fib_table *ip_fib_local_table;
-extern struct fib_table *ip_fib_main_table;
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+extern struct fib_table *ip_fib_local_table_static;
+extern struct fib_table *ip_fib_main_table_static;
+#define ip_fib_local_table_ns()  ip_fib_local_table_static
+#define ip_fib_main_table_ns()  ip_fib_main_table_static
+#else
+#define ip_fib_local_table_ns()  (current_net_ns->fib4_local_table)
+#define ip_fib_main_table_ns()  (current_net_ns->fib4_main_table)
+#endif

 static inline struct fib_table *fib_get_table(u32 id)
 {
   if (id != RT_TABLE_LOCAL)
-  return ip_fib_main_table;
- return ip_fib_local_table;
+  return ip_fib_main_table_ns();
+ return ip_fib_local_table_ns();
```

```
 }

 static inline struct fib_table *fib_new_table(u32 id)
@@ -188,21 +196,29 @@ static inline struct fib_table *fib_new_

 static inline int fib_lookup(const struct flowi *flp, struct fib_result *res)
 {
- if (ip_fib_local_table->tb_lookup(ip_fib_local_table, flp, res) &&
-     ip_fib_main_table->tb_lookup(ip_fib_main_table, flp, res))
+ struct fib_table *tb;
+
+ tb = ip_fib_local_table_ns();
+ if (!tb->tb_lookup(tb, flp, res))
+  return 0;
+ tb = ip_fib_main_table_ns();
+ if (tb->tb_lookup(tb, flp, res))
    return -ENETUNREACH;
   return 0;
 }

 static inline void fib_select_default(const struct flowi *flp, struct fib_result *res)
 {
+ struct fib_table *tb;
+
+ tb = ip_fib_main_table_ns();
   if (FIB_RES_GW(*res) && FIB_RES_NH(*res).nh_scope == RT_SCOPE_LINK)
-  ip_fib_main_table->tb_select_default(ip_fib_main_table, flp, res);
+  tb->tb_select_default(main_table, flp, res);
 }

 #else /* CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES */
-#define ip_fib_local_table fib_get_table(RT_TABLE_LOCAL)
-#define ip_fib_main_table fib_get_table(RT_TABLE_MAIN)
+#define ip_fib_local_table_ns() fib_get_table(RT_TABLE_LOCAL)
+#define ip_fib_main_table_ns() fib_get_table(RT_TABLE_MAIN)

 extern int fib_lookup(struct flowi *flp, struct fib_result *res);

@@ -214,6 +230,10 @@ extern void fib_select_default(const str

 /* Exported by fib_frontend.c */
 extern void  ip_fib_init(void);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+extern int ip_fib_struct_init(void);
+extern void ip_fib_struct_cleanup(void);
+#endif
 extern int inet_rtm_delroute(struct sk_buff *skb, struct nlmsghdr* nlh, void *arg);
 extern int inet_rtm_newroute(struct sk_buff *skb, struct nlmsghdr* nlh, void *arg);
```

```
 extern int inet_rtm_getroute(struct sk_buff *skb, struct nlmsghdr* nlh, void *arg);
@@ -231,6 +251,9 @@ extern int fib_sync_up(struct net_device
 extern int fib_convert_rtentry(int cmd, struct nlmsghdr *nl, struct rtmsg *rtm,
         struct kern_rta *rta, struct rtentry *r);
 extern u32  __fib_res_prefsrc(struct fib_result *res);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+extern void fib_hashtable_destroy(void);
+#endif

 /* Exported by fib_hash.c */
 extern struct fib_table *fib_hash_init(u32 id);
@@ -238,7 +261,10 @@ extern struct fib_table *fib_hash_init(u
 #ifdef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
 extern int fib4_rules_dump(struct sk_buff *skb, struct netlink_callback *cb);

-extern void __init fib4_rules_init(void);
+extern int fib4_rules_init(void);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+extern void fib4_rules_cleanup(void);
+#endif

 #ifdef CONFIG_NET_CLS_ROUTE
 extern u32 fib_rules_tclass(struct fib_result *res);
--- ./net/core/dev.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
+++ ./net/core/dev.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -3548,6 +3548,8 @@ static int __init netdev_dma_register(vo
 #endif /* CONFIG_NET_DMA */

 #ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+#include <net/ip_fib.h>
+
 struct net_namespace init_net_ns = {
  .active_ref = ATOMIC_INIT(2),
      /* one for init_task->net_context,
@@ -3588,6 +3590,9 @@ int net_ns_start(void)
  task = current;
  orig_ns = task->net_context;
  task->net_context = ns;
+ INIT_LIST_HEAD(&ns->fib_rules_ops_list);
+ if (ip_fib_struct_init())
+  goto out_fib4;
  err = register_netdev(dev);
  if (err)
   goto out_register;
@@ -3595,6 +3600,8 @@ int net_ns_start(void)
  return 0;

 out_register:
```

```
+ ip_fib_struct_cleanup();
+out_fib4:
  dev->destructor(dev);
  task->net_context = orig_ns;
  BUG_ON(atomic_read(&ns->active_ref) != 1);
@@ -3619,6 +3626,7 @@ static void net_ns_destroy(void *data)
   pop_net_ns(orig_ns);
   return;
  }
+ ip_fib_struct_cleanup();
  pop_net_ns(orig_ns);
  kfree(ns);
 }
--- ./net/core/fib_rules.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
+++ ./net/core/fib_rules.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -11,9 +11,15 @@
 #include <linux/types.h>
 #include <linux/kernel.h>
 #include <linux/list.h>
+#include <linux/net_ns.h>
 #include <net/fib_rules.h>

-static LIST_HEAD(rules_ops);
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+static struct list_head rules_ops_static;
+#define rules_ops_ns()  rules_ops_static
+#else
+#define rules_ops_ns()  (current_net_ns->fib_rules_ops_list)
+#endif
 static DEFINE_SPINLOCK(rules_mod_lock);

 static void notify_rule_change(int event, struct fib_rule *rule,
@@ -21,10 +27,12 @@ static void notify_rule_change(int event

 static struct fib_rules_ops *lookup_rules_ops(int family)
 {
+ struct list_head *ops_list;
  struct fib_rules_ops *ops;

+ ops_list = &rules_ops_ns();
  rcu_read_lock();
- list_for_each_entry_rcu(ops, &rules_ops, list) {
+ list_for_each_entry_rcu(ops, ops_list, list) {
   if (ops->family == family) {
    if (!try_module_get(ops->owner))
     ops = NULL;
@@ -46,6 +54,7 @@ static void rules_ops_put(struct fib_rul
 int fib_rules_register(struct fib_rules_ops *ops)
```

```
 {
  int err = -EEXIST;
+ struct list_head *ops_list;
  struct fib_rules_ops *o;

  if (ops->rule_size < sizeof(struct fib_rule))
@@ -56,12 +65,13 @@ int fib_rules_register(struct fib_rules_
      ops->action == NULL)
   return -EINVAL;

+ ops_list = &rules_ops_ns();
  spin_lock(&rules_mod_lock);
- list_for_each_entry(o, &rules_ops, list)
+ list_for_each_entry(o, ops_list, list)
   if (ops->family == o->family)
    goto errout;

- list_add_tail_rcu(&ops->list, &rules_ops);
+ list_add_tail_rcu(&ops->list, ops_list);
  err = 0;
 errout:
  spin_unlock(&rules_mod_lock);
@@ -84,10 +94,12 @@ static void cleanup_ops(struct fib_rules
 int fib_rules_unregister(struct fib_rules_ops *ops)
 {
  int err = 0;
+ struct list_head *ops_list;
  struct fib_rules_ops *o;

+ ops_list = &rules_ops_ns();
  spin_lock(&rules_mod_lock);
- list_for_each_entry(o, &rules_ops, list) {
+ list_for_each_entry(o, ops_list, list) {
   if (o == ops) {
    list_del_rcu(&o->list);
    cleanup_ops(ops);
@@ -114,6 +126,14 @@ int fib_rules_lookup(struct fib_rules_op

  rcu_read_lock();

+ err = -EINVAL;
+ if (ops->rules_list->next == NULL) {
+  if (net_ratelimit())
+   printk(" *** NULL head, ops %p, list %p\n",
+     ops, ops->rules_list);
+  goto out;
+ }
+
```

```
  list_for_each_entry_rcu(rule, ops->rules_list, list) {
   if (rule->ifindex && (rule->ifindex != fl->iif))
    continue;
@@ -127,6 +147,12 @@ int fib_rules_lookup(struct fib_rules_op
    arg->rule = rule;
    goto out;
   }
+  if (rule->list.next == NULL) {
+   if (net_ratelimit())
+    printk(" *** NULL, ops %p, list %p, item %p\n",
+      ops, ops->rules_list, rule);
+   goto out;
+  }
  }

  err = -ENETUNREACH;
@@ -382,19 +408,21 @@ static int fib_rules_event(struct notifi
        void *ptr)
 {
  struct net_device *dev = ptr;
+ struct list_head *ops_list;
  struct fib_rules_ops *ops;

  ASSERT_RTNL();
  rcu_read_lock();

+ ops_list = &rules_ops_ns();
  switch (event) {
  case NETDEV_REGISTER:
-  list_for_each_entry(ops, &rules_ops, list)
+  list_for_each_entry(ops, ops_list, list)
    attach_rules(ops->rules_list, dev);
   break;

  case NETDEV_UNREGISTER:
-  list_for_each_entry(ops, &rules_ops, list)
+  list_for_each_entry(ops, ops_list, list)
    detach_rules(ops->rules_list, dev);
   break;
  }
@@ -410,6 +438,7 @@ static struct notifier_block fib_rules_n

 static int __init fib_rules_init(void)
 {
+ INIT_LIST_HEAD(&rules_ops_ns());
  return register_netdevice_notifier(&fib_rules_notifier);
 }
```

```
--- ./net/ipv4/Kconfig.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/Kconfig Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -53,7 +53,7 @@ config IP_ADVANCED_ROUTER

 choice
  prompt "Choose IP: FIB lookup algorithm (choose FIB_HASH if unsure)"
- depends on IP_ADVANCED_ROUTER
+ depends on IP_ADVANCED_ROUTER && !NET_NS
  default ASK_IP_FIB_HASH

 config ASK_IP_FIB_HASH
@@ -83,7 +83,7 @@ config IP_FIB_TRIE
 endchoice

 config IP_FIB_HASH
- def_bool ASK_IP_FIB_HASH || !IP_ADVANCED_ROUTER
+ def_bool ASK_IP_FIB_HASH || !IP_ADVANCED_ROUTER || NET_NS

 config IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
  bool "IP: policy routing"
--- ./net/ipv4/fib_frontend.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/fib_frontend.c Tue Aug 15 11:53:22 2006
@@ -51,18 +51,23 @@
 #define FFprint(a...) printk(KERN_DEBUG a)

 #ifndef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
-
-struct fib_table *ip_fib_local_table;
-struct fib_table *ip_fib_main_table;
-
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+struct fib_table *ip_fib_local_table_static;
+struct fib_table *ip_fib_main_table_static;
+#endif
 #define FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ 1
-static struct hlist_head fib_table_hash[FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ];
-
 #else
-
 #define FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ 256
-static struct hlist_head fib_table_hash[FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ];
+#endif

+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+static struct hlist_head fib_table_hash_static[FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ];
+#define fib_table_hash_ns()  fib_table_hash_static
+#else
+#define fib_table_hash_ns()  (current_net_ns->fib4_tables)
```

```
+#endif
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
 struct fib_table *fib_new_table(u32 id)
 {
  struct fib_table *tb;
@@ -77,21 +82,23 @@ struct fib_table *fib_new_table(u32 id)
  if (!tb)
   return NULL;
  h = id & (FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ - 1);
- hlist_add_head_rcu(&tb->tb_hlist, &fib_table_hash[h]);
+ hlist_add_head_rcu(&tb->tb_hlist, &fib_table_hash_ns()[h]);
  return tb;
 }

 struct fib_table *fib_get_table(u32 id)
 {
  struct fib_table *tb;
+ struct hlist_head *list;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  unsigned int h;

  if (id == 0)
   id = RT_TABLE_MAIN;
  h = id & (FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ - 1);
+ list = &fib_table_hash_ns()[h];
  rcu_read_lock();
- hlist_for_each_entry_rcu(tb, node, &fib_table_hash[h], tb_hlist) {
+ hlist_for_each_entry_rcu(tb, node, list, tb_hlist) {
   if (tb->tb_id == id) {
    rcu_read_unlock();
    return tb;
@@ -106,11 +113,13 @@ static void fib_flush(void)
 {
  int flushed = 0;
  struct fib_table *tb;
+ struct hlist_head *list;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  unsigned int h;

  for (h = 0; h < FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ; h++) {
-  hlist_for_each_entry(tb, node, &fib_table_hash[h], tb_hlist)
+  list = &fib_table_hash_ns()[h];
+  hlist_for_each_entry(tb, node, list, tb_hlist)
    flushed += tb->tb_flush(tb);
  }

@@ -126,14 +135,15 @@ struct net_device * ip_dev_find(u32 addr
```

```
 {
  struct flowi fl = { .nl_u = { .ip4_u = { .daddr = addr } } };
  struct fib_result res;
+ struct fib_table *tb;
  struct net_device *dev = NULL;

 #ifdef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
  res.r = NULL;
 #endif

- if (!ip_fib_local_table ||
-     ip_fib_local_table->tb_lookup(ip_fib_local_table, &fl, &res))
+ tb = ip_fib_local_table_ns();
+ if (!tb || tb->tb_lookup(tb, &fl, &res))
    return NULL;
  if (res.type != RTN_LOCAL)
    goto out;
@@ -150,6 +160,7 @@ unsigned inet_addr_type(u32 addr)
 {
  struct flowi  fl = { .nl_u = { .ip4_u = { .daddr = addr } } };
  struct fib_result res;
+ struct fib_table *tb;
  unsigned ret = RTN_BROADCAST;

  if (ZERONET(addr) || BADCLASS(addr))
@@ -161,10 +172,10 @@ unsigned inet_addr_type(u32 addr)
  res.r = NULL;
 #endif

- if (ip_fib_local_table) {
+ tb = ip_fib_local_table_ns();
+ if (tb) {
    ret = RTN_UNICAST;
-  if (!ip_fib_local_table->tb_lookup(ip_fib_local_table,
-         &fl, &res)) {
+  if (!tb->tb_lookup(tb, &fl, &res)) {
     ret = res.type;
     fib_res_put(&res);
    }
@@ -357,6 +368,7 @@ int inet_dump_fib(struct sk_buff *skb, s
  unsigned int h, s_h;
  unsigned int e = 0, s_e;
  struct fib_table *tb;
+ struct hlist_head *list;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  int dumped = 0;

@@ -369,7 +381,8 @@ int inet_dump_fib(struct sk_buff *skb, s
```

```
  for (h = s_h; h < FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ; h++, s_e = 0) {
   e = 0;
-  hlist_for_each_entry(tb, node, &fib_table_hash[h], tb_hlist) {
+  list = &fib_table_hash_ns()[h];
+  hlist_for_each_entry(tb, node, list, tb_hlist) {
    if (e < s_e)
     goto next;
    if (dumped)
@@ -680,25 +693,92 @@ static struct notifier_block fib_netdev_
  .notifier_call =fib_netdev_event,
 };

-void __init ip_fib_init(void)
+#if !defined(CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES)
+
+int inline ip_fib_struct_init(void)
 {
  unsigned int i;

  for (i = 0; i < FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ; i++)
-  INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&fib_table_hash[i]);
-#ifndef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
- ip_fib_local_table = fib_hash_init(RT_TABLE_LOCAL);
- hlist_add_head_rcu(&ip_fib_local_table->tb_hlist, &fib_table_hash[0]);
- ip_fib_main_table  = fib_hash_init(RT_TABLE_MAIN);
- hlist_add_head_rcu(&ip_fib_main_table->tb_hlist, &fib_table_hash[0]);
+  INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&fib_table_hash_ns()[i]);
+ ip_fib_local_table_ns() = fib_hash_init(RT_TABLE_LOCAL);
+ hlist_add_head_rcu(&ip_fib_local_table_ns()->tb_hlist,
+   &fib_table_hash_ns()[0]);
+ ip_fib_main_table_ns()  = fib_hash_init(RT_TABLE_MAIN);
+ hlist_add_head_rcu(&ip_fib_main_table_ns()->tb_hlist,
+   &fib_table_hash_ns()[0]);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+#elsif !defined(CONFIG_NET_NS)
+
+int inline ip_fib_struct_init(void)
+{
+ unsigned int i;
+
+ for (i = 0; i < FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ; i++)
+  INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&fib_table_hash_static[i]);
+ return fib4_rules_init();
+}
+
```

```
 #else
- fib4_rules_init();
-#endif
+
+int ip_fib_struct_init(void)
+{
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
+ struct hlist_head *tables;
+ unsigned int i;
+
+ tables = kmalloc(FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ * sizeof(*tables), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (tables == NULL)
+  return -ENOMEM;
+ for (i = 0; i < FIB_TABLE_HASHSZ; i++)
+  INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&tables[i]);
+ ns->fib4_tables = tables;
+
+ if (fib4_rules_init())
+  goto out_fib4_rules;
+ return 0;
+
+out_fib4_rules:
+ kfree(tables);
+ ns->fib4_tables = NULL;
+ return -ENOMEM;
+}
+
+#endif /* CONFIG_NET_NS */
+
+void __init ip_fib_init(void)
+{
+ ip_fib_struct_init();

  register_netdevice_notifier(&fib_netdev_notifier);
  register_inetaddr_notifier(&fib_inetaddr_notifier);
  nl_fib_lookup_init();
 }

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+void ip_fib_struct_cleanup(void)
+{
+ current_net_ns->destroying = 1;
+ rtnl_lock();
+#ifdef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
+ fib4_rules_cleanup();
+#endif
+ /*
+  * FIB should already be empty since there is no netdevice,
```

```
+  * but clear it anyway
+  */
+ fib_flush();
+ rt_cache_flush(0);
+#ifdef CONFIG_IP_MULTIPLE_TABLES
+ kfree(fib_table_hash_ns());
+ fib_table_hash_ns() = NULL;
+#endif
+ fib_hashtable_destroy();
+ rtnl_unlock();
+}
+#endif /* CONFIG_NET_NS */
+
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(inet_addr_type);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(ip_dev_find);
--- ./net/ipv4/fib_hash.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/fib_hash.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -627,6 +627,11 @@ static int fn_flush_list(struct fn_zone
  struct hlist_node *node, *n;
  struct fib_node *f;
  int found = 0;
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ const int destroy = 0;
+#else
+ const int destroy = current_net_ns->destroying;
+#endif

  hlist_for_each_entry_safe(f, node, n, head, fn_hash) {
   struct fib_alias *fa, *fa_node;
@@ -636,7 +641,9 @@ static int fn_flush_list(struct fn_zone
   list_for_each_entry_safe(fa, fa_node, &f->fn_alias, fa_list) {
    struct fib_info *fi = fa->fa_info;

-   if (fi && (fi->fib_flags&RTNH_F_DEAD)) {
+   if (fi == NULL)
+    continue;
+   if (destroy || (fi->fib_flags&RTNH_F_DEAD)) {
     write_lock_bh(&fib_hash_lock);
     list_del(&fa->fa_list);
     if (list_empty(&f->fn_alias)) {
@@ -817,7 +824,7 @@ struct fib_iter_state {
 static struct fib_alias *fib_get_first(struct seq_file *seq)
 {
  struct fib_iter_state *iter = seq->private;
- struct fn_hash *table = (struct fn_hash *) ip_fib_main_table->tb_data;
+ struct fn_hash *table = (struct fn_hash *) ip_fib_main_table_ns()->tb_data;

  iter->bucket    = 0;
```

```
  iter->hash_head = NULL;
@@ -956,7 +963,7 @@ static void *fib_seq_start(struct seq_fi
  void *v = NULL;

  read_lock(&fib_hash_lock);
- if (ip_fib_main_table)
+ if (ip_fib_main_table_ns())
    v = *pos ? fib_get_idx(seq, *pos - 1) : SEQ_START_TOKEN;
  return v;
 }
--- ./net/ipv4/fib_rules.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:08 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/fib_rules.c Mon Aug 14 17:51:02 2006
@@ -32,7 +32,7 @@
 #include <net/ip_fib.h>
 #include <net/fib_rules.h>

-static struct fib_rules_ops fib4_rules_ops;
+static struct fib_rules_ops fib4_rules_ops_static;

 struct fib4_rule
 {
@@ -79,7 +79,12 @@ static struct fib4_rule local_rule = {
  },
 };

-static LIST_HEAD(fib4_rules);
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+static LIST_HEAD(fib4_rules_static);
+#define fib4_rules_ops_ns() fib4_rules_ops_static
+#else
+#define fib4_rules_ops_ns() (*current_net_ns->fib4_rules_ops)
+#endif

 #ifdef CONFIG_NET_CLS_ROUTE
 u32 fib_rules_tclass(struct fib_result *res)
@@ -95,7 +100,7 @@ int fib_lookup(struct flowi *flp, struct
  };
  int err;

- err = fib_rules_lookup(&fib4_rules_ops, flp, 0, &arg);
+ err = fib_rules_lookup(&fib4_rules_ops_ns(), flp, 0, &arg);
  res->r = arg.rule;

  return err;
@@ -311,11 +316,13 @@ int fib4_rules_dump(struct sk_buff *skb,
 static u32 fib4_rule_default_pref(void)
 {
  struct list_head *pos;
```

```
+ struct list_head *fib4_rules;
  struct fib_rule *rule;

- if (!list_empty(&fib4_rules)) {
-  pos = fib4_rules.next;
-  if (pos->next != &fib4_rules) {
+ fib4_rules = fib4_rules_ops_ns().rules_list;
+ if (!list_empty(fib4_rules)) {
+  pos = fib4_rules->next;
+  if (pos->next != fib4_rules) {
    rule = list_entry(pos->next, struct fib_rule, list);
    if (rule->pref)
     return rule->pref - 1;
@@ -325,7 +332,7 @@ static u32 fib4_rule_default_pref(void)
  return 0;
 }

-static struct fib_rules_ops fib4_rules_ops = {
+static struct fib_rules_ops fib4_rules_ops_static = {
  .family  = AF_INET,
  .rule_size = sizeof(struct fib4_rule),
  .action  = fib4_rule_action,
@@ -336,15 +343,68 @@ static struct fib_rules_ops fib4_rules_o
  .default_pref = fib4_rule_default_pref,
  .nlgroup = RTNLGRP_IPV4_RULE,
  .policy  = fib4_rule_policy,
- .rules_list = &fib4_rules,
  .owner  = THIS_MODULE,
 };

-void __init fib4_rules_init(void)
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+
+int fib4_rules_init(void)
+{
+ fib4_rules_ops_static.rules_list = &fib4_rules_static,
+ list_add_tail(&local_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules_static);
+ list_add_tail(&main_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules_static);
+ list_add_tail(&default_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules_static);
+ fib_rules_register(&fib4_rules_ops_static);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+#else
+
+static int fib4_rule_create(struct fib4_rule *orig, struct list_head *head)
+{
+ struct fib4_rule *p;
```

```
+
+ p = kmalloc(sizeof(*p), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (p == NULL)
+  return -1;
+ memcpy(p, orig, sizeof(*p));
+ list_add_tail_rcu(&p->common.list, head);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+int fib4_rules_init(void)
 {
- list_add_tail(&local_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules);
- list_add_tail(&main_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules);
- list_add_tail(&default_rule.common.list, &fib4_rules);
+ struct fib_rules_ops *ops;
+ struct list_head *rules;
+
+ ops = kmalloc(sizeof(*ops) + sizeof(*rules), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (ops == NULL)
+  goto out;
+ memcpy(ops, &fib4_rules_ops_static, sizeof(*ops));
+ rules = (struct list_head *)(ops + 1);
+ INIT_LIST_HEAD(rules);
+ ops->rules_list = rules;
+ current_net_ns->fib4_rules_ops = ops;
+
+ fib_rules_register(ops);
+
+ if (fib4_rule_create(&local_rule, rules) ||
+     fib4_rule_create(&main_rule, rules) ||
+     fib4_rule_create(&default_rule, rules))
+  goto out_rule;
+
+ return 0;

- fib_rules_register(&fib4_rules_ops);
+out_rule:
+ fib_rules_unregister(ops); /* list cleanup is inside */
+ kfree(ops);
+out:
+ return -ENOMEM;
 }
+
+void fib4_rules_cleanup(void)
+{
+ fib_rules_unregister(&fib4_rules_ops_ns());
+}
+
```

```
+#endif
--- ./net/ipv4/fib_semantics.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:08 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/fib_semantics.c Mon Aug 14 18:04:32 2006
@@ -50,10 +50,21 @@
 #define FSprintk(a...)

 static DEFINE_SPINLOCK(fib_info_lock);
-static struct hlist_head *fib_info_hash;
-static struct hlist_head *fib_info_laddrhash;
-static unsigned int fib_hash_size;
-static unsigned int fib_info_cnt;
+#ifndef CONFIG_NET_NS
+static struct hlist_head *fib_info_hash_static;
+static struct hlist_head *fib_info_laddrhash_static;
+static unsigned int fib_hash_size_static;
+static unsigned int fib_info_cnt_static;
+#define fib_info_hash(ns) fib_info_hash_static
+#define fib_info_laddrhash(ns) fib_info_laddrhash_static
+#define fib_hash_size(ns) fib_hash_size_static
+#define fib_info_cnt(ns) fib_info_cnt_static
+#else
+#define fib_info_hash(ns) ((ns)->fib4_hash)
+#define fib_info_laddrhash(ns) ((ns)->fib4_laddrhash)
+#define fib_hash_size(ns) ((ns)->fib4_hash_size)
+#define fib_info_cnt(ns) ((ns)->fib4_info_cnt)
+#endif

 #define DEVINDEX_HASHBITS 8
 #define DEVINDEX_HASHSIZE (1U << DEVINDEX_HASHBITS)
@@ -153,7 +164,7 @@ void free_fib_info(struct fib_info *fi)
    dev_put(nh->nh_dev);
   nh->nh_dev = NULL;
  } endfor_nexthops(fi);
- fib_info_cnt--;
+ fib_info_cnt(current_net_ns)--;
  kfree(fi);
 }

@@ -196,9 +207,10 @@ static __inline__ int nh_comp(const stru
  return 0;
 }

-static inline unsigned int fib_info_hashfn(const struct fib_info *fi)
+static inline unsigned int fib_info_hashfn(const struct fib_info *fi,
+  struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
- unsigned int mask = (fib_hash_size - 1);
+ unsigned int mask = (fib_hash_size(ns) - 1);
```

```
  unsigned int val = fi->fib_nhs;

  val ^= fi->fib_protocol;
@@ -210,13 +222,14 @@ static inline unsigned int fib_info_hash

 static struct fib_info *fib_find_info(const struct fib_info *nfi)
 {
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  struct hlist_head *head;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  struct fib_info *fi;
  unsigned int hash;

- hash = fib_info_hashfn(nfi);
- head = &fib_info_hash[hash];
+ hash = fib_info_hashfn(nfi, ns);
+ head = &fib_info_hash(ns)[hash];

  hlist_for_each_entry(fi, node, head, fib_hash) {
   if (fi->fib_nhs != nfi->fib_nhs)
@@ -236,11 +249,13 @@ static struct fib_info *fib_find_info(co

 static inline unsigned int fib_devindex_hashfn(unsigned int val)
 {
- unsigned int mask = DEVINDEX_HASHSIZE - 1;
+ unsigned int r, mask = DEVINDEX_HASHSIZE - 1;

- return (val ^
+ r = val ^
    (val >> DEVINDEX_HASHBITS) ^
-   (val >> (DEVINDEX_HASHBITS * 2))) & mask;
+   (val >> (DEVINDEX_HASHBITS * 2));
+ r ^= current_net_hash;
+ return r & mask;
 }

 /* Check, that the gateway is already configured.
@@ -563,9 +578,9 @@ out:
  return 0;
 }

-static inline unsigned int fib_laddr_hashfn(u32 val)
+static inline unsigned int fib_laddr_hashfn(u32 val, struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
- unsigned int mask = (fib_hash_size - 1);
+ unsigned int mask = (fib_hash_size(ns) - 1);

  return (val ^ (val >> 7) ^ (val >> 14)) & mask;
```

```
 }
@@ -594,17 +609,18 @@ static void fib_hash_move(struct hlist_h
      struct hlist_head *new_laddrhash,
      unsigned int new_size)
 {
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  struct hlist_head *old_info_hash, *old_laddrhash;
- unsigned int old_size = fib_hash_size;
+ unsigned int old_size = fib_hash_size(ns);
  unsigned int i, bytes;

  spin_lock(&fib_info_lock);
- old_info_hash = fib_info_hash;
- old_laddrhash = fib_info_laddrhash;
- fib_hash_size = new_size;
+ old_info_hash = fib_info_hash(ns);
+ old_laddrhash = fib_info_laddrhash(ns);
+ fib_hash_size(ns) = new_size;

  for (i = 0; i < old_size; i++) {
-  struct hlist_head *head = &fib_info_hash[i];
+  struct hlist_head *head = &old_info_hash[i];
   struct hlist_node *node, *n;
   struct fib_info *fi;

@@ -614,15 +630,15 @@ static void fib_hash_move(struct hlist_h

    hlist_del(&fi->fib_hash);

-   new_hash = fib_info_hashfn(fi);
+   new_hash = fib_info_hashfn(fi, ns);
    dest = &new_info_hash[new_hash];
    hlist_add_head(&fi->fib_hash, dest);
   }
  }
- fib_info_hash = new_info_hash;
+ fib_info_hash(ns) = new_info_hash;

  for (i = 0; i < old_size; i++) {
-  struct hlist_head *lhead = &fib_info_laddrhash[i];
+  struct hlist_head *lhead = &old_laddrhash[i];
   struct hlist_node *node, *n;
   struct fib_info *fi;

@@ -632,12 +648,12 @@ static void fib_hash_move(struct hlist_h

    hlist_del(&fi->fib_lhash);
```

```
-   new_hash = fib_laddr_hashfn(fi->fib_prefsrc);
+   new_hash = fib_laddr_hashfn(fi->fib_prefsrc, ns);
    ldest = &new_laddrhash[new_hash];
    hlist_add_head(&fi->fib_lhash, ldest);
   }
  }
- fib_info_laddrhash = new_laddrhash;
+ fib_info_laddrhash(ns) = new_laddrhash;

  spin_unlock(&fib_info_lock);

@@ -646,11 +662,27 @@ static void fib_hash_move(struct hlist_h
  fib_hash_free(old_laddrhash, bytes);
 }

+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+void fib_hashtable_destroy(void)
+{
+ struct net_namespace *ns;
+ unsigned int bytes;
+
+ ns = current_net_ns;
+ bytes = ns->fib4_hash_size * sizeof(struct hlist_head *);
+ fib_hash_free(ns->fib4_hash, bytes);
+ ns->fib4_hash = NULL;
+ fib_hash_free(ns->fib4_laddrhash, bytes);
+ ns->fib4_laddrhash = NULL;
+}
+#endif
+
 struct fib_info *
 fib_create_info(const struct rtmsg *r, struct kern_rta *rta,
   const struct nlmsghdr *nlh, int *errp)
 {
  int err;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  struct fib_info *fi = NULL;
  struct fib_info *ofi;
 #ifdef CONFIG_IP_ROUTE_MULTIPATH
@@ -684,8 +716,8 @@ fib_create_info(const struct rtmsg *r, s
 #endif

  err = -ENOBUFS;
- if (fib_info_cnt >= fib_hash_size) {
-  unsigned int new_size = fib_hash_size << 1;
+ if (fib_info_cnt(ns) >= fib_hash_size(ns)) {
+  unsigned int new_size = fib_hash_size(ns) << 1;
   struct hlist_head *new_info_hash;
```

```
   struct hlist_head *new_laddrhash;
   unsigned int bytes;
@@ -705,14 +737,14 @@ fib_create_info(const struct rtmsg *r, s
    fib_hash_move(new_info_hash, new_laddrhash, new_size);
   }

-  if (!fib_hash_size)
+  if (!fib_hash_size(ns))
    goto failure;
  }

  fi = kzalloc(sizeof(*fi)+nhs*sizeof(struct fib_nh), GFP_KERNEL);
  if (fi == NULL)
   goto failure;
- fib_info_cnt++;
+ fib_info_cnt(ns)++;

  fi->fib_protocol = r->rtm_protocol;

@@ -822,11 +854,11 @@ link_it:
  atomic_inc(&fi->fib_clntref);
  spin_lock(&fib_info_lock);
  hlist_add_head(&fi->fib_hash,
-         &fib_info_hash[fib_info_hashfn(fi)]);
+         &fib_info_hash(ns)[fib_info_hashfn(fi, ns)]);
  if (fi->fib_prefsrc) {
   struct hlist_head *head;

-  head = &fib_info_laddrhash[fib_laddr_hashfn(fi->fib_prefsrc)];
+  head = &fib_info_laddrhash(ns)[fib_laddr_hashfn(fi->fib_prefsrc, ns)];
   hlist_add_head(&fi->fib_lhash, head);
  }
  change_nexthops(fi) {
@@ -1165,15 +1197,16 @@ fib_convert_rtentry(int cmd, struct nlms

 int fib_sync_down(u32 local, struct net_device *dev, int force)
 {
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  int ret = 0;
  int scope = RT_SCOPE_NOWHERE;

  if (force)
   scope = -1;

- if (local && fib_info_laddrhash) {
-  unsigned int hash = fib_laddr_hashfn(local);
-  struct hlist_head *head = &fib_info_laddrhash[hash];
+ if (local && fib_info_laddrhash(ns)) {
```

```
+  unsigned int hash = fib_laddr_hashfn(local, ns);
+  struct hlist_head *head = &fib_info_laddrhash(ns)[hash];
   struct hlist_node *node;
   struct fib_info *fi;

--- ./net/ipv4/route.c.vensroute Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/route.c Mon Aug 14 17:18:59 2006
@@ -266,6 +266,7 @@ struct rt_cache_iter_state {
  int bucket;
 };

+static struct rtable *rt_cache_get_next(struct seq_file *seq, struct rtable *r);
 static struct rtable *rt_cache_get_first(struct seq_file *seq)
 {
  struct rtable *r = NULL;
@@ -278,21 +279,28 @@ static struct rtable *rt_cache_get_first
    break;
   rcu_read_unlock_bh();
  }
+ if (r && !net_ns_match(r->fl.net_ns, current_net_ns))
+  r = rt_cache_get_next(seq, r);
  return r;
 }

 static struct rtable *rt_cache_get_next(struct seq_file *seq, struct rtable *r)
 {
  struct rt_cache_iter_state *st = rcu_dereference(seq->private);
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

+next:
  r = r->u.rt_next;
  while (!r) {
   rcu_read_unlock_bh();
   if (--st->bucket < 0)
-   break;
+   goto out;
   rcu_read_lock_bh();
   r = rt_hash_table[st->bucket].chain;
  }
+ if (!net_ns_match(r->fl.net_ns, ns))
+  goto next;
+out:
  return r;
 }

@@ -563,6 +571,7 @@ static inline u32 rt_score(struct rtable
 static inline int compare_keys(struct flowi *fl1, struct flowi *fl2)
 {
```

```
  return memcmp(&fl1->nl_u.ip4_u, &fl2->nl_u.ip4_u, sizeof(fl1->nl_u.ip4_u)) == 0 &&
+        net_ns_same(fl1->net_ns, fl2->net_ns) &&
         fl1->oif     == fl2->oif &&
         fl1->iif     == fl2->iif;
 }
@@ -1126,6 +1135,7 @@ void ip_rt_redirect(u32 old_gw, u32 dadd
  struct rtable *rth, **rthp;
  u32  skeys[2] = { saddr, 0 };
  int  ikeys[2] = { dev->ifindex, 0 };
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  struct netevent_redirect netevent;

  if (!in_dev)
@@ -1158,6 +1168,7 @@ void ip_rt_redirect(u32 old_gw, u32 dadd

     if (rth->fl.fl4_dst != daddr ||
         rth->fl.fl4_src != skeys[i] ||
+        !net_ns_match(rth->fl.net_ns, ns) ||
         rth->fl.oif != ikeys[k] ||
         rth->fl.iif != 0) {
      rthp = &rth->u.rt_next;
@@ -1649,6 +1660,9 @@ static int ip_route_input_mc(struct sk_b
  dev_hold(rth->u.dst.dev);
  rth->idev = in_dev_get(rth->u.dst.dev);
  rth->fl.oif = 0;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ rth->fl.net_ns = current_net_ns;
+#endif
  rth->rt_gateway = daddr;
  rth->rt_spec_dst= spec_dst;
  rth->rt_type = RTN_MULTICAST;
@@ -1792,6 +1806,9 @@ static inline int __mkroute_input(struct
  dev_hold(rth->u.dst.dev);
  rth->idev = in_dev_get(rth->u.dst.dev);
  rth->fl.oif  = 0;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ rth->fl.net_ns = current_net_ns;
+#endif
  rth->rt_spec_dst= spec_dst;

  rth->u.dst.input = ip_forward;
@@ -2093,6 +2110,7 @@ int ip_route_input(struct sk_buff *skb,
  struct rtable * rth;
  unsigned hash;
  int iif = dev->ifindex;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  tos &= IPTOS_RT_MASK;
```

```
  hash = rt_hash_code(daddr, saddr ^ (iif << 5));
@@ -2102,6 +2120,7 @@ int ip_route_input(struct sk_buff *skb,
       rth = rcu_dereference(rth->u.rt_next)) {
   if (rth->fl.fl4_dst == daddr &&
       rth->fl.fl4_src == saddr &&
+      net_ns_match(rth->fl.net_ns, ns) &&
       rth->fl.iif == iif &&
       rth->fl.oif == 0 &&
 #ifdef CONFIG_IP_ROUTE_FWMARK
@@ -2241,6 +2260,9 @@ static inline int __mkroute_output(struc
  rth->u.dst.dev = dev_out;
  dev_hold(dev_out);
  rth->idev = in_dev_get(dev_out);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ rth->fl.net_ns = current_net_ns;
+#endif
  rth->rt_gateway = fl->fl4_dst;
  rth->rt_spec_dst= fl->fl4_src;

@@ -2566,6 +2588,7 @@ int __ip_route_output_key(struct rtable
 {
  unsigned hash;
  struct rtable *rth;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  hash = rt_hash_code(flp->fl4_dst, flp->fl4_src ^ (flp->oif << 5));

@@ -2574,6 +2597,7 @@ int __ip_route_output_key(struct rtable
   rth = rcu_dereference(rth->u.rt_next)) {
   if (rth->fl.fl4_dst == flp->fl4_dst &&
       rth->fl.fl4_src == flp->fl4_src &&
+      net_ns_match(rth->fl.net_ns, ns) &&
       rth->fl.iif == 0 &&
       rth->fl.oif == flp->oif &&
 #ifdef CONFIG_IP_ROUTE_FWMARK
```

Subject: [PATCH 4/9] network namespaces: socket hashes
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Socket hash lookups are made within namespace.
Hash tables are common for all namespaces, with
additional permutation of indexes.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>

```
 include/linux/ipv6.h           |    3 ++-
```

```
 include/net/inet6_hashtables.h   |    6 ++++--
 include/net/inet_hashtables.h    |   38 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++------
 include/net/inet_sock.h          |    6 ++++--
 include/net/inet_timewait_sock.h |    2 ++
 include/net/sock.h               |    4 ++++
 include/net/udp.h                |   12 ++++++++++---
 net/core/sock.c                  |    5 +++++
 net/ipv4/inet_connection_sock.c  |   19 +++++++++++++++++++
 net/ipv4/inet_hashtables.c       |   29 +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
 net/ipv4/inet_timewait_sock.c    |    8 ++++++++
 net/ipv4/raw.c                   |    2 ++
 net/ipv4/udp.c                   |   20 ++++++++++++++++++++
 net/ipv6/inet6_connection_sock.c |    2 ++
 net/ipv6/inet6_hashtables.c      |   25 +++++++++++++++++++++++++
 net/ipv6/raw.c                   |    4 ++++
 net/ipv6/udp.c                   |   21 +++++++++++++++++++++
 17 files changed, 151 insertions(+), 55 deletions(-)

--- ./include/linux/ipv6.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:02:45 2006
+++ ./include/linux/ipv6.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -428,10 +428,11 @@ static inline struct raw6_sock *raw6_sk(
 #define inet_v6_ipv6only(__sk)  0
 #endif /* defined(CONFIG_IPV6) || defined(CONFIG_IPV6_MODULE) */

-#define INET6_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif)\
+#define INET6_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif, __ns)\
   (((__sk)->sk_hash == (__hash))    && \
    ((*((__u32 *)&(inet_sk(__sk)->dport))) == (__ports))   && \
    ((__sk)->sk_family  == AF_INET6)  && \
+  net_ns_match((__sk)->sk_net_ns, __ns)   && \
    ipv6_addr_equal(&inet6_sk(__sk)->daddr, (__saddr)) && \
    ipv6_addr_equal(&inet6_sk(__sk)->rcv_saddr, (__daddr)) && \
    (!((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if) || ((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if == (__dif))))
--- ./include/net/inet6_hashtables.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:02:47 2006
+++ ./include/net/inet6_hashtables.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -26,11 +26,13 @@ struct inet_hashinfo;

 /* I have no idea if this is a good hash for v6 or not. -DaveM */
 static inline unsigned int inet6_ehashfn(const struct in6_addr *laddr, const u16 lport,
-    const struct in6_addr *faddr, const u16 fport)
+    const struct in6_addr *faddr, const u16 fport,
+    struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
  unsigned int hashent = (lport ^ fport);

  hashent ^= (laddr->s6_addr32[3] ^ faddr->s6_addr32[3]);
+ hashent ^= net_ns_hash(ns);
  hashent ^= hashent >> 16;
```

```
  hashent ^= hashent >> 8;
  return hashent;
@@ -44,7 +46,7 @@ static inline int inet6_sk_ehashfn(const
  const struct in6_addr *faddr = &np->daddr;
  const __u16 lport = inet->num;
  const __u16 fport = inet->dport;
- return inet6_ehashfn(laddr, lport, faddr, fport);
+ return inet6_ehashfn(laddr, lport, faddr, fport, current_net_ns);
 }

 extern void __inet6_hash(struct inet_hashinfo *hashinfo, struct sock *sk);
--- ./include/net/inet_hashtables.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/net/inet_hashtables.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -74,6 +74,9 @@ struct inet_ehash_bucket {
  * ports are created in O(1) time?  I thought so. ;-) -DaveM
  */
 struct inet_bind_bucket {
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *net_ns;
+#endif
  unsigned short  port;
  signed short  fastreuse;
  struct hlist_node node;
@@ -142,30 +145,34 @@ extern struct inet_bind_bucket *
 extern void inet_bind_bucket_destroy(kmem_cache_t *cachep,
         struct inet_bind_bucket *tb);

-static inline int inet_bhashfn(const __u16 lport, const int bhash_size)
+static inline int inet_bhashfn(const __u16 lport,
+         struct net_namespace *ns,
+         const int bhash_size)
 {
- return lport & (bhash_size - 1);
+ return (lport ^ net_ns_hash(ns)) & (bhash_size - 1);
 }

 extern void inet_bind_hash(struct sock *sk, struct inet_bind_bucket *tb,
       const unsigned short snum);

 /* These can have wildcards, don't try too hard. */
-static inline int inet_lhashfn(const unsigned short num)
+static inline int inet_lhashfn(const unsigned short num,
+         struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
- return num & (INET_LHTABLE_SIZE - 1);
+ return (num ^ net_ns_hash(ns)) & (INET_LHTABLE_SIZE - 1);
 }
```

```
 static inline int inet_sk_listen_hashfn(const struct sock *sk)
 {
- return inet_lhashfn(inet_sk(sk)->num);
+ return inet_lhashfn(inet_sk(sk)->num, current_net_ns);
 }

 /* Caller must disable local BH processing. */
 static inline void __inet_inherit_port(struct inet_hashinfo *table,
           struct sock *sk, struct sock *child)
 {
- const int bhash = inet_bhashfn(inet_sk(child)->num, table->bhash_size);
+ const int bhash = inet_bhashfn(inet_sk(child)->num, current_net_ns,
+     table->bhash_size);
  struct inet_bind_hashbucket *head = &table->bhash[bhash];
  struct inet_bind_bucket *tb;

@@ -299,29 +306,33 @@ static inline struct sock *inet_lookup_l
 #define INET_ADDR_COOKIE(__name, __saddr, __daddr) \
  const __u64 __name = (((__u64)(__daddr)) << 32) | ((__u64)(__saddr));
 #endif /* __BIG_ENDIAN */
-#define INET_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif)\
+#define INET_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif, __ns)\
  (((__sk)->sk_hash == (__hash))    && \
   ((*((__u64 *)&(inet_sk(__sk)->daddr))) == (__cookie)) && \
   ((*((__u32 *)&(inet_sk(__sk)->dport))) == (__ports)) && \
+  net_ns_match((__sk)->sk_net_ns, __ns)   && \
   (!((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if) || ((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if == (__dif))))
-#define INET_TW_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif)\
+#define INET_TW_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif, __ns)\
  (((__sk)->sk_hash == (__hash))    && \
   ((*((__u64 *)&(inet_twsk(__sk)->tw_daddr))) == (__cookie)) && \
   ((*((__u32 *)&(inet_twsk(__sk)->tw_dport))) == (__ports)) && \
+  net_ns_match((__sk)->sk_net_ns, __ns)   && \
   (!((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if) || ((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if == (__dif))))
 #else /* 32-bit arch */
 #define INET_ADDR_COOKIE(__name, __saddr, __daddr)
-#define INET_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif) \
+#define INET_MATCH(__sk, __hash, __cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif, __ns)\
  (((__sk)->sk_hash == (__hash))    && \
   (inet_sk(__sk)->daddr  == (__saddr))  && \
   (inet_sk(__sk)->rcv_saddr == (__daddr))  && \
   ((*((__u32 *)&(inet_sk(__sk)->dport))) == (__ports)) && \
+  net_ns_match((__sk)->sk_net_ns, __ns)   && \
   (!((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if) || ((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if == (__dif))))
-#define INET_TW_MATCH(__sk, __hash,__cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif) \
+#define INET_TW_MATCH(__sk, __hash,__cookie, __saddr, __daddr, __ports, __dif, __ns)\
  (((__sk)->sk_hash == (__hash))    && \
   (inet_twsk(__sk)->tw_daddr == (__saddr))  && \
```

```
   (inet_twsk(__sk)->tw_rcv_saddr == (__daddr))  && \
   ((*((__u32 *)&(inet_twsk(__sk)->tw_dport))) == (__ports)) && \
+  net_ns_match((__sk)->sk_net_ns, __ns)   && \
   (!((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if) || ((__sk)->sk_bound_dev_if == (__dif))))
 #endif /* 64-bit arch */

@@ -341,22 +352,23 @@ static inline struct sock *
  const __u32 ports = INET_COMBINED_PORTS(sport, hnum);
  struct sock *sk;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  /* Optimize here for direct hit, only listening connections can
   * have wildcards anyways.
   */
- unsigned int hash = inet_ehashfn(daddr, hnum, saddr, sport);
+ unsigned int hash = inet_ehashfn(daddr, hnum, saddr, sport, ns);
  struct inet_ehash_bucket *head = inet_ehash_bucket(hashinfo, hash);

  prefetch(head->chain.first);
  read_lock(&head->lock);
  sk_for_each(sk, node, &head->chain) {
-  if (INET_MATCH(sk, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif))
+  if (INET_MATCH(sk, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns))
    goto hit; /* You sunk my battleship! */
  }

  /* Must check for a TIME_WAIT'er before going to listener hash. */
  sk_for_each(sk, node, &(head + hashinfo->ehash_size)->chain) {
-  if (INET_TW_MATCH(sk, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif))
+  if (INET_TW_MATCH(sk, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns))
    goto hit;
  }
  sk = NULL;
--- ./include/net/inet_sock.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:04 2006
+++ ./include/net/inet_sock.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -168,9 +168,11 @@ static inline void inet_sk_copy_descenda
 extern int inet_sk_rebuild_header(struct sock *sk);

 static inline unsigned int inet_ehashfn(const __u32 laddr, const __u16 lport,
-     const __u32 faddr, const __u16 fport)
+     const __u32 faddr, const __u16 fport,
+     struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
  unsigned int h = (laddr ^ lport) ^ (faddr ^ fport);
+ h ^= net_ns_hash(ns);
  h ^= h >> 16;
  h ^= h >> 8;
  return h;
```

```
@@ -184,7 +186,7 @@ static inline int inet_sk_ehashfn(const
  const __u32 faddr = inet->daddr;
  const __u16 fport = inet->dport;

- return inet_ehashfn(laddr, lport, faddr, fport);
+ return inet_ehashfn(laddr, lport, faddr, fport, current_net_ns);
 }

 #endif /* _INET_SOCK_H */
--- ./include/net/inet_timewait_sock.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:02:47 2006
+++ ./include/net/inet_timewait_sock.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -115,6 +115,7 @@ struct inet_timewait_sock {
 #define tw_refcnt  __tw_common.skc_refcnt
 #define tw_hash    __tw_common.skc_hash
 #define tw_prot    __tw_common.skc_prot
+#define tw_net_ns  __tw_common.skc_net_ns
  volatile unsigned char tw_substate;
  /* 3 bits hole, try to pack */
  unsigned char  tw_rcv_wscale;
@@ -200,6 +201,7 @@ static inline void inet_twsk_put(struct
   printk(KERN_DEBUG "%s timewait_sock %p released\n",
          tw->tw_prot->name, tw);
 #endif
+  put_net_ns(tw->tw_net_ns);
   kmem_cache_free(tw->tw_prot->twsk_prot->twsk_slab, tw);
   module_put(owner);
  }
--- ./include/net/sock.h.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
+++ ./include/net/sock.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -118,6 +118,9 @@ struct sock_common {
  atomic_t  skc_refcnt;
  unsigned int  skc_hash;
  struct proto  *skc_prot;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+ struct net_namespace *skc_net_ns;
+#endif
 };

 /**
@@ -194,6 +197,7 @@ struct sock {
 #define sk_refcnt  __sk_common.skc_refcnt
 #define sk_hash    __sk_common.skc_hash
 #define sk_prot    __sk_common.skc_prot
+#define sk_net_ns  __sk_common.skc_net_ns
  unsigned char  sk_shutdown : 2,
     sk_no_check : 2,
     sk_userlocks : 4;
--- ./include/net/udp.h.venssock Mon Mar 20 08:53:29 2006
```

```
+++ ./include/net/udp.h Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -39,13 +39,19 @@ extern rwlock_t udp_hash_lock;

 extern int udp_port_rover;

-static inline int udp_lport_inuse(u16 num)
+static inline int udp_hashfn(u16 num, struct net_namespace *ns)
+{
+ return (num ^ net_ns_hash(ns)) & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1);
+}
+
+static inline int udp_lport_inuse(u16 num, struct net_namespace *ns)
 {
  struct sock *sk;
  struct hlist_node *node;

- sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[num & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)])
-  if (inet_sk(sk)->num == num)
+ sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(num, ns)])
+  if (inet_sk(sk)->num == num &&
+      net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
    return 1;
  return 0;
 }
--- ./net/core/sock.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:05 2006
+++ ./net/core/sock.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -858,6 +858,9 @@ struct sock *sk_alloc(int family, gfp_t
     */
    sk->sk_prot = sk->sk_prot_creator = prot;
    sock_lock_init(sk);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+   sk->sk_net_ns = get_net_ns(current_net_ns);
+#endif
   }

   if (security_sk_alloc(sk, family, priority))
@@ -897,6 +900,7 @@ void sk_free(struct sock *sk)
         __FUNCTION__, atomic_read(&sk->sk_omem_alloc));

  security_sk_free(sk);
+ put_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns);
  if (sk->sk_prot_creator->slab != NULL)
   kmem_cache_free(sk->sk_prot_creator->slab, sk);
  else
@@ -932,6 +936,7 @@ struct sock *sk_clone(const struct sock
   lockdep_set_class(&newsk->sk_callback_lock,
       af_callback_keys + newsk->sk_family);
```

```
+  (void) get_net_ns(newsk->sk_net_ns);
   newsk->sk_dst_cache = NULL;
   newsk->sk_wmem_queued = 0;
   newsk->sk_forward_alloc = 0;
--- ./net/ipv4/inet_connection_sock.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:08 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/inet_connection_sock.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -43,10 +43,12 @@ int inet_csk_bind_conflict(const struct
  struct sock *sk2;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  int reuse = sk->sk_reuse;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  sk_for_each_bound(sk2, node, &tb->owners) {
   if (sk != sk2 &&
       !inet_v6_ipv6only(sk2) &&
+      net_ns_match(sk2->sk_net_ns, ns) &&
       (!sk->sk_bound_dev_if ||
        !sk2->sk_bound_dev_if ||
        sk->sk_bound_dev_if == sk2->sk_bound_dev_if)) {
@@ -75,6 +77,7 @@ int inet_csk_get_port(struct inet_hashin
  struct inet_bind_hashbucket *head;
  struct hlist_node *node;
  struct inet_bind_bucket *tb;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  int ret;

  local_bh_disable();
@@ -85,11 +88,15 @@ int inet_csk_get_port(struct inet_hashin
   int rover = net_random() % (high - low) + low;

   do {
-   head = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(rover, hashinfo->bhash_size)];
+   head = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(rover, ns,
+        hashinfo->bhash_size)];
    spin_lock(&head->lock);
-   inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain)
+   inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain) {
+    if (!net_ns_match(tb->net_ns, ns))
+     continue;
     if (tb->port == rover)
      goto next;
+   }
    break;
   next:
    spin_unlock(&head->lock);
@@ -112,11 +119,15 @@ int inet_csk_get_port(struct inet_hashin
    */
   snum = rover;
```

```
  } else {
-  head = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, hashinfo->bhash_size)];
+  head = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, ns,
+       hashinfo->bhash_size)];
    spin_lock(&head->lock);
-  inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain)
+  inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain) {
+   if (!net_ns_match(tb->net_ns, ns))
+    continue;
     if (tb->port == snum)
      goto tb_found;
+  }
  }
  tb = NULL;
  goto tb_not_found;
--- ./net/ipv4/inet_hashtables.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:08 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/inet_hashtables.c Tue Aug 15 13:43:20 2006
@@ -36,6 +36,9 @@ struct inet_bind_bucket *inet_bind_bucke
  if (tb != NULL) {
   tb->port      = snum;
   tb->fastreuse = 0;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+  tb->net_ns = get_net_ns(current_net_ns);
+#endif
    INIT_HLIST_HEAD(&tb->owners);
    hlist_add_head(&tb->node, &head->chain);
  }
@@ -49,6 +52,7 @@ void inet_bind_bucket_destroy(kmem_cache
 {
  if (hlist_empty(&tb->owners)) {
   __hlist_del(&tb->node);
+  put_net_ns(tb->net_ns);
   kmem_cache_free(cachep, tb);
  }
 }
@@ -66,7 +70,8 @@ void inet_bind_hash(struct sock *sk, str
  */
 static void __inet_put_port(struct inet_hashinfo *hashinfo, struct sock *sk)
 {
- const int bhash = inet_bhashfn(inet_sk(sk)->num, hashinfo->bhash_size);
+ const int bhash = inet_bhashfn(inet_sk(sk)->num, current_net_ns,
+      hashinfo->bhash_size);
  struct inet_bind_hashbucket *head = &hashinfo->bhash[bhash];
  struct inet_bind_bucket *tb;

@@ -130,12 +135,15 @@ static struct sock *inet_lookup_listener
           const int dif)
 {
```

```
  struct sock *result = NULL, *sk;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
  int hiscore = -1;

  sk_for_each(sk, node, head) {
   const struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(sk);

+  if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
   if (inet->num == hnum && !ipv6_only_sock(sk)) {
    const __u32 rcv_saddr = inet->rcv_saddr;
    int score = sk->sk_family == PF_INET ? 1 : 0;
@@ -168,14 +176,16 @@ struct sock *__inet_lookup_listener(stru
 {
  struct sock *sk = NULL;
  const struct hlist_head *head;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  read_lock(&hashinfo->lhash_lock);
- head = &hashinfo->listening_hash[inet_lhashfn(hnum)];
+ head = &hashinfo->listening_hash[inet_lhashfn(hnum, ns)];
  if (!hlist_empty(head)) {
   const struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk((sk = __sk_head(head)));

   if (inet->num == hnum && !sk->sk_node.next &&
       (!inet->rcv_saddr || inet->rcv_saddr == daddr) &&
+      net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns) &&
       (sk->sk_family == PF_INET || !ipv6_only_sock(sk)) &&
       !sk->sk_bound_dev_if)
    goto sherry_cache;
@@ -202,7 +212,8 @@ static int __inet_check_established(stru
  int dif = sk->sk_bound_dev_if;
  INET_ADDR_COOKIE(acookie, saddr, daddr)
  const __u32 ports = INET_COMBINED_PORTS(inet->dport, lport);
- unsigned int hash = inet_ehashfn(daddr, lport, saddr, inet->dport);
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
+ unsigned int hash = inet_ehashfn(daddr, lport, saddr, inet->dport, ns);
  struct inet_ehash_bucket *head = inet_ehash_bucket(hinfo, hash);
  struct sock *sk2;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
@@ -215,7 +226,7 @@ static int __inet_check_established(stru
  sk_for_each(sk2, node, &(head + hinfo->ehash_size)->chain) {
   tw = inet_twsk(sk2);

-  if (INET_TW_MATCH(sk2, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif)) {
+  if (INET_TW_MATCH(sk2, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns)) {
    if (twsk_unique(sk, sk2, twp))
```

```
     goto unique;
    else
@@ -226,7 +237,7 @@ static int __inet_check_established(stru

  /* And established part... */
  sk_for_each(sk2, node, &head->chain) {
-  if (INET_MATCH(sk2, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif))
+  if (INET_MATCH(sk2, hash, acookie, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns))
     goto not_unique;
  }

@@ -274,6 +285,7 @@ int inet_hash_connect(struct inet_timewa
 {
  struct inet_hashinfo *hinfo = death_row->hashinfo;
  const unsigned short snum = inet_sk(sk)->num;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
   struct inet_bind_hashbucket *head;
   struct inet_bind_bucket *tb;
  int ret;
@@ -292,7 +304,8 @@ int inet_hash_connect(struct inet_timewa
    local_bh_disable();
   for (i = 1; i <= range; i++) {
    port = low + (i + offset) % range;
-    head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(port, hinfo->bhash_size)];
+    head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(port, ns,
+      hinfo->bhash_size)];
     spin_lock(&head->lock);

     /* Does not bother with rcv_saddr checks,
@@ -300,6 +313,8 @@ int inet_hash_connect(struct inet_timewa
      * unique enough.
      */
    inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain) {
+    if (!net_ns_match(tb->net_ns, ns))
+     continue;
      if (tb->port == port) {
       BUG_TRAP(!hlist_empty(&tb->owners));
       if (tb->fastreuse >= 0)
@@ -347,7 +362,7 @@ ok:
   goto out;
   }

-  head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, hinfo->bhash_size)];
+  head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, ns, hinfo->bhash_size)];
   tb  = inet_csk(sk)->icsk_bind_hash;
  spin_lock_bh(&head->lock);
  if (sk_head(&tb->owners) == sk && !sk->sk_bind_node.next) {
--- ./net/ipv4/inet_timewait_sock.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:02:49 2006
```

```
+++ ./net/ipv4/inet_timewait_sock.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -31,7 +31,7 @@ void __inet_twsk_kill(struct inet_timewa
  write_unlock(&ehead->lock);

  /* Disassociate with bind bucket. */
- bhead = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(tw->tw_num, hashinfo->bhash_size)];
+ bhead = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(tw->tw_num, current_net_ns, hashinfo->bhash_size)];
  spin_lock(&bhead->lock);
  tb = tw->tw_tb;
  __hlist_del(&tw->tw_bind_node);
@@ -65,7 +65,8 @@ void __inet_twsk_hashdance(struct inet_t
     Note, that any socket with inet->num != 0 MUST be bound in
     binding cache, even if it is closed.
   */
- bhead = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(inet->num, hashinfo->bhash_size)];
+ bhead = &hashinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(inet->num, current_net_ns,
+     hashinfo->bhash_size)];
  spin_lock(&bhead->lock);
  tw->tw_tb = icsk->icsk_bind_hash;
  BUG_TRAP(icsk->icsk_bind_hash);
@@ -109,6 +110,9 @@ struct inet_timewait_sock *inet_twsk_all
   tw->tw_hash     = sk->sk_hash;
   tw->tw_ipv6only     = 0;
   tw->tw_prot     = sk->sk_prot_creator;
+#ifdef CONFIG_NET_NS
+  tw->tw_net_ns     = get_net_ns(current_net_ns);
+#endif
   atomic_set(&tw->tw_refcnt, 1);
   inet_twsk_dead_node_init(tw);
   __module_get(tw->tw_prot->owner);
--- ./net/ipv4/raw.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/raw.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -106,6 +106,7 @@ struct sock *__raw_v4_lookup(struct sock
         int dif)
 {
  struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  sk_for_each_from(sk, node) {
   struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(sk);
@@ -113,6 +114,7 @@ struct sock *__raw_v4_lookup(struct sock
   if (inet->num == num      &&
       !(inet->daddr && inet->daddr != raddr)   &&
       !(inet->rcv_saddr && inet->rcv_saddr != laddr) &&
+      net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns)   &&
       !(sk->sk_bound_dev_if && sk->sk_bound_dev_if != dif))
    goto found; /* gotcha */
  }
```

```
--- ./net/ipv4/udp.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/udp.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -125,6 +125,7 @@ static int udp_v4_get_port(struct sock *
 {
  struct hlist_node *node;
  struct sock *sk2;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(sk);

  write_lock_bh(&udp_hash_lock);
@@ -140,7 +141,7 @@ static int udp_v4_get_port(struct sock *
    struct hlist_head *list;
    int size;

-   list = &udp_hash[result & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)];
+   list = &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(result, ns)];
    if (hlist_empty(list)) {
     if (result > sysctl_local_port_range[1])
      result = sysctl_local_port_range[0] +
@@ -162,7 +163,7 @@ static int udp_v4_get_port(struct sock *
     result = sysctl_local_port_range[0]
      + ((result - sysctl_local_port_range[0]) &
        (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1));
-   if (!udp_lport_inuse(result))
+   if (!udp_lport_inuse(result, ns))
     break;
   }
   if (i >= (1 << 16) / UDP_HTABLE_SIZE)
@@ -170,13 +171,13 @@ static int udp_v4_get_port(struct sock *
 gotit:
   udp_port_rover = snum = result;
  } else {
-  sk_for_each(sk2, node,
-       &udp_hash[snum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]) {
+  sk_for_each(sk2, node, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(snum, ns)]) {
    struct inet_sock *inet2 = inet_sk(sk2);

    if (inet2->num == snum &&
        sk2 != sk &&
        !ipv6_only_sock(sk2) &&
+       net_ns_match(sk2->sk_net_ns, ns) &&
        (!sk2->sk_bound_dev_if ||
         !sk->sk_bound_dev_if ||
         sk2->sk_bound_dev_if == sk->sk_bound_dev_if) &&
@@ -189,7 +190,7 @@ gotit:
  }
  inet->num = snum;
  if (sk_unhashed(sk)) {
```

```
-  struct hlist_head *h = &udp_hash[snum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)];
+  struct hlist_head *h = &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(snum, ns)];

   sk_add_node(sk, h);
   sock_prot_inc_use(sk->sk_prot);
@@ -225,12 +226,15 @@ static struct sock *udp_v4_lookup_longwa
 {
  struct sock *sk, *result = NULL;
  struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  unsigned short hnum = ntohs(dport);
  int badness = -1;

- sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[hnum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]) {
+ sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(hnum, ns)]) {
   struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(sk);

+  if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
   if (inet->num == hnum && !ipv6_only_sock(sk)) {
    int score = (sk->sk_family == PF_INET ? 1 : 0);
    if (inet->rcv_saddr) {
@@ -285,6 +289,7 @@ static inline struct sock *udp_v4_mcast_
 {
  struct hlist_node *node;
  struct sock *s = sk;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  unsigned short hnum = ntohs(loc_port);

  sk_for_each_from(s, node) {
@@ -295,6 +300,7 @@ static inline struct sock *udp_v4_mcast_
       (inet->dport != rmt_port && inet->dport)  ||
       (inet->rcv_saddr && inet->rcv_saddr != loc_addr) ||
       ipv6_only_sock(s)     ||
+      !net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns)   ||
       (s->sk_bound_dev_if && s->sk_bound_dev_if != dif))
    continue;
   if (!ip_mc_sf_allow(s, loc_addr, rmt_addr, dif))
@@ -1063,7 +1069,7 @@ static int udp_v4_mcast_deliver(struct s
  int dif;

  read_lock(&udp_hash_lock);
- sk = sk_head(&udp_hash[ntohs(uh->dest) & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]);
+ sk = sk_head(&udp_hash[udp_hashfn(ntohs(uh->dest), current_net_ns)]);
  dif = skb->dev->ifindex;
  sk = udp_v4_mcast_next(sk, uh->dest, daddr, uh->source, saddr, dif);
  if (sk) {
--- ./net/ipv6/inet6_connection_sock.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
```

```
+++ ./net/ipv6/inet6_connection_sock.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -31,10 +31,12 @@ int inet6_csk_bind_conflict(const struct
 {
  const struct sock *sk2;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  /* We must walk the whole port owner list in this case. -DaveM */
  sk_for_each_bound(sk2, node, &tb->owners) {
   if (sk != sk2 &&
+      net_ns_match(sk2->sk_net_ns, ns) &&
       (!sk->sk_bound_dev_if ||
        !sk2->sk_bound_dev_if ||
        sk->sk_bound_dev_if == sk2->sk_bound_dev_if) &&
--- ./net/ipv6/inet6_hashtables.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:02:50 2006
+++ ./net/ipv6/inet6_hashtables.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -65,17 +65,18 @@ struct sock *__inet6_lookup_established(
  struct sock *sk;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
  const __u32 ports = INET_COMBINED_PORTS(sport, hnum);
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  /* Optimize here for direct hit, only listening connections can
   * have wildcards anyways.
   */
- unsigned int hash = inet6_ehashfn(daddr, hnum, saddr, sport);
+ unsigned int hash = inet6_ehashfn(daddr, hnum, saddr, sport, ns);
  struct inet_ehash_bucket *head = inet_ehash_bucket(hashinfo, hash);

  prefetch(head->chain.first);
  read_lock(&head->lock);
  sk_for_each(sk, node, &head->chain) {
   /* For IPV6 do the cheaper port and family tests first. */
-  if (INET6_MATCH(sk, hash, saddr, daddr, ports, dif))
+  if (INET6_MATCH(sk, hash, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns))
    goto hit; /* You sunk my battleship! */
  }
  /* Must check for a TIME_WAIT'er before going to listener hash. */
@@ -83,6 +84,7 @@ struct sock *__inet6_lookup_established(
   const struct inet_timewait_sock *tw = inet_twsk(sk);

   if(*((__u32 *)&(tw->tw_dport)) == ports &&
+     net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns)  &&
      sk->sk_family  == PF_INET6) {
    const struct inet6_timewait_sock *tw6 = inet6_twsk(sk);

@@ -107,12 +109,15 @@ struct sock *inet6_lookup_listener(struc
       const unsigned short hnum, const int dif)
 {
```

```
  struct sock *sk;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
  struct sock *result = NULL;
  int score, hiscore = 0;

  read_lock(&hashinfo->lhash_lock);
- sk_for_each(sk, node, &hashinfo->listening_hash[inet_lhashfn(hnum)]) {
+ sk_for_each(sk, node, &hashinfo->listening_hash[inet_lhashfn(hnum, ns)]) {
+  if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
    if (inet_sk(sk)->num == hnum && sk->sk_family == PF_INET6) {
     const struct ipv6_pinfo *np = inet6_sk(sk);

@@ -172,8 +177,9 @@ static int __inet6_check_established(str
  const struct in6_addr *saddr = &np->daddr;
  const int dif = sk->sk_bound_dev_if;
  const u32 ports = INET_COMBINED_PORTS(inet->dport, lport);
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  const unsigned int hash = inet6_ehashfn(daddr, inet->num, saddr,
-      inet->dport);
+      inet->dport, ns);
  struct inet_ehash_bucket *head = inet_ehash_bucket(hinfo, hash);
  struct sock *sk2;
  const struct hlist_node *node;
@@ -190,6 +196,7 @@ static int __inet6_check_established(str

    if(*((__u32 *)&(tw->tw_dport)) == ports    &&
       sk2->sk_family         == PF_INET6  &&
+      net_ns_match(sk2->sk_net_ns, ns)    &&
       ipv6_addr_equal(&tw6->tw_v6_daddr, saddr)  &&
       ipv6_addr_equal(&tw6->tw_v6_rcv_saddr, daddr) &&
       sk2->sk_bound_dev_if == sk->sk_bound_dev_if) {
@@ -203,7 +210,7 @@ static int __inet6_check_established(str

  /* And established part... */
  sk_for_each(sk2, node, &head->chain) {
-  if (INET6_MATCH(sk2, hash, saddr, daddr, ports, dif))
+  if (INET6_MATCH(sk2, hash, saddr, daddr, ports, dif, ns))
     goto not_unique;
  }

@@ -249,6 +256,7 @@ int inet6_hash_connect(struct inet_timew
 {
  struct inet_hashinfo *hinfo = death_row->hashinfo;
  const unsigned short snum = inet_sk(sk)->num;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
    struct inet_bind_hashbucket *head;
```

```
  struct inet_bind_bucket *tb;
 int ret;
@@ -266,7 +274,8 @@ int inet6_hash_connect(struct inet_timew
    local_bh_disable();
   for (i = 1; i <= range; i++) {
    port = low + (i + offset) % range;
-    head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(port, hinfo->bhash_size)];
+    head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(port, ns,
+      hinfo->bhash_size)];
     spin_lock(&head->lock);

     /* Does not bother with rcv_saddr checks,
@@ -274,6 +283,8 @@ int inet6_hash_connect(struct inet_timew
      * unique enough.
      */
    inet_bind_bucket_for_each(tb, node, &head->chain) {
+    if (!net_ns_match(tb->net_ns, ns))
+     continue;
      if (tb->port == port) {
       BUG_TRAP(!hlist_empty(&tb->owners));
       if (tb->fastreuse >= 0)
@@ -322,7 +333,7 @@ ok:
   goto out;
   }

-  head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, hinfo->bhash_size)];
+  head = &hinfo->bhash[inet_bhashfn(snum, ns, hinfo->bhash_size)];
   tb   = inet_csk(sk)->icsk_bind_hash;
  spin_lock_bh(&head->lock);

--- ./net/ipv6/raw.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv6/raw.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -87,11 +87,15 @@ struct sock *__raw_v6_lookup(struct sock
 {
  struct hlist_node *node;
  int is_multicast = ipv6_addr_is_multicast(loc_addr);
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  sk_for_each_from(sk, node)
   if (inet_sk(sk)->num == num) {
    struct ipv6_pinfo *np = inet6_sk(sk);

+   if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+    continue;
+
    if (!ipv6_addr_any(&np->daddr) &&
        !ipv6_addr_equal(&np->daddr, rmt_addr))
     continue;
```

```
--- ./net/ipv6/udp.c.venssock Mon Aug 14 17:04:10 2006
+++ ./net/ipv6/udp.c Tue Aug 15 13:38:47 2006
@@ -68,6 +68,7 @@ static int udp_v6_get_port(struct sock *
 {
  struct sock *sk2;
  struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;

  write_lock_bh(&udp_hash_lock);
  if (snum == 0) {
@@ -82,7 +83,7 @@ static int udp_v6_get_port(struct sock *
    int size;
    struct hlist_head *list;

-   list = &udp_hash[result & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)];
+   list = &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(result, ns)];
    if (hlist_empty(list)) {
     if (result > sysctl_local_port_range[1])
      result = sysctl_local_port_range[0] +
@@ -104,7 +105,7 @@ static int udp_v6_get_port(struct sock *
     result = sysctl_local_port_range[0]
      + ((result - sysctl_local_port_range[0]) &
        (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1));
-   if (!udp_lport_inuse(result))
+   if (!udp_lport_inuse(result, ns))
     break;
   }
   if (i >= (1 << 16) / UDP_HTABLE_SIZE)
@@ -112,10 +113,10 @@ static int udp_v6_get_port(struct sock *
 gotit:
   udp_port_rover = snum = result;
  } else {
-  sk_for_each(sk2, node,
-       &udp_hash[snum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]) {
+  sk_for_each(sk2, node, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(snum, ns)]) {
    if (inet_sk(sk2)->num == snum &&
        sk2 != sk &&
+       net_ns_match(sk2->sk_net_ns, ns) &&
        (!sk2->sk_bound_dev_if ||
         !sk->sk_bound_dev_if ||
         sk2->sk_bound_dev_if == sk->sk_bound_dev_if) &&
@@ -127,7 +128,7 @@ gotit:

  inet_sk(sk)->num = snum;
  if (sk_unhashed(sk)) {
-  sk_add_node(sk, &udp_hash[snum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]);
+  sk_add_node(sk, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(snum, ns)]);
   sock_prot_inc_use(sk->sk_prot);
```

```
  }
  write_unlock_bh(&udp_hash_lock);
@@ -158,13 +159,16 @@ static struct sock *udp_v6_lookup(struct
 {
  struct sock *sk, *result = NULL;
  struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  unsigned short hnum = ntohs(dport);
  int badness = -1;

   read_lock(&udp_hash_lock);
- sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[hnum & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]) {
+ sk_for_each(sk, node, &udp_hash[udp_hashfn(hnum, ns)]) {
   struct inet_sock *inet = inet_sk(sk);

+  if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+   continue;
   if (inet->num == hnum && sk->sk_family == PF_INET6) {
    struct ipv6_pinfo *np = inet6_sk(sk);
    int score = 0;
@@ -372,6 +376,7 @@ static struct sock *udp_v6_mcast_next(st
 {
  struct hlist_node *node;
  struct sock *s = sk;
+ struct net_namespace *ns = current_net_ns;
  unsigned short num = ntohs(loc_port);

  sk_for_each_from(s, node) {
@@ -383,6 +388,8 @@ static struct sock *udp_v6_mcast_next(st
     if (inet->dport != rmt_port)
      continue;
    }
+   if (!net_ns_match(sk->sk_net_ns, ns))
+    continue;
    if (!ipv6_addr_any(&np->daddr) &&
        !ipv6_addr_equal(&np->daddr, rmt_addr))
     continue;
@@ -414,7 +421,7 @@ static void udpv6_mcast_deliver(struct u
  int dif;

  read_lock(&udp_hash_lock);
- sk = sk_head(&udp_hash[ntohs(uh->dest) & (UDP_HTABLE_SIZE - 1)]);
+ sk = sk_head(&udp_hash[udp_hashfn(uh->dest, current_net_ns)]);
  dif = skb->dev->ifindex;
  sk = udp_v6_mcast_next(sk, uh->dest, daddr, uh->source, saddr, dif);
  if (!sk) {
```

Subject: [PATCH 5/9] network namespaces: async socket operations
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Non-trivial part of socket namespaces: asynchronous events
should be run in proper context.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>
---
 af_inet.c | 10 ++++++++++
 inet_timewait_sock.c | 8 ++++++++
 tcp_timer.c | 9 +++++++++
 3 files changed, 27 insertions(+)

```
--- ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c.venssock-asyn Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
@@ -366,10 +366,17 @@ out_rcu_unlock:
 int inet_release(struct socket *sock)
 {
  struct sock *sk = sock->sk;
+ struct net_namespace *ns, *orig_net_ns;

  if (sk) {
   long timeout;

+  /* Need to change context here since protocol ->close
+   * operation may send packets.
+   */
+  ns = get_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns);
+  push_net_ns(ns, orig_net_ns);
+
   /* Applications forget to leave groups before exiting */
   ip_mc_drop_socket(sk);

@@ -386,6 +393,9 @@ int inet_release(struct socket *sock)
    timeout = sk->sk_lingertime;
   sock->sk = NULL;
   sk->sk_prot->close(sk, timeout);
+
+  pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
+  put_net_ns(ns);
  }
  return 0;
 }
--- ./net/ipv4/inet_timewait_sock.c.venssock-asyn Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/inet_timewait_sock.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
@@ -129,6 +129,7 @@ static int inet_twdr_do_twkill_work(stru
 {
  struct inet_timewait_sock *tw;
```

```
  struct hlist_node *node;
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
  unsigned int killed;
  int ret;

@@ -140,8 +141,10 @@ static int inet_twdr_do_twkill_work(stru
   */
  killed = 0;
  ret = 0;
+ push_net_ns(current_net_ns, orig_net_ns);
 rescan:
  inet_twsk_for_each_inmate(tw, node, &twdr->cells[slot]) {
+  switch_net_ns(tw->tw_net_ns);
   __inet_twsk_del_dead_node(tw);
   spin_unlock(&twdr->death_lock);
   __inet_twsk_kill(tw, twdr->hashinfo);
@@ -164,6 +167,7 @@ rescan:

  twdr->tw_count -= killed;
  NET_ADD_STATS_BH(LINUX_MIB_TIMEWAITED, killed);
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);

  return ret;
 }
@@ -338,10 +342,12 @@ void inet_twdr_twcal_tick(unsigned long
  int n, slot;
  unsigned long j;
  unsigned long now = jiffies;
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
  int killed = 0;
  int adv = 0;

  twdr = (struct inet_timewait_death_row *)data;
+ push_net_ns(current_net_ns, orig_net_ns);

  spin_lock(&twdr->death_lock);
  if (twdr->twcal_hand < 0)
@@ -357,6 +363,7 @@ void inet_twdr_twcal_tick(unsigned long

    inet_twsk_for_each_inmate_safe(tw, node, safe,
             &twdr->twcal_row[slot]) {
+    switch_net_ns(tw->tw_net_ns);
     __inet_twsk_del_dead_node(tw);
     __inet_twsk_kill(tw, twdr->hashinfo);
     inet_twsk_put(tw);
@@ -384,6 +391,7 @@ out:
   del_timer(&twdr->tw_timer);
  NET_ADD_STATS_BH(LINUX_MIB_TIMEWAITKILLED, killed);
```

```
  spin_unlock(&twdr->death_lock);
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
 }

 EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(inet_twdr_twcal_tick);
--- ./net/ipv4/tcp_timer.c.venssock-asyn Mon Aug 14 16:43:51 2006
+++ ./net/ipv4/tcp_timer.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
@@ -171,7 +171,9 @@ static void tcp_delack_timer(unsigned lo
  struct sock *sk = (struct sock*)data;
  struct tcp_sock *tp = tcp_sk(sk);
  struct inet_connection_sock *icsk = inet_csk(sk);
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;

+ push_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns, orig_net_ns);
  bh_lock_sock(sk);
  if (sock_owned_by_user(sk)) {
   /* Try again later. */
@@ -225,6 +227,7 @@ out:
 out_unlock:
  bh_unlock_sock(sk);
  sock_put(sk);
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
 }

 static void tcp_probe_timer(struct sock *sk)
@@ -384,8 +387,10 @@ static void tcp_write_timer(unsigned lon
 {
  struct sock *sk = (struct sock*)data;
  struct inet_connection_sock *icsk = inet_csk(sk);
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
  int event;

+ push_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns, orig_net_ns);
  bh_lock_sock(sk);
  if (sock_owned_by_user(sk)) {
   /* Try again later */
@@ -419,6 +424,7 @@ out:
 out_unlock:
  bh_unlock_sock(sk);
  sock_put(sk);
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
 }

 /*
@@ -447,9 +453,11 @@ static void tcp_keepalive_timer (unsigne
 {
  struct sock *sk = (struct sock *) data;
  struct inet_connection_sock *icsk = inet_csk(sk);
```

```
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
  struct tcp_sock *tp = tcp_sk(sk);
  __u32 elapsed;

+ push_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns, orig_net_ns);
  /* Only process if socket is not in use. */
  bh_lock_sock(sk);
  if (sock_owned_by_user(sk)) {
@@ -521,4 +529,5 @@ death:
 out:
  bh_unlock_sock(sk);
  sock_put(sk);
+ put_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
 }
```

Subject: [PATCH 6/9] allow proc_dir_entries to have destructor
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Destructor field added proc_dir_entries,
standard destructor kfree'ing data introduced.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>

```
---
 fs/proc/generic.c       |   10 ++++++++--
 fs/proc/root.c          |    1 +
 include/linux/proc_fs.h |    4 ++++
 3 files changed, 13 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)

--- ./fs/proc/generic.c.veprocdtor Mon Aug 14 16:43:41 2006
+++ ./fs/proc/generic.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:51 2006
@@ -608,6 +608,11 @@ static struct proc_dir_entry *proc_creat
  return ent;
 }

+void proc_data_destructor(struct proc_dir_entry *ent)
+{
+ kfree(ent->data);
+}
+
 struct proc_dir_entry *proc_symlink(const char *name,
   struct proc_dir_entry *parent, const char *dest)
 {
@@ -620,6 +625,7 @@ struct proc_dir_entry *proc_symlink(cons
   ent->data = kmalloc((ent->size=strlen(dest))+1, GFP_KERNEL);
   if (ent->data) {
    strcpy((char*)ent->data,dest);
```

```
+   ent->destructor = proc_data_destructor;
    if (proc_register(parent, ent) < 0) {
     kfree(ent->data);
     kfree(ent);
@@ -698,8 +704,8 @@ void free_proc_entry(struct proc_dir_ent

  release_inode_number(ino);

- if (S_ISLNK(de->mode) && de->data)
-  kfree(de->data);
+ if (de->destructor)
+  de->destructor(de);
  kfree(de);
 }

--- ./fs/proc/root.c.veprocdtor Mon Aug 14 17:02:38 2006
+++ ./fs/proc/root.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:51 2006
@@ -154,6 +154,7 @@ EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_symlink);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_mkdir);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(create_proc_entry);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(remove_proc_entry);
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_data_destructor);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_root);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_root_fs);
 EXPORT_SYMBOL(proc_net);
--- ./include/linux/proc_fs.h.veprocdtor Mon Aug 14 17:02:47 2006
+++ ./include/linux/proc_fs.h Tue Aug 15 13:45:51 2006
@@ -46,6 +46,8 @@ typedef int (read_proc_t)(char *page, ch
 typedef int (write_proc_t)(struct file *file, const char __user *buffer,
       unsigned long count, void *data);
 typedef int (get_info_t)(char *, char **, off_t, int);
+struct proc_dir_entry;
+typedef void (destroy_proc_t)(struct proc_dir_entry *);

 struct proc_dir_entry {
  unsigned int low_ino;
@@ -65,6 +67,7 @@ struct proc_dir_entry {
  read_proc_t *read_proc;
  write_proc_t *write_proc;
  atomic_t count;  /* use count */
+ destroy_proc_t *destructor;
  int deleted;  /* delete flag */
  void *set;
 };
@@ -109,6 +112,7 @@ char *task_mem(struct mm_struct *, char
 extern struct proc_dir_entry *create_proc_entry(const char *name, mode_t mode,
       struct proc_dir_entry *parent);
 extern void remove_proc_entry(const char *name, struct proc_dir_entry *parent);
```

```
+extern void proc_data_destructor(struct proc_dir_entry *);

 extern struct vfsmount *proc_mnt;
 extern int proc_fill_super(struct super_block *,void *,int);
```

Subject: [PATCH 7/9] net_device seq_file
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Library function to create a seq_file in proc filesystem,
showing some information for each netdevice.
This code is present in the kernel in about 10 instances, and
all of them can be converted to using introduced library function.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>

```
---
 include/linux/netdevice.h |    7 +++
 net/core/dev.c            |   96 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
 2 files changed, 103 insertions(+)

--- ./include/linux/netdevice.h.venetproc Tue Aug 15 13:46:08 2006
+++ ./include/linux/netdevice.h Tue Aug 15 13:46:08 2006
@@ -592,6 +592,13 @@ extern int  register_netdevice(struct ne
 extern int  unregister_netdevice(struct net_device *dev);
 extern void  free_netdev(struct net_device *dev);
 extern void  synchronize_net(void);
+#ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
+extern int  netdev_proc_create(char *name,
+    int (*show)(struct seq_file *,
+     struct net_device *, void *),
+    void *data, struct module *mod);
+void   netdev_proc_remove(char *name);
+#endif
 extern int   register_netdevice_notifier(struct notifier_block *nb);
 extern int  unregister_netdevice_notifier(struct notifier_block *nb);
 extern int  call_netdevice_notifiers(unsigned long val, void *v);
--- ./net/core/dev.c.venetproc Tue Aug 15 13:46:08 2006
+++ ./net/core/dev.c Tue Aug 15 13:46:08 2006
@@ -2100,6 +2100,102 @@ static int dev_ifconf(char __user *arg)
 }

 #ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
+
+struct netdev_proc_data {
+ struct file_operations fops;
+ int (*show)(struct seq_file *, struct net_device *, void *);
+ void *data;
```

```
+};
+
+static void *netdev_proc_seq_start(struct seq_file *seq, loff_t *pos)
+{
+ struct net_device *dev;
+ loff_t off;
+
+ read_lock(&dev_base_lock);
+ if (*pos == 0)
+  return SEQ_START_TOKEN;
+ for (dev = dev_base, off = 1; dev; dev = dev->next, off++) {
+  if (*pos == off)
+   return dev;
+ }
+ return NULL;
+}
+
+static void *netdev_proc_seq_next(struct seq_file *seq, void *v, loff_t *pos)
+{
+ ++*pos;
+ return (v == SEQ_START_TOKEN) ? dev_base
+     : ((struct net_device *)v)->next;
+}
+
+static void netdev_proc_seq_stop(struct seq_file *seq, void *v)
+{
+ read_unlock(&dev_base_lock);
+}
+
+static int netdev_proc_seq_show(struct seq_file *seq, void *v)
+{
+ struct netdev_proc_data *p;
+
+ p = seq->private;
+ return (*p->show)(seq, v, p->data);
+}
+
+static struct seq_operations netdev_proc_seq_ops = {
+ .start = netdev_proc_seq_start,
+ .next  = netdev_proc_seq_next,
+ .stop  = netdev_proc_seq_stop,
+ .show  = netdev_proc_seq_show,
+};
+
+static int netdev_proc_open(struct inode *inode, struct file *file)
+{
+ int err;
+ struct seq_file *p;
```

```
+
+ err = seq_open(file, &netdev_proc_seq_ops);
+ if (!err) {
+  p = file->private_data;
+  p->private = (struct netdev_proc_data *)PDE(inode)->data;
+ }
+ return err;
+}
+
+int netdev_proc_create(char *name,
+  int (*show)(struct seq_file *, struct net_device *, void *),
+  void *data, struct module *mod)
+{
+ struct netdev_proc_data *p;
+ struct proc_dir_entry *ent;
+
+ p = kzalloc(sizeof(*p), GFP_KERNEL);
+ p->fops.owner = mod;
+ p->fops.open = netdev_proc_open;
+ p->fops.read = seq_read;
+ p->fops.llseek = seq_lseek;
+ p->fops.release = seq_release;
+ p->show = show;
+ p->data = data;
+ ent = create_proc_entry(name, S_IRUGO, proc_net);
+ if (ent == NULL) {
+  kfree(p);
+  return -EINVAL;
+ }
+ ent->data = p;
+ ent->destructor = proc_data_destructor;
+ smp_wmb();
+ ent->proc_fops = &p->fops;
+ return 0;
+}
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(netdev_proc_create);
+
+void netdev_proc_remove(char *name)
+{
+ proc_net_remove(name);
+}
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(netdev_proc_remove);
+
 /*
  * This is invoked by the /proc filesystem handler to display a device
  * in detail.
```

Subject: [PATCH 8/9] network namespaces: device to pass packets between namespaces
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A simple device to pass packets between a namespace and its child.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>

```
---
 Makefile |    3
 veth.c   |  327 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
+++
 2 files changed, 330 insertions(+)

--- ./drivers/net/Makefile.veveth Mon Aug 14 17:03:45 2006
+++ ./drivers/net/Makefile Tue Aug 15 13:46:15 2006
@@ -124,6 +124,9 @@ obj-$(CONFIG_SLIP) += slip.o
 obj-$(CONFIG_SLHC) += slhc.o

 obj-$(CONFIG_DUMMY) += dummy.o
+ifeq ($(CONFIG_NET_NS),y)
+obj-m += veth.o
+endif
 obj-$(CONFIG_IFB) += ifb.o
 obj-$(CONFIG_DE600) += de600.o
 obj-$(CONFIG_DE620) += de620.o
--- ./drivers/net/veth.c.veveth Tue Aug 15 13:44:46 2006
+++ ./drivers/net/veth.c Tue Aug 15 13:46:15 2006
@@ -0,0 +1,327 @@
+/*
+ * Copyright (C) 2006  SWsoft
+ *
+ * Written by Andrey Savochkin <saw@sw.ru>,
+ * reusing code by Andrey Mirkin <amirkin@sw.ru>.
+ */
+#include <linux/list.h>
+#include <linux/spinlock.h>
+#include <linux/ctype.h>
+#include <asm/semaphore.h>
+#include <linux/netdevice.h>
+#include <linux/etherdevice.h>
+#include <linux/proc_fs.h>
+#include <linux/seq_file.h>
+#include <net/dst.h>
+#include <net/xfrm.h>
+
+struct veth_struct
+{
+ struct net_device *pair;
```

```
+ struct net_device_stats stats;
+};
+
+#define veth_from_netdev(dev) ((struct veth_struct *)(netdev_priv(dev)))
+
+/*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
+ *
+ * Device functions
+ *
+ *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
+
+static struct net_device_stats *get_stats(struct net_device *dev);
+static int veth_xmit(struct sk_buff *skb, struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ struct net_device_stats *stats;
+ struct veth_struct *entry;
+ struct net_device *rcv;
+ struct net_namespace *orig_net_ns;
+ int length;
+
+ stats = get_stats(dev);
+ entry = veth_from_netdev(dev);
+ rcv = entry->pair;
+
+ if (!(rcv->flags & IFF_UP))
+  /* Target namespace does not want to receive packets */
+  goto outf;
+
+ dst_release(skb->dst);
+ skb->dst = NULL;
+ secpath_reset(skb);
+ skb_orphan(skb);
+#ifdef CONFIG_NETFILTER
+ nf_conntrack_put(skb->nfct);
+#if defined(CONFIG_NF_CONNTRACK) || defined(CONFIG_NF_CONNTRACK_MODULE)
+ nf_conntrack_put_reasm(skb->nfct_reasm);
+#endif
+#ifdef CONFIG_BRIDGE_NETFILTER
+ nf_bridge_put(skb->nf_bridge);
+#endif
+#endif
+
+ push_net_ns(rcv->net_ns, orig_net_ns);
+ skb->dev = rcv;
+ skb->pkt_type = PACKET_HOST;
+ skb->protocol = eth_type_trans(skb, rcv);
+
+ length = skb->len;
```

```
+ stats->tx_bytes += length;
+ stats->tx_packets++;
+ stats = get_stats(rcv);
+ stats->rx_bytes += length;
+ stats->rx_packets++;
+
+ netif_rx(skb);
+ pop_net_ns(orig_net_ns);
+ return 0;
+
+outf:
+ stats->tx_dropped++;
+ kfree_skb(skb);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int veth_open(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int veth_close(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void veth_destructor(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ free_netdev(dev);
+}
+
+static struct net_device_stats *get_stats(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ return &veth_from_netdev(dev)->stats;
+}
+
+int veth_init_dev(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ dev->hard_start_xmit = veth_xmit;
+ dev->open = veth_open;
+ dev->stop = veth_close;
+ dev->destructor = veth_destructor;
+ dev->get_stats = get_stats;
+
+ ether_setup(dev);
+
+ dev->tx_queue_len = 0;
+ return 0;
```

```
+}
+
+static void veth_setup(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ dev->init = veth_init_dev;
+}
+
+static inline int is_veth_dev(struct net_device *dev)
+{
+ return dev->init == veth_init_dev;
+}
+
+/*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
+ *
+ * Management interface
+ *
+ *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
+
+struct net_device *veth_dev_alloc(char *name, char *addr)
+{
+ struct net_device *dev;
+
+ dev = alloc_netdev(sizeof(struct veth_struct), name, veth_setup);
+ if (dev != NULL) {
+  memcpy(dev->dev_addr, addr, ETH_ALEN);
+  dev->addr_len = ETH_ALEN;
+ }
+ return dev;
+}
+
+int veth_entry_add(char *parent_name, char *parent_addr,
+  char *child_name, char *child_addr,
+  struct net_namespace *child_ns)
+{
+ struct net_device *parent_dev, *child_dev;
+ struct net_namespace *parent_ns;
+ int err;
+
+ err = -ENOMEM;
+ if ((parent_dev = veth_dev_alloc(parent_name, parent_addr)) == NULL)
+  goto out_alocp;
+ if ((child_dev = veth_dev_alloc(child_name, child_addr)) == NULL)
+  goto out_alocc;
+ veth_from_netdev(parent_dev)->pair = child_dev;
+ veth_from_netdev(child_dev)->pair = parent_dev;
+
+ /*
+  * About serialization, see comments to veth_pair_del().
```

```
+  */
+ rtnl_lock();
+ if ((err = register_netdevice(parent_dev)))
+  goto out_regp;
+
+ push_net_ns(child_ns, parent_ns);
+ if ((err = register_netdevice(child_dev)))
+  goto out_regc;
+ pop_net_ns(parent_ns);
+ rtnl_unlock();
+ return 0;
+
+out_regc:
+ pop_net_ns(parent_ns);
+ unregister_netdevice(parent_dev);
+ rtnl_unlock();
+ free_netdev(child_dev);
+ return err;
+
+out_regp:
+ rtnl_unlock();
+ free_netdev(child_dev);
+out_alocc:
+ free_netdev(parent_dev);
+out_alocp:
+ return err;
+}
+
+static void veth_pair_del(struct net_device *parent_dev)
+{
+ struct net_device *child_dev;
+ struct net_namespace *parent_ns, *child_ns;
+
+ child_dev = veth_from_netdev(parent_dev)->pair;
+ child_ns = get_net_ns(child_dev->net_ns);
+
+ dev_close(child_dev);
+ synchronize_net();
+ /*
+  * Now child_dev does not send or receives anything.
+  * This means child_dev->hard_start_xmit is not called anymore.
+  */
+ unregister_netdevice(parent_dev);
+ /*
+  * At this point child_dev has dead pointer to parent_dev.
+  * But this pointer is not dereferenced.
+  */
+ push_net_ns(child_ns, parent_ns);
```

```
+ unregister_netdevice(child_dev);
+ pop_net_ns(parent_ns);
+
+ put_net_ns(child_ns);
+}
+
+int veth_entry_del(char *parent_name)
+{
+ struct net_device *dev;
+
+ if ((dev = dev_get_by_name(parent_name)) == NULL)
+  return -ENODEV;
+
+ rtnl_lock();
+ veth_pair_del(dev);
+ dev_put(dev);
+ rtnl_unlock();
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+void veth_entry_del_all(void)
+{
+ struct net_device **p, *dev;
+
+ rtnl_lock();
+ for (p = &dev_base; (dev = *p) != NULL; ) {
+  if (!is_veth_dev(dev)) {
+   p = &dev->next;
+   continue;
+  }
+
+  dev_hold(dev);
+  veth_pair_del(dev);
+  dev_put(dev);
+ }
+ rtnl_unlock();
+}
+
+/*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
+ *
+ * Information in proc
+ *
+ *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
+
+#define ADDR_FMT "%02x:%02x:%02x:%02x:%02x:%02x"
```

```
+#define ADDR(x) (x)[0],(x)[1],(x)[2],(x)[3],(x)[4],(x)[5]
+#define ADDR_HDR "%-17s"
+
+static int veth_proc_show(struct seq_file *m,
+  struct net_device *dev, void *data)
+{
+ struct net_device *pair;
+
+ if (dev == SEQ_START_TOKEN) {
+  seq_puts(m, "Version: 1.0\n");
+  seq_printf(m, "%-*s " ADDR_HDR " %-*s " ADDR_HDR "\n",
+    IFNAMSIZ, "Name", "Address",
+    IFNAMSIZ, "PeerName", "PeerAddress");
+  return 0;
+ }
+
+ if (!is_veth_dev(dev))
+  return 0;
+
+ pair = veth_from_netdev(dev)->pair;
+ seq_printf(m, "%-*s " ADDR_FMT " %-*s " ADDR_FMT "\n",
+   IFNAMSIZ, dev->name, ADDR(dev->dev_addr),
+   IFNAMSIZ, pair->name, ADDR(pair->dev_addr));
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int veth_proc_create(void)
+{
+ return netdev_proc_create("veth_list", &veth_proc_show, NULL,
+   THIS_MODULE);
+}
+
+static void veth_proc_remove(void)
+{
+ netdev_proc_remove("net/veth_list");
+}
+
+#else
+
+static inline int veth_proc_create(void) { return 0; }
+static inline void veth_proc_remove(void) { }
+
+#endif
+
+/*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
+ *
+ * Module initialization
+ *
```

```
+ *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
+
+int __init veth_init(void)
+{
+ veth_proc_create();
+ return 0;
+}
+
+void __exit veth_exit(void)
+{
+ veth_proc_remove();
+ veth_entry_del_all();
+}
+
+module_init(veth_init)
+module_exit(veth_exit)
+
+MODULE_DESCRIPTION("Virtual Ethernet Device");
+MODULE_LICENSE("GPL v2");
```

Subject: [PATCH 9/9] network namespaces: playing with pass-through device
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Tue, 15 Aug 2006 14:48:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Temporary code to debug and play with pass-through device.
Create device pair by
        modprobe veth
        echo 'add veth1 0:1:2:3:4:1 eth0 0:1:2:3:4:2' >/proc/net/veth_ctl
and your shell will appear into a new namespace with `eth0' device.
Configure device in this namespace
        ip l s eth0 up
        ip a a 1.2.3.4/24 dev eth0
and in the root namespace
        ip l s veth1 up
        ip a a 1.2.3.1/24 dev veth1
to establish a communication channel between root namespace and the newly
created one.

Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>
---
 veth.c | 113 ++
 1 files changed, 113 insertions(+)

--- ./drivers/net/veth.c.veveth-dbg Tue Aug 15 13:47:48 2006
+++ ./drivers/net/veth.c Tue Aug 15 14:08:04 2006
@@ -251,6 +251,116 @@ void veth_entry_del_all(void)

```
 /*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
  *
+ * Temporary interface to create veth devices
+ *
+ *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
+
+static int veth_debug_open(struct inode *inode, struct file *file)
+{
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static char *parse_addr(char *s, char *addr)
+{
+ int i, v;
+
+ for (i = 0; i < ETH_ALEN; i++) {
+  if (!isxdigit(*s))
+   return NULL;
+  *addr = 0;
+  v = isdigit(*s) ? *s - '0' : toupper(*s) - 'A' + 10;
+  s++;
+  if (isxdigit(*s)) {
+   *addr += v << 16;
+   v = isdigit(*s) ? *s - '0' : toupper(*s) - 'A' + 10;
+   s++;
+  }
+  *addr++ += v;
+  if (i < ETH_ALEN - 1 && ispunct(*s))
+   s++;
+ }
+ return s;
+}
+
+extern int net_ns_start(void);
+static ssize_t veth_debug_write(struct file *file, const char __user *user_buf,
+  size_t size, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ char buf[128], *s, *parent_name, *child_name;
+ char parent_addr[ETH_ALEN], child_addr[ETH_ALEN];
+ struct net_namespace *parent_ns, *child_ns;
+ int err;
+
+ s = buf;
+ err = -EINVAL;
+ if (size >= sizeof(buf))
```

```
+  goto out;
+ err = -EFAULT;
+ if (copy_from_user(buf, user_buf, size))
+  goto out;
+ buf[size] = 0;
+
+ err = -EBADRQC;
+ if (!strncmp(buf, "add ", 4)) {
+  parent_name = buf + 4;
+  if ((s = strchr(parent_name, ' ')) == NULL)
+   goto out;
+  *s = 0;
+  if ((s = parse_addr(s + 1, parent_addr)) == NULL)
+   goto out;
+  if (!*s)
+   goto out;
+  child_name = s + 1;
+  if ((s = strchr(child_name, ' ')) == NULL)
+   goto out;
+  *s = 0;
+  if ((s = parse_addr(s + 1, child_addr)) == NULL)
+   goto out;
+
+  parent_ns = get_net_ns(current_net_ns);
+  err = net_ns_start();
+  if (err)
+   goto out;
+  /* return to parent context */
+  push_net_ns(parent_ns, child_ns);
+  err = veth_entry_add(parent_name, parent_addr,
+    child_name, child_addr, child_ns);
+  pop_net_ns(child_ns);
+  put_net_ns(parent_ns);
+  if (!err)
+   err = size;
+ }
+out:
+ return err;
+}
+
+static struct file_operations veth_debug_ops = {
+ .open = &veth_debug_open,
+ .write = &veth_debug_write,
+};
+
+static int veth_debug_create(void)
+{
+ proc_net_fops_create("veth_ctl", 0200, &veth_debug_ops);
```

```
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void veth_debug_remove(void)
+{
+ proc_net_remove("veth_ctl");
+}
+
+#else
+
+static int veth_debug_create(void) { return -1; }
+static void veth_debug_remove(void) { }
+
+#endif
+
+/*  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- *
+ *
  * Information in proc
  *
  *  ------------------------------------------------------------ ------- */
@@ -310,12 +420,15 @@ static inline void veth_proc_remove(void

 int __init veth_init(void)
 {
+ if (veth_debug_create())
+  return -EINVAL;
  veth_proc_create();
  return 0;
 }

 void __exit veth_exit(void)
 {
+ veth_debug_remove();
  veth_proc_remove();
  veth_entry_del_all();
 }
```

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by serue on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 11:53:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Andrey Savochkin (saw@sw.ru):
> Hi All,
>
> I'd like to resurrect our discussion about network namespaces.
> In our previous discussions it appeared that we have rather polar concepts
> which seemed hard to reconcile.

> Now I have an idea how to look at all discussed concepts to enable everyone's
> usage scenario.
>
> 1. The most straightforward concept is complete separation of namespaces,
>   covering device list, routing tables, netfilter tables, socket hashes, and
>   everything else.
>
>   On input path, each packet is tagged with namespace right from the
>   place where it appears from a device, and is processed by each layer
>   in the context of this namespace.
>   Non-root namespaces communicate with the outside world in two ways: by
>   owning hardware devices, or receiving packets forwarded them by their parent
>   namespace via pass-through device.
>
>   This complete separation of namespaces is very useful for at least two
>   purposes:
>   - allowing users to create and manage by their own various tunnels and
>   VPNs, and
>   - enabling easier and more straightforward live migration of groups of
>   processes with their environment.

I conceptually prefer this approach, but I seem to recall there were
actual problems in using this for checkpoint/restart of lightweight
(application) containers. Performance aside, are there any reasons why
this approach would be problematic for c/r?

I'm afraid Daniel may be on vacation, and don't know who else other than
Eric might have thoughts on this.

> 2. People expressed concerns that complete separation of namespaces
>   may introduce an undesired overhead in certain usage scenarios.
>   The overhead comes from packets traversing input path, then output path,
>   then input path again in the destination namespace if root namespace
>   acts as a router.
>
>   So, we may introduce short-cuts, when input packet starts to be processes
>   in one namespace, but changes it at some upper layer.
>   The places where packet can change namespace are, for example:
>   routing, post-routing netfilter hook, or even lookup in socket hash.
>
>   The cleanest example among them is post-routing netfilter hook.
>   Tagging of input packets there means that the packets is checked against
>   root namespace's routing table, found to be local, and go directly to
>   the socket hash lookup in the destination namespace.
>   In this scheme the ability to change routing tables or netfilter rules on
>   a per-namespace basis is traded for lower overhead.
>
>   All other optimized schemes where input packets do not travel

>   input-output-input paths in general case may be viewed as short-cuts in
>   scheme (1). The remaining question is which exactly short-cuts make most
>   sense, and how to make them consistent from the interface point of view.
>
> My current idea is to reach some agreement on the basic concept, review
> patches, and then move on to implementing feasible short-cuts.
>
> Opinions?
>
> Next in this thread are patches introducing namespaces to device list,
> IPv4 routing, and socket hashes, and a pass-through device.
> Patches are against 2.6.18-rc4-mm1.

Just to provide the extreme other end of implementation options, here is
the bsdjail based version I've been using for some testing while waiting
for network namespaces to show up in -mm :)

(Not intended for *any* sort of inclusion consideration :)

Example usage:
 ifconfig eth0:0 192.168.1.16
 echo -n "ip 192.168.1.16" > /proc/$$/attr/exec
 exec /bin/sh

-serge

From: Serge E. Hallyn <hallyn@sergelap.(none)>
Date: Wed, 26 Jul 2006 21:47:13 -0500
Subject: [PATCH 1/1] bsdjail: define bsdjail lsm

Define the actual bsdjail LSM.

Signed-off-by: Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

```
---
 security/Kconfig   |   11
 security/Makefile  |    1
 security/bsdjail.c | 1351 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
 3 files changed, 1363 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

diff --git a/security/Kconfig b/security/Kconfig
index 67785df..fa30e40 100644
--- a/security/Kconfig
+++ b/security/Kconfig
@@ -105,6 +105,17 @@ config SECURITY_SECLVL

    If you are unsure how to answer this question, answer N.

+config SECURITY_BSDJAIL
```

```
+ tristate "BSD Jail LSM"
+ depends on SECURITY
+ select SECURITY_NETWORK
+ help
+   Provides BSD Jail compartmentalization functionality.
+   See Documentation/bsdjail.txt for more information and
+   usage instructions.
+
+   If you are unsure how to answer this question, answer N.
+
 source security/selinux/Kconfig

 endmenu
diff --git a/security/Makefile b/security/Makefile
index 8cbbf2f..050b588 100644
--- a/security/Makefile
+++ b/security/Makefile
@@ -17,3 +17,4 @@ obj-$(CONFIG_SECURITY_SELINUX)  += selin
 obj-$(CONFIG_SECURITY_CAPABILITIES) += commoncap.o capability.o
 obj-$(CONFIG_SECURITY_ROOTPLUG)  += commoncap.o root_plug.o
 obj-$(CONFIG_SECURITY_SECLVL)  += seclvl.o
+obj-$(CONFIG_SECURITY_BSDJAIL)  += bsdjail.o
diff --git a/security/bsdjail.c b/security/bsdjail.c
new file mode 100644
index 0000000..d800610
--- /dev/null
+++ b/security/bsdjail.c
@@ -0,0 +1,1351 @@
+/*
+ * File: linux/security/bsdjail.c
+ * Author: Serge Hallyn (serue@us.ibm.com)
+ * Date: Sep 12, 2004
+ *
+ * (See Documentation/bsdjail.txt for more information)
+ *
+ * Copyright (C) 2004 International Business Machines <serue@us.ibm.com>
+ *
+ *   This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
+ *   it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by
+ *   the Free Software Foundation; either version 2 of the License, or
+ *   (at your option) any later version.
+ */
+
+#include <linux/config.h>
+#include <linux/module.h>
+#include <linux/kernel.h>
+#include <linux/init.h>
+#include <linux/security.h>
```

```
+#include <linux/namei.h>
+#include <linux/namespace.h>
+#include <linux/proc_fs.h>
+#include <linux/in.h>
+#include <linux/in6.h>
+#include <linux/pagemap.h>
+#include <linux/ip.h>
+#include <net/ipv6.h>
+#include <linux/mount.h>
+#include <linux/netdevice.h>
+#include <linux/inetdevice.h>
+#include <linux/seq_file.h>
+#include <linux/un.h>
+#include <linux/smp_lock.h>
+#include <linux/kref.h>
+#include <asm/uaccess.h>
+
+static int jail_debug;
+module_param(jail_debug, int, 0);
+MODULE_PARM_DESC(jail_debug, "Print bsd jail debugging messages.\n");
+
+#define DBG 0
+#define WARN 1
+#define bsdj_debug(how, fmt, arg... ) \
+ do { \
+  if ( how || jail_debug ) \
+   printk(KERN_NOTICE "%s: %s: " fmt, \
+    MY_NAME, __FUNCTION__ , \
+    ## arg ); \
+ } while ( 0 )
+
+#define MY_NAME "bsdjail"
+
+/* flag to keep track of how we were registered */
+static int secondary;
+
+/*
+ * The task structure holding jail information.
+ * Taskp->security points to one of these (or is null).
+ * There is exactly one jail_struct for each jail.  If >1 process
+ * are in the same jail, they share the same jail_struct.
+ */
+struct jail_struct {
+ struct kref  kref;
+
+ /* these are set on writes to /proc/<pid>/attr/exec */
+ char *ip4_addr_name;  /* char * containing ip4 addr to use for jail */
+ char *ip6_addr_name;  /* char * containing ip6 addr to use for jail */
```

```
+
+ /* these are set when a jail becomes active */
+ __u32 addr4;       /* internal form of ip4_addr_name */
+ struct in6_addr addr6; /* internal form of ip6_addr_name */
+
+ /* Resource limits.  0 = no limit */
+ int max_nrtask;  /* maximum number of tasks within this jail. */
+ int cur_nrtask; /* current number of tasks within this jail. */
+ long maxtimeslice;      /* max timeslice in ms for procs in this jail */
+ long nice;       /* nice level for processes in this jail */
+ long max_data, max_memlock;  /* equivalent to RLIMIT_{DATA, MEMLOCK} */
+/* values for the jail_flags field */
+#define IN_USE 1  /* if 0, task is setting up jail, not yet in it */
+#define GOT_IPV4 2
+#define GOT_IPV6 4  /* if 0, ipv4, else ipv6 */
+ char jail_flags;
+};
+
+/*
+ * disable_jail:  A jail which was in use, but has no references
+ * left, is disabled - we free up the mountpoint and dentry, and
+ * give up our reference on the module.
+ *
+ *   don't need to put namespace, it will be done automatically
+ *     when the last process in jail is put.
+ *   DO need to put the dentry and vfsmount
+ */
+static void
+disable_jail(struct jail_struct *tsec)
+{
+ module_put(THIS_MODULE);
+}
+
+
+static void free_jail(struct jail_struct *tsec)
+{
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+
+ kfree(tsec->ip4_addr_name);
+ kfree(tsec->ip6_addr_name);
+ kfree(tsec);
+}
+
+/* release_jail:
+ * Callback for kref_put to use for releasing a jail when its
+ * last user exits.
+ */
```

```
+static void release_jail(struct kref *kref)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = container_of(kref, struct jail_struct, kref);
+ disable_jail(tsec);
+ free_jail(tsec);
+}
+
+/*
+ * jail_task_free_security: this is the callback hooked into LSM.
+ * If there was no task->security field for bsdjail, do nothing.
+ * If there was, but it was never put into use, free the jail.
+ * If there was, and the jail is in use, then decrement the usage
+ *  count, and disable and free the jail if the usage count hits 0.
+ */
+static void jail_task_free_security(struct task_struct *task)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = task->security;
+
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+
+ if (!(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE)) {
+  /*
+   * someone did 'echo -n x > /proc/<pid>/attr/exec' but
+   * then forked before execing.  Nuke the old info.
+   */
+  free_jail(tsec);
+  task->security = NULL;
+  return;
+ }
+ tsec->cur_nrtask--;
+ /* If this was the last process in the jail, delete the jail */
+ kref_put(&tsec->kref, release_jail);
+}
+
+static struct jail_struct *
+alloc_task_security(struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = kmalloc(sizeof(struct jail_struct), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (tsec) {
+  memset(tsec, 0, sizeof(struct jail_struct));
+  tsk->security = tsec;
+ }
+ return tsec;
```

```
+}
+
+static inline int
+in_jail(struct task_struct *t)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = t->security;
+
+ if (tsec && (tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 1;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * If a network address was passed into /proc/<pid>/attr/exec,
+ * then process in its jail will only be allowed to bind/listen
+ * to that address.
+ */
+static void
+setup_netaddress(struct jail_struct *tsec)
+{
+ unsigned int a, b, c, d, i;
+ unsigned int x[8];
+
+ tsec->jail_flags &= ~(GOT_IPV4 | GOT_IPV6);
+ tsec->addr4 = 0;
+ ipv6_addr_set(&tsec->addr6, 0, 0, 0, 0);
+
+ if (tsec->ip4_addr_name) {
+  if (sscanf(tsec->ip4_addr_name, "%u.%u.%u.%u",
+      &a, &b, &c, &d) != 4)
+   return;
+  if (a>255 || b>255 || c>255 || d>255)
+   return;
+  tsec->addr4 = htonl((a<<24) | (b<<16) | (c<<8) | d);
+  tsec->jail_flags |= GOT_IPV4;
+  bsdj_debug(DBG, "Network (ipv4) set up (%s)\n",
+   tsec->ip4_addr_name);
+ }
+
+ if (tsec->ip6_addr_name) {
+  if (sscanf(tsec->ip6_addr_name, "%x:%x:%x:%x:%x:%x:%x:%x",
+   &x[0], &x[1], &x[2], &x[3], &x[4], &x[5], &x[6],
+   &x[7]) != 8) {
+   printk(KERN_INFO "%s: bad ipv6 addr %s\n", __FUNCTION__,
+    tsec->ip6_addr_name);
+   return;
+  }
```

```
+  for (i=0; i<8; i++) {
+   if (x[i] > 65535) {
+    printk("%s: %x > 65535 at %d\n", __FUNCTION__, x[i], i);
+    return;
+   }
+   tsec->addr6.in6_u.u6_addr16[i] = htons(x[i]);
+  }
+  tsec->jail_flags |= GOT_IPV6;
+  bsdj_debug(DBG, "Network (ipv6) set up (%s)\n",
+   tsec->ip6_addr_name);
+ }
+}
+
+/*
+ * enable_jail:
+ * Called when a process is placed into a new jail to handle the
+ * actual creation of the jail.
+ *   Creates namespace
+ *   Stores the requested ip address
+ *   Registers a unique pseudo-proc filesystem for this jail
+ */
+static int enable_jail(struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = tsk->security;
+ int retval = -EFAULT;
+
+ if (!tsec)
+  goto out;
+
+ /* set up networking */
+ if (tsec->ip4_addr_name || tsec->ip6_addr_name)
+  setup_netaddress(tsec);
+
+ tsec->cur_nrtask = 1;
+ if (tsec->nice)
+  set_user_nice(current, tsec->nice);
+ if (tsec->max_data) {
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_DATA].rlim_cur = tsec->max_data;
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_DATA].rlim_max = tsec->max_data;
+ }
+ if (tsec->max_memlock) {
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_MEMLOCK].rlim_cur =
+     tsec->max_memlock;
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_MEMLOCK].rlim_max =
+     tsec->max_memlock;
+ }
+ if (tsec->maxtimeslice) {
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_cur = tsec->maxtimeslice;
```

```
+  current->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_max = tsec->maxtimeslice;
+ }
+ /* success and end */
+ kref_init(&tsec->kref);
+ tsec->jail_flags |= IN_USE;
+
+ /* won't let ourselves be removed until this jail goes away */
+ try_module_get(THIS_MODULE);
+
+ return 0;
+
+out:
+ return retval;
+}
+
+/*
+ * LSM /proc/<pid>/attr hooks.
+ * You may write into /proc/<pid>/attr/exec:
+ *    lock  (no value, just to specify a jail)
+ *    ip 2.2.2.2
+ etc...
+ * These values will be used on the next exec() to set up your jail
+ *  (assuming you're not already in a jail)
+ */
+static int
+jail_setprocattr(struct task_struct *p, char *name, void *value, size_t rsize)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+ long val;
+ char *v = value;
+ int start, len;
+ size_t size = rsize;
+
+ if (tsec && (tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return -EINVAL;  /* let them guess why */
+
+ if (p != current || strcmp(name, "exec"))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ if (!tsec) {
+  tsec = alloc_task_security(current);
+  if (!tsec)
+   return -ENOMEM;
+ }
+
+ if (v[size-1] == '\n')
+  size--;
+
```

```
+ if (strncmp(value, "ip ", 3) == 0) {
+  kfree(tsec->ip4_addr_name);
+  start = 3;
+  len = size - start + 1;
+  tsec->ip4_addr_name = kmalloc(len, GFP_KERNEL);
+  if (!tsec->ip4_addr_name)
+   return -ENOMEM;
+  strlcpy(tsec->ip4_addr_name, value+start, len);
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "ip6 ", 4) == 0) {
+  kfree(tsec->ip6_addr_name);
+  start = 4;
+  len = size - start + 1;
+  tsec->ip6_addr_name = kmalloc(len, GFP_KERNEL);
+  if (!tsec->ip6_addr_name)
+   return -ENOMEM;
+  strlcpy(tsec->ip6_addr_name, value+start, len);
+
+ /* the next two are equivalent */
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "slice ", 6) == 0) {
+  val = simple_strtoul(value+6, NULL, 0);
+  tsec->maxtimeslice = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "timeslice ", 10) == 0) {
+  val = simple_strtoul(value+10, NULL, 0);
+  tsec->maxtimeslice = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "nrtask ", 7) == 0) {
+  val = (int) simple_strtol(value+7, NULL, 0);
+  if (val < 1)
+   return -EINVAL;
+  tsec->max_nrtask = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "memlock ", 8) == 0) {
+  val = simple_strtoul(value+8, NULL, 0);
+  tsec->max_memlock = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "data ", 5) == 0) {
+  val = simple_strtoul(value+5, NULL, 0);
+  tsec->max_data = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "nice ", 5) == 0) {
+  val = simple_strtoul(value+5, NULL, 0);
+  tsec->nice = val;
+ } else if (strncmp(value, "lock", 4) != 0)
+  return -EINVAL;
+
+ return rsize;
+}
+
+static int print_jail_net_info(struct jail_struct *j, char *buf, int maxcnt)
+{
+ int len = 0;
+
```

```
+ if (j->ip4_addr_name)
+  len += snprintf(buf, maxcnt, "%s\n", j->ip4_addr_name);
+ if (j->ip6_addr_name)
+  len += snprintf(buf, maxcnt-len, "%s\n", j->ip6_addr_name);
+
+ if (len)
+  return len;
+
+ return snprintf(buf, maxcnt, "No network information\n");
+}
+
+/*
+ * LSM /proc/<pid>/attr read hook.
+ *
+ * /proc/$$/attr/current output:
+ * If the reading process, say process 1001, is in a jail, then
+ *   cat /proc/999/attr/current
+ * will print networking information.
+ * If the reading process, say process 1001, is not in a jail, then
+ *   cat /proc/999/attr/current
+ * will return
+ *   ip:   (ip address of jail)
+ * if 999 is in a jail, or
+ *   -EINVAL
+ * if 999 is not in a jail.
+ *
+ * /proc/$$/attr/exec output:
+ * A process in a jail gets -EINVAL for /proc/$$/attr/exec.
+ * A process not in a jail gets hints on starting a jail.
+ */
+static int
+jail_getprocattr(struct task_struct *p, char *name, void *value, size_t size)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+ int err = 0;
+
+ if (in_jail(current)) {
+  if (strcmp(name, "current") == 0) {
+   /* provide network info */
+   err = print_jail_net_info(current->security, value,
+    size);
+   return err;
+  }
+  return -EINVAL;  /* let them guess why */
+ }
+
+ if (strcmp(name, "exec") == 0) {
+  /* Print usage some help */
```

```
+  err = snprintf(value, size,
+   "Valid keywords:\n"
+   "lock\n"
+   "ip      <ip4-addr>\n"
+   "ip6     <ip6-addr>\n"
+   "nrtask  <max number of tasks in this jail>\n"
+   "nice    <nice level for processes in this jail>\n"
+   "slice   <max timeslice per process in msecs>\n"
+   "data    <max data size per process in bytes>\n"
+   "memlock <max lockable memory per process in bytes>\n");
+  return err;
+ }
+
+ if (strcmp(name, "current"))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ tsec = p->security;
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE)) {
+  err = snprintf(value, size, "Not Jailed\n");
+ } else {
+  err = snprintf(value, size,
+   "IPv4: %s\nIPv6: %s\n"
+   "max_nrtask %d current nrtask %d max_timeslice %lu "
+   "nice %lu\n"
+   "max_memlock %lu max_data %lu\n",
+   tsec->ip4_addr_name ? tsec->ip4_addr_name : "(none)",
+   tsec->ip6_addr_name ? tsec->ip6_addr_name : "(none)",
+   tsec->max_nrtask, tsec->cur_nrtask, tsec->maxtimeslice,
+   tsec->nice, tsec->max_data, tsec->max_memlock);
+ }
+
+ return err;
+}
+
+/*
+ * Forbid a process in a jail from sending a signal to a process in another
+ * (or no) jail through file sigio.
+ *
+ * We consider the process which set the fowner to be the one sending the
+ * signal, rather than the one writing to the file.  Therefore we store the
+ * jail of a process during jail_file_set_fowner, then check that against
+ * the jail of the process receiving the signal.
+ */
+static int
+jail_file_send_sigiotask(struct task_struct *tsk, struct fown_struct *fown,
+     int sig)
+{
+ struct file *file;
```

```
+
+ if (!in_jail(current))
+  return 0;
+
+ file = container_of(fown, struct file, f_owner);
+ if (file->f_security != tsk->security)
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_file_set_fowner(struct file *file)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = current->security;
+ file->f_security = tsec;
+ if (tsec)
+  kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void free_ipc_security(struct kern_ipc_perm *ipc)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = ipc->security;
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+ kref_put(&tsec->kref, release_jail);
+ ipc->security = NULL;
+}
+
+static void free_file_security(struct file *file)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = file->f_security;
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+ kref_put(&tsec->kref, release_jail);
+ file->f_security = NULL;
+}
+
+static void free_inode_security(struct inode *inode)
+{
```

```
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = inode->i_security;
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+ kref_put(&tsec->kref, release_jail);
+ inode->i_security = NULL;
+}
+
+/*
+ * LSM ptrace hook:
+ * process in jail may not ptrace process not in the same jail
+ */
+static int
+jail_ptrace (struct task_struct *tracer, struct task_struct *tracee)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = tracer->security;
+
+ if (tsec && (tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE)) {
+  if (tsec == tracee->security)
+   return 0;
+  return -EPERM;
+ }
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * process in jail may only use one (aliased) ip address.  If they try to
+ * attach to 127.0.0.1, that is remapped to their own address.  If some
+ * other address (and not their own), deny permission
+ */
+static int jail_socket_unix_bind(struct socket *sock, struct sockaddr *address,
+  int addrlen);
+
+#define loopbackaddr htonl((127 << 24) | 1)
+
+static inline int jail_inet4_bind(struct socket *sock, struct sockaddr *address,
+ int addrlen, struct jail_struct *tsec)
+{
+ struct sockaddr_in *inaddr;
+ __u32 sin_addr, jailaddr;
+
+ if (!(tsec->jail_flags & GOT_IPV4))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ inaddr = (struct sockaddr_in *) address;
+ sin_addr = inaddr->sin_addr.s_addr;
+ jailaddr = tsec->addr4;
```

```
+
+ if (sin_addr == jailaddr)
+  return 0;
+
+ if (sin_addr == loopbackaddr || !sin_addr) {
+  bsdj_debug(DBG, "Got a loopback or 0 address\n");
+  sin_addr = jailaddr;
+  bsdj_debug(DBG, "Converted to: %u.%u.%u.%u\n",
+   NIPQUAD(sin_addr));
+  return 0;
+ }
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+static inline int
+jail_inet6_bind(struct socket *sock, struct sockaddr *address, int addrlen,
+ struct jail_struct *tsec)
+{
+ struct sockaddr_in6 *inaddr6;
+ struct in6_addr *sin6_addr, *jailaddr;
+
+ if (!(tsec->jail_flags & GOT_IPV6))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ inaddr6 = (struct sockaddr_in6 *) address;
+ sin6_addr = &inaddr6->sin6_addr;
+ jailaddr = &tsec->addr6;
+
+ if (ipv6_addr_cmp(jailaddr, sin6_addr) == 0)
+  return 0;
+
+ if (ipv6_addr_cmp(sin6_addr, &in6addr_loopback) == 0) {
+  ipv6_addr_copy(sin6_addr, jailaddr);
+  return 0;
+ }
+
+ printk(KERN_NOTICE "%s: DENYING\n", __FUNCTION__);
+ printk(KERN_NOTICE "%s: a %04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x "
+  "j %04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x:%04x\n",
+  __FUNCTION__,
+  NIP6(*sin6_addr),
+  NIP6(*jailaddr));
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+static int
```

```
+jail_socket_bind(struct socket *sock, struct sockaddr *address, int addrlen)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (sock->sk->sk_family == AF_UNIX)
+  return jail_socket_unix_bind(sock, address, addrlen);
+
+ if (!(tsec->jail_flags & (GOT_IPV4 | GOT_IPV6)))
+  /* If we want to be strict, we could just
+   * deny net access when lacking a pseudo ip.
+   * For now we just allow it. */
+  return 0;
+
+ switch(address->sa_family) {
+  case AF_INET:
+   return jail_inet4_bind(sock, address, addrlen, tsec);
+
+  case AF_INET6:
+   return jail_inet6_bind(sock, address, addrlen, tsec);
+
+  default:
+   return 0;
+ }
+}
+
+/*
+ * If locked in an ipv6 jail, don't let them use ipv4, and vice versa
+ */
+static int
+jail_socket_create(int family, int type, int protocol, int kern)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || kern || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE) ||
+   !(tsec->jail_flags & (GOT_IPV4 | GOT_IPV6)))
+  return 0;
+
+ switch(family) {
+  case AF_INET:
+   if (tsec->jail_flags & GOT_IPV4)
+    return 0;
+   return -EPERM;
+  case AF_INET6:
+   if (tsec->jail_flags & GOT_IPV6)
+    return 0;
```

```
+   return -EPERM;
+  default:
+   return 0;
+ };
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void
+jail_socket_post_create(struct socket *sock, int family, int type,
+ int protocol, int kern)
+{
+ struct inet_sock *inet;
+ struct ipv6_pinfo *inet6;
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || kern || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE) ||
+   !(tsec->jail_flags & (GOT_IPV4 | GOT_IPV6)))
+  return;
+
+ switch(family) {
+  case AF_INET:
+   inet = inet_sk(sock->sk);
+   inet->saddr = tsec->addr4;
+   break;
+  case AF_INET6:
+   inet6 = inet6_sk(sock->sk);
+   ipv6_addr_copy(&inet6->saddr, &tsec->addr6);
+   break;
+  default:
+   break;
+ };
+
+ return;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_socket_listen(struct socket *sock, int backlog)
+{
+ struct inet_sock *inet;
+ struct ipv6_pinfo *inet6;
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE) ||
+   !(tsec->jail_flags & (GOT_IPV4 | GOT_IPV6)))
+  return 0;
+
+ switch (sock->sk->sk_family) {
```

```
+  case AF_INET:
+   inet = inet_sk(sock->sk);
+   if (inet->saddr == tsec->addr4)
+    return 0;
+   return -EPERM;
+
+  case AF_INET6:
+   inet6 = inet6_sk(sock->sk);
+   if (ipv6_addr_cmp(&inet6->saddr, &tsec->addr6) == 0)
+    return 0;
+   return -EPERM;
+
+  default:
+   return 0;
+
+ }
+}
+
+static void free_sock_security(struct sock *sk)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ tsec = sk->sk_security;
+ if (!tsec)
+  return;
+ kref_put(&tsec->kref, release_jail);
+ sk->sk_security = NULL;
+}
+
+/*
+ * The next three (socket) hooks prevent a process in a jail from sending
+ * data to a abstract unix domain socket which was bound outside the jail.
+ */
+static int
+jail_socket_unix_bind(struct socket *sock, struct sockaddr *address,
+ int addrlen)
+{
+ struct sockaddr_un *sunaddr;
+ struct jail_struct *tsec;
+
+ if (sock->sk->sk_family != AF_UNIX)
+  return 0;
+
+ sunaddr = (struct sockaddr_un *) address;
+ if (sunaddr->sun_path[0] != 0)
+  return 0;
+
+ tsec = current->security;
```

```
+ sock->sk->sk_security = tsec;
+ if (tsec)
+  kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * Note - we deny sends  both from unjailed to jailed, and from jailed
+ * to unjailed.  As well as, of course between different jails.
+ */
+static int
+jail_socket_unix_may_send(struct socket *sock, struct socket *other)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec, *ssec;
+
+ tsec = current->security;  /* jail of sending process */
+ ssec = other->sk->sk_security;  /* jail of receiver */
+
+ if (tsec != ssec)
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_socket_unix_stream_connect(struct socket *sock,
+       struct socket *other, struct sock *newsk)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec, *ssec;
+
+ tsec = current->security;  /* jail of sending process */
+ ssec = other->sk->sk_security;  /* jail of receiver */
+
+ if (tsec != ssec)
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_mount(char * dev_name, struct nameidata *nd, char * type,
+                        unsigned long flags, void * data)
+{
+ if (in_jail(current))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
```

```
+
+static int
+jail_umount(struct vfsmount *mnt, int flags)
+{
+ if (in_jail(current))
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * process in jail may not:
+ *   use nice
+ *   change network config
+ *   load/unload modules
+ */
+static int
+jail_capable (struct task_struct *tsk, int cap)
+{
+ if (in_jail(tsk)) {
+  if (cap == CAP_SYS_NICE)
+   return -EPERM;
+  if (cap == CAP_NET_ADMIN)
+   return -EPERM;
+  if (cap == CAP_SYS_MODULE)
+   return -EPERM;
+  if (cap == CAP_SYS_RAWIO)
+   return -EPERM;
+ }
+
+ if (cap_is_fs_cap (cap) ? tsk->fsuid == 0 : tsk->euid == 0)
+  return 0;
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+/*
+ * jail_security_task_create:
+ *
+ * If the current process is ina a jail, and that jail is about to exceed a
+ * maximum number of processes, then refuse to fork.  If the maximum number
+ * of jails is listed as 0, then there is no limit for this jail, and we allow
+ * all forks.
+ */
+static inline int
+jail_security_task_create (unsigned long clone_flags)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
```

```
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (tsec->max_nrtask && tsec->cur_nrtask >= tsec->max_nrtask)
+  return -EPERM;
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * The child of a process in a jail belongs in the same jail
+ */
+static int
+jail_task_alloc_security(struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ tsk->security = tsec;
+ kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ tsec->cur_nrtask++;
+ if (tsec->maxtimeslice) {
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_max = tsec->maxtimeslice;
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_cur = tsec->maxtimeslice;
+ }
+ if (tsec->max_data) {
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_max = tsec->max_data;
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_cur = tsec->max_data;
+ }
+ if (tsec->max_memlock) {
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_max = tsec->max_memlock;
+  tsk->signal->rlim[RLIMIT_CPU].rlim_cur = tsec->max_memlock;
+ }
+ if (tsec->nice)
+  set_user_nice(current, tsec->nice);
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_bprm_alloc_security(struct linux_binprm *bprm)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+ int ret;
+
+ if (!tsec)
+  return 0;
```

```
+
+ if (tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE)
+  return 0;
+
+ ret = enable_jail(current);
+ if (ret) {
+  /* if we failed, nix out the ip requests */
+  jail_task_free_security(current);
+  return ret;
+ }
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * Process in jail may not create devices
+ * Thanks to Brad Spender for pointing out fifos should be allowed.
+ */
+/* TODO: We may want to allow /dev/log, at least... */
+static int
+jail_inode_mknod(struct inode *dir, struct dentry *dentry, int mode, dev_t dev)
+{
+ if (!in_jail(current))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (S_ISFIFO(mode))
+  return 0;
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+/* yanked from fs/proc/base.c */
+static unsigned name_to_int(struct dentry *dentry)
+{
+ const char *name = dentry->d_name.name;
+ int len = dentry->d_name.len;
+ unsigned n = 0;
+
+ if (len > 1 && *name == '0')
+  goto out;
+ while (len-- > 0) {
+  unsigned c = *name++ - '0';
+  if (c > 9)
+   goto out;
+  if (n >= (~0U-9)/10)
+   goto out;
+  n *= 10;
+  n += c;
+ }
```

```
+ return n;
+out:
+ return ~0U;
+}
+
+/*
+ * jail_proc_inode_permission:
+ *   called only when current is in a jail, and is trying to reach
+ *   /proc/<pid>.  We check whether <pid> is in the same jail as
+ *   current.  If not, permission is denied.
+ *
+ * NOTE:  On the one hand, the task_to_inode(inode)->i_security
+ * approach seems cleaner, but on the other, this prevents us
+ * from unloading bsdjail for awhile...
+ */
+static int
+jail_proc_inode_permission(struct inode *inode, int mask,
+        struct nameidata *nd)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+ struct dentry *dentry = nd->dentry;
+ unsigned pid;
+
+ pid = name_to_int(dentry);
+ if (pid == ~0U) {
+  return 0;
+ }
+
+ if (dentry->d_parent != dentry->d_sb->s_root)
+  return 0;
+ if (inode->i_security != tsec)
+  return -ENOENT;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * security_task_to_inode:
+ * Set inode->security = task's jail.
+ */
+static void jail_task_to_inode(struct task_struct *p, struct inode *inode)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = p->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return;
+ if (inode->i_security)
+  return;
```

```
+ kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ inode->i_security = tsec;
+}
+
+/*
+ * inode_permission:
+ * If we are trying to look into certain /proc files from in a jail, we
+ *  may deny permission.
+ */
+static int
+jail_inode_permission(struct inode *inode, int mask,
+        struct nameidata *nd)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (!nd)
+  return 0;
+
+ if (nd->dentry &&
+  strcmp(nd->dentry->d_sb->s_type->name, "proc") == 0) {
+  return jail_proc_inode_permission(inode, mask, nd);
+
+ }
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * A function which returns -ENOENT if dentry is the dentry for
+ * a /proc/<pid> directory.  It returns 0 otherwise.
+ */
+static inline int
+generic_procpid_check(struct dentry *dentry)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *jail = current->security;
+ unsigned pid = name_to_int(dentry);
+
+ if (!jail || !(jail->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+ if (pid == ~0U)
+  return 0;
+ if (strcmp(dentry->d_sb->s_type->name, "proc") != 0)
+  return 0;
+ if (dentry->d_parent != dentry->d_sb->s_root)
+  return 0;
```

```
+ if (dentry->d_inode->i_security != jail)
+  return -ENOENT;
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/*
+ * We want getattr to fail on /proc/<pid> to prevent leakage through, for
+ * instance, ls -d.
+ */
+static int
+jail_inode_getattr(struct vfsmount *mnt, struct dentry *dentry)
+{
+ return generic_procpid_check(dentry);
+}
+
+/* This probably is not necessary - /proc does not support xattrs? */
+static int
+jail_inode_getxattr(struct dentry *dentry, char *name)
+{
+ return generic_procpid_check(dentry);
+}
+
+/* process in jail may not send signal to process not in the same jail */
+static int
+jail_task_kill(struct task_struct *p, struct siginfo *info, int sig, u32 secid)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (tsec == p->security)
+  return 0;
+
+ if (sig==SIGCHLD)
+  return 0;
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+/*
+ * LSM hooks to limit jailed process' abilities to muck with resource
+ * limits
+ */
+static int jail_task_setrlimit (unsigned int resource, struct rlimit *new_rlim)
+{
+ if (!in_jail(current))
+  return 0;
```

```
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+static int jail_task_setscheduler (struct task_struct *p, int policy,
+        struct sched_param *lp)
+{
+ if (!in_jail(current))
+  return 0;
+
+ return -EPERM;
+}
+
+/*
+ * LSM hooks to limit IPC access.
+ */
+
+static inline int
+basic_ipc_security_check(struct kern_ipc_perm *p, struct task_struct *target)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = target->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (p->security != tsec)
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int
+jail_ipc_permission(struct kern_ipc_perm *ipcp, short flag)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(ipcp, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_shm_alloc_security (struct shmid_kernel *shp)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+ shp->shm_perm.security = tsec;
+ kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ return 0;
+}
```

```
+
+static void
+jail_shm_free_security (struct shmid_kernel *shp)
+{
+ free_ipc_security(&shp->shm_perm);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_shm_associate (struct shmid_kernel *shp, int shmflg)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&shp->shm_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_shm_shmctl(struct shmid_kernel *shp, int cmd)
+{
+ if (cmd == IPC_INFO || cmd == SHM_INFO)
+  return 0;
+
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&shp->shm_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_shm_shmat(struct shmid_kernel *shp, char *shmaddr, int shmflg)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&shp->shm_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_msg_queue_alloc(struct msg_queue *msq)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+ msq->q_perm.security = tsec;
+ kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void
+jail_msg_queue_free(struct msg_queue *msq)
+{
+ free_ipc_security(&msq->q_perm);
+}
+
+static int jail_msg_queue_associate(struct msg_queue *msq, int flag)
+{
```

```
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&msq->q_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_msg_queue_msgctl(struct msg_queue *msq, int cmd)
+{
+ if (cmd == IPC_INFO || cmd == MSG_INFO)
+  return 0;
+
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&msq->q_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_msg_queue_msgsnd(struct msg_queue *msq, struct msg_msg *msg, int msqflg)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&msq->q_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_msg_queue_msgrcv(struct msg_queue *msq, struct msg_msg *msg,
+  struct task_struct *target, long type, int mode)
+
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&msq->q_perm, target);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_sem_alloc_security(struct sem_array *sma)
+{
+ struct jail_struct *tsec = current->security;
+
+ if (!tsec || !(tsec->jail_flags & IN_USE))
+  return 0;
+ sma->sem_perm.security = tsec;
+ kref_get(&tsec->kref);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void
+jail_sem_free_security(struct sem_array *sma)
+{
+ free_ipc_security(&sma->sem_perm);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_sem_associate(struct sem_array *sma, int semflg)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&sma->sem_perm, current);
```

```
+}
+
+static int
+jail_sem_semctl(struct sem_array *sma, int cmd)
+{
+ if (cmd == IPC_INFO || cmd == SEM_INFO)
+  return 0;
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&sma->sem_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_sem_semop(struct sem_array *sma, struct sembuf *sops, unsigned nsops,
+ int alter)
+{
+ return basic_ipc_security_check(&sma->sem_perm, current);
+}
+
+static int
+jail_sysctl(struct ctl_table *table, int op)
+{
+ if (!in_jail(current))
+  return 0;
+
+ if (op & 002)
+  return -EPERM;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static struct security_operations bsdjail_security_ops = {
+ .ptrace  =   jail_ptrace,
+ .capable =   jail_capable,
+
+ .task_kill =   jail_task_kill,
+ .task_alloc_security =  jail_task_alloc_security,
+ .task_free_security =  jail_task_free_security,
+ .bprm_alloc_security =  jail_bprm_alloc_security,
+ .task_create =   jail_security_task_create,
+ .task_to_inode =  jail_task_to_inode,
+
+ .task_setrlimit =  jail_task_setrlimit,
+ .task_setscheduler =  jail_task_setscheduler,
+
+ .setprocattr =                jail_setprocattr,
+ .getprocattr =                jail_getprocattr,
+
+ .file_set_fowner =  jail_file_set_fowner,
+ .file_send_sigiotask =  jail_file_send_sigiotask,
```

```
+ .file_free_security =  free_file_security,
+
+ .socket_bind =   jail_socket_bind,
+ .socket_listen =  jail_socket_listen,
+ .socket_create =  jail_socket_create,
+ .socket_post_create =  jail_socket_post_create,
+       .unix_stream_connect =  jail_socket_unix_stream_connect,
+ .unix_may_send =  jail_socket_unix_may_send,
+ .sk_free_security =  free_sock_security,
+
+ .inode_mknod =   jail_inode_mknod,
+ .inode_permission =  jail_inode_permission,
+ .inode_free_security =  free_inode_security,
+ .inode_getattr =  jail_inode_getattr,
+ .inode_getxattr =  jail_inode_getxattr,
+ .sb_mount =   jail_mount,
+ .sb_umount =   jail_umount,
+
+ .ipc_permission =  jail_ipc_permission,
+ .shm_alloc_security =   jail_shm_alloc_security,
+ .shm_free_security =   jail_shm_free_security,
+ .shm_associate =  jail_shm_associate,
+ .shm_shmctl =   jail_shm_shmctl,
+ .shm_shmat =   jail_shm_shmat,
+
+ .msg_queue_alloc_security = jail_msg_queue_alloc,
+ .msg_queue_free_security = jail_msg_queue_free,
+ .msg_queue_associate =  jail_msg_queue_associate,
+ .msg_queue_msgctl =  jail_msg_queue_msgctl,
+ .msg_queue_msgsnd =  jail_msg_queue_msgsnd,
+ .msg_queue_msgrcv =  jail_msg_queue_msgrcv,
+
+ .sem_alloc_security =   jail_sem_alloc_security,
+ .sem_free_security =    jail_sem_free_security,
+ .sem_associate =  jail_sem_associate,
+ .sem_semctl =   jail_sem_semctl,
+ .sem_semop =   jail_sem_semop,
+
+ .sysctl =   jail_sysctl,
+};
+
+static int __init bsdjail_init (void)
+{
+ int rc = 0;
+
+ if (register_security (&bsdjail_security_ops)) {
+  printk (KERN_INFO
+   "Failure registering BSD Jail module with the kernel\n");
```

```
+
+  rc = mod_reg_security(MY_NAME, &bsdjail_security_ops);
+  if (rc < 0) {
+   printk (KERN_INFO "Failure registering BSD Jail "
+    " module with primary security module.\n");
+   return -EINVAL;
+  }
+  secondary = 1;
+ }
+ printk (KERN_INFO "BSD Jail module initialized.\n");
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static void __exit bsdjail_exit (void)
+{
+ if (secondary) {
+  if (mod_unreg_security (MY_NAME, &bsdjail_security_ops))
+   printk (KERN_INFO "Failure unregistering BSD Jail "
+    " module with primary module.\n");
+ } else {
+  if (unregister_security (&bsdjail_security_ops)) {
+   printk (KERN_INFO "Failure unregistering BSD Jail "
+    "module with the kernel\n");
+  }
+ }
+
+ printk (KERN_INFO "BSD Jail module removed\n");
+}
+
+security_initcall (bsdjail_init);
+module_exit (bsdjail_exit);
+
+MODULE_DESCRIPTION("BSD Jail LSM.");
+MODULE_LICENSE("GPL");
--
1.4.1.1
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/9] network namespaces: core and device list
Posted by Dave Hansen on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 14:46:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 2006-08-15 at 18:48 +0400, Andrey Savochkin wrote:

```
>
>         /* Can survive without statistics */
>         stats = kmalloc(sizeof(struct net_device_stats), GFP_KERNEL);
>         if (stats) {
```

```
>                memset(stats, 0, sizeof(struct net_device_stats));
> -              loopback_dev.priv = stats;
> -              loopback_dev.get_stats = &get_stats;
> +               dev->priv = stats;
> +               dev->get_stats = &get_stats;
>        }
```

With this much surgery it might be best to start using things that have
come along since this code was touched last, like kzalloc().

-- Dave

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Alexey Kuznetsov on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 15:12:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello!

> (application) containers. Performance aside, are there any reasons why
> this approach would be problematic for c/r?

This approach is just perfect for c/r.

Probably, this is the only approach when migration can be done
in a clean and self-consistent way.

Alexey

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/9] network namespaces: core and device list
Posted by Stephen Hemminger on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 16:45:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 16 Aug 2006 07:46:43 -0700
Dave Hansen <haveblue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> On Tue, 2006-08-15 at 18:48 +0400, Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> >
> >   /* Can survive without statistics */
> >   stats = kmalloc(sizeof(struct net_device_stats), GFP_KERNEL);
> >   if (stats) {
> >   memset(stats, 0, sizeof(struct net_device_stats));
> > -   loopback_dev.priv = stats;
> > -   loopback_dev.get_stats = &get_stats;
> > +   dev->priv = stats;
> > +   dev->get_stats = &get_stats;

> >   }
>
> With this much surgery it might be best to start using things that have
> come along since this code was touched last, like kzalloc().
>
>

If you are going to make the loopback device dynamic, it MUST use
alloc_netdev().

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 16 Aug 2006 17:35:41 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Alexey Kuznetsov <kuznet@ms2.inr.ac.ru> writes:

> Hello!
>
>> (application) containers. Performance aside, are there any reasons why
>> this approach would be problematic for c/r?
>
> This approach is just perfect for c/r.

Yes. For c/r you need to take your state with you.

> Probably, this is the only approach when migration can be done
> in a clean and self-consistent way.

Basically there are currently 3 approaches that have been proposed.

The trivial bsdjail style as implemented by Serge and in a slightly
more sophisticated version in vserver. This approach as it does not
touch the packets has little to no packet level overhead. Basically
this is what I have called the Level 3 approach.

The more in depth approach where we modify the packet processing based
upon which network interface the packet comes in on, and it looks like
each namespace has it's own instance of the network stack. Roughly
what was proposed earlier in this thread the Level 2 approach. This
potentially has per packet overhead so we need to watch the implementation
very carefully.

Some weird hybrid as proposed by Daniel, that I was never clear on the
semantics.

>From the previous conversations my impression was that as long as
we could get a Layer 2 approach that did not slow down the networking

stack and was clean, everyone would be happy.

I'm buried in the process id namespace at the moment, and except
to be so for the rest of the month, so I'm not going to be
very helpful except for a few stray comments.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by dev on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:28:03 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Basically there are currently 3 approaches that have been proposed.
>
> The trivial bsdjail style as implemented by Serge and in a slightly
> more sophisticated version in vserver. This approach as it does not
> touch the packets has little to no packet level overhead. Basically
> this is what I have called the Level 3 approach.
>
> The more in depth approach where we modify the packet processing based
> upon which network interface the packet comes in on, and it looks like
> each namespace has it's own instance of the network stack. Roughly
> what was proposed earlier in this thread the Level 2 approach. This
> potentially has per packet overhead so we need to watch the implementation
> very carefully.
>
> Some weird hybrid as proposed by Daniel, that I was never clear on the
> semantics.
The good thing is that these approaches do not contradict each other.
We discussed it with Daniel during the summit and as Andrey proposed
some shortcuts can be created to avoid double stack traversing.

>>From the previous conversations my impression was that as long as
> we could get a Layer 2 approach that did not slow down the networking
> stack and was clean, everyone would be happy.
agree.

> I'm buried in the process id namespace at the moment, and except
> to be so for the rest of the month, so I'm not going to be
> very helpful except for a few stray comments.
I will be very much obliged if you find some time to review these new
patches so that we could make some progress here.

Thanks,
Kirill

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 13:34:40 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all,

>> This complete separation of namespaces is very useful for at least two
>> purposes:
>> - allowing users to create and manage by their own various tunnels and
>> VPNs, and
>> - enabling easier and more straightforward live migration of groups of
>> processes with their environment.
>
>
> I conceptually prefer this approach, but I seem to recall there were
> actual problems in using this for checkpoint/restart of lightweight
> (application) containers. Performance aside, are there any reasons why
> this approach would be problematic for c/r?

I agree with this approach too, separated namespaces is the best way to
identify the network ressources for a specific container.

> I'm afraid Daniel may be on vacation, and don't know who else other than
> Eric might have thoughts on this.

Yes, I was in "vacation", but I am back :)

>>2. People expressed concerns that complete separation of namespaces
>> may introduce an undesired overhead in certain usage scenarios.
>> The overhead comes from packets traversing input path, then output path,
>> then input path again in the destination namespace if root namespace
>> acts as a router.

Yes, performance is probably one issue.

My concerns was for layer 2 / layer 3 virtualization. I agree a layer 2
isolation/virtualization is the best for the "system container".
But there is another family of container called "application container",
it is not a system which is run inside a container but only the
application. If you want to run a oracle database inside a container,
you can run it inside an application container without launching <init>
and all the services.

This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance
computing) and for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster runs
hundred of jobs, spawning them on different hosts inside an application
container. Usually the jobs communicates with broadcast and multicast.
Application containers does not care of having different MAC address and
rely on a layer 3 approach.

Are application containers comfortable with a layer 2 virtualization ? I
don't think so, because several jobs running inside the same host
communicate via broadcast/multicast between them and between other jobs
running on different hosts. The IP consumption is a problem too: 1
container == 2 IP (one for the root namespace/ one for the container),
multiplicated with the number of jobs. Furthermore, lot of jobs == lot
of virtual devices.

However, after a discussion with Kirill at the OLS, it appears we can
merge the layer 2 and 3 approaches if the level of network
virtualization is tunable and we can choose layer 2 or layer 3 when
doing the "unshare". The determination of the namespace for the incoming
traffic can be done with an specific iptable module as a first step.
While looking at the network namespace patches, it appears that the
TCP/UDP part is **very** similar at what is needed for a layer 3 approach.

Any thoughts ?

Daniel

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 14:45:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:

>>>2. People expressed concerns that complete separation of namespaces
>>> may introduce an undesired overhead in certain usage scenarios.
>>> The overhead comes from packets traversing input path, then output path,
>>> then input path again in the destination namespace if root namespace
>>> acts as a router.
>
> Yes, performance is probably one issue.
>
> My concerns was for layer 2 / layer 3 virtualization. I agree a layer 2
> isolation/virtualization is the best for the "system container".
> But there is another family of container called "application container", it is
> not a system which is run inside a container but only the application. If you
> want to run a oracle database inside a container, you can run it inside an
> application container without launching <init> and all the services.
>
> This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance computing) and
> for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster runs hundred of jobs, spawning
> them on different hosts inside an application container. Usually the jobs
> communicates with broadcast and multicast.
> Application containers does not care of having different MAC address and rely on

> a layer 3 approach.
>
> Are application containers comfortable with a layer 2 virtualization ? I don't
> think so, because several jobs running inside the same host communicate via
> broadcast/multicast between them and between other jobs running on different
> hosts. The IP consumption is a problem too: 1 container == 2 IP (one for the
> root namespace/ one for the container), multiplicated with the number of
> jobs. Furthermore, lot of jobs == lot of virtual devices.
>
> However, after a discussion with Kirill at the OLS, it appears we can merge the
> layer 2 and 3 approaches if the level of network virtualization is tunable and
> we can choose layer 2 or layer 3 when doing the "unshare". The determination of
> the namespace for the incoming traffic can be done with an specific iptable
> module as a first step. While looking at the network namespace patches, it
> appears that the TCP/UDP part is **very** similar at what is needed for a layer
> 3 approach.
>
> Any thoughts ?

For HPC if you are interested in migration you need a separate IP per container. If you can take you IP address with you migration of networking state is simple. If you can't take your IP address with you a network container is nearly pointless from a migration perspective.

Beyond that from everything I have seen layer 2 is just much cleaner than any layer 3 approach short of Serge's bind filtering.

Beyond that I have yet to see a clean semantics for anything resembling your layer 2 layer 3 hybrid approach. If we can't have clear semantics it is by definition impossible to implement correctly because no one understands what it is supposed to do.

Note. A true layer 3 approach has no impact on TCP/UDP filtering because it filters at bind time not at packet reception time. Once you start inspecting packets I don't see what the gain is from not going all of the way to layer 2.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 15:32:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> For HPC if you are interested in migration you need a separate IP per
> container. If you can take you IP address with you migration of
> networking state is simple. If you can't take your IP address with

> you a network container is nearly pointless from a migration
> perspective.

Eric, please, I know... I showed you a migration demo at OLS ;)

> Beyond that from everything I have seen layer 2 is just much cleaner
> than any layer 3 approach short of Serge's bind filtering.

> Beyond that I have yet to see a clean semantics for anything
> resembling your layer 2 layer 3 hybrid approach. If we can't have
> clear semantics it is by definition impossible to implement correctly
> because no one understands what it is supposed to do.

> Note. A true layer 3 approach has no impact on TCP/UDP filtering
> because it filters at bind time not at packet reception time. Once
> you start inspecting packets I don't see what the gain is from not
> going all of the way to layer 2.

The bsdjail was just for information ...

- Daniel

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by dev on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 15:44:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Yes, performance is probably one issue.
>
> My concerns was for layer 2 / layer 3 virtualization. I agree a layer 2
> isolation/virtualization is the best for the "system container".
> But there is another family of container called "application container",
> it is not a system which is run inside a container but only the
> application. If you want to run a oracle database inside a container,
> you can run it inside an application container without launching <init>
> and all the services.
>
> This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance
> computing) and for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster runs
> hundred of jobs, spawning them on different hosts inside an application
> container. Usually the jobs communicates with broadcast and multicast.
> Application containers does not care of having different MAC address and
> rely on a layer 3 approach.
>
> Are application containers comfortable with a layer 2 virtualization ? I
> don't think so, because several jobs running inside the same host
> communicate via broadcast/multicast between them and between other jobs

> running on different hosts. The IP consumption is a problem too: 1
> container == 2 IP (one for the root namespace/ one for the container),
> multiplicated with the number of jobs. Furthermore, lot of jobs == lot
> of virtual devices.
>
> However, after a discussion with Kirill at the OLS, it appears we can
> merge the layer 2 and 3 approaches if the level of network
> virtualization is tunable and we can choose layer 2 or layer 3 when
> doing the "unshare". The determination of the namespace for the incoming
> traffic can be done with an specific iptable module as a first step.
> While looking at the network namespace patches, it appears that the
> TCP/UDP part is **very** similar at what is needed for a layer 3 approach.
>
> Any thoughts ?
My humble opinion is that your approach doesn't intersect with this one.
So we can freely go with both *if needed*.
And hear the comments from network guru guys and what and how to improve.

So I suggest you at least to send the patches, so we could discuss it.

Thanks,
Kirill

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 16:53:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, Sep 05, 2006 at 08:45:39AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:
>
> >>>2. People expressed concerns that complete separation of namespaces
> >>> may introduce an undesired overhead in certain usage scenarios.
> >>> The overhead comes from packets traversing input path, then output path,
> >>> then input path again in the destination namespace if root namespace
> >>> acts as a router.
> >
> > Yes, performance is probably one issue.
> >
> > My concerns was for layer 2 / layer 3 virtualization. I agree
> > a layer 2 isolation/virtualization is the best for the "system
> > container". But there is another family of container called
> > "application container", it is not a system which is run inside a
> > container but only the application. If you want to run a oracle
> > database inside a container, you can run it inside an application
> > container without launching <init> and all the services.
> >
> > This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance

> > computing) and for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster
> > runs hundred of jobs, spawning them on different hosts inside an
> > application container. Usually the jobs communicates with broadcast
> > and multicast. Application containers does not care of having
> > different MAC address and rely on a layer 3 approach.
> >
> > Are application containers comfortable with a layer 2 virtualization
> > ? I don't think so, because several jobs running inside the same
> > host communicate via broadcast/multicast between them and between
> > other jobs running on different hosts. The IP consumption is a
> > problem too: 1 container == 2 IP (one for the root namespace/
> > one for the container), multiplicated with the number of jobs.
> > Furthermore, lot of jobs == lot of virtual devices.
> >
> > However, after a discussion with Kirill at the OLS, it appears we
> > can merge the layer 2 and 3 approaches if the level of network
> > virtualization is tunable and we can choose layer 2 or layer 3 when
> > doing the "unshare". The determination of the namespace for the
> > incoming traffic can be done with an specific iptable module as
> > a first step. While looking at the network namespace patches, it
> > appears that the TCP/UDP part is **very** similar at what is needed
> > for a layer
> > 3 approach.
> >
> > Any thoughts ?
>
> For HPC if you are interested in migration you need a separate IP
> per container. If you can take you IP address with you migration of
> networking state is simple. If you can't take your IP address with you
> a network container is nearly pointless from a migration perspective.
>
> Beyond that from everything I have seen layer 2 is just much cleaner
> than any layer 3 approach short of Serge's bind filtering.

well, the 'ip subset' approach Linux-VServer and
other Jail solutions use is very clean, it just does
not match your expectations of a virtual interface
(as there is none) and it does not cope well with
all kinds of per context 'requirements', which IMHO
do not really exist on the application layer (only
on the whole system layer)

> Beyond that I have yet to see a clean semantics for anything
> resembling your layer 2 layer 3 hybrid approach. If we can't have
> clear semantics it is by definition impossible to implement correctly
> because no one understands what it is supposed to do.

IMHO that would be quite simple, have a 'namespace'

for limiting port binds to a subset of the available
ips and another one which does complete network
virtualization with all the whistles and bells, IMHO
most of them are orthogonal and can easily be combined

 - full network virtualization
 - lightweight ip subset
 - both

> Note. A true layer 3 approach has no impact on TCP/UDP filtering
> because it filters at bind time not at packet reception time. Once you
> start inspecting packets I don't see what the gain is from not going
> all of the way to layer 2.

IMHO this requirement only arises from the full system
virtualization approach, just look at the other jail
solutions (solaris, bsd, ...) some of them do not even
allow for more than a single ip but they work quite
well when used properly ...

best,
Herbert

> Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 17:09:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance computing) and
> for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster runs hundred of jobs, spawning
> them on different hosts inside an application container. Usually the jobs
> communicates with broadcast and multicast.
> Application containers does not care of having different MAC address and rely on
> a layer 3 approach.

Ok I think to understand this we need some precise definitions.
In the normal case it is an error for a job to communication with a different
job.

The basic advantage with a different MAC is that you can found out who the
intended recipient is sooner in the networking stack and you have truly
separate network devices. Allowing for a cleaner implementation.

Changing the MAC after migration is likely to be fine.

> Are application containers comfortable with a layer 2 virtualization ? I don't

> think so, because several jobs running inside the same host communicate via
> broadcast/multicast between them and between other jobs running on different
> hosts. The IP consumption is a problem too: 1 container == 2 IP (one for the
> root namespace/ one for the container), multiplicated with the number of
> jobs. Furthermore, lot of jobs == lot of virtual devices.

First if you hook you network namespaces with ethernet bridging
you don't need any extra IPs.

Second don't see the conflict you perceive between application containers
and layer 2 containment.

The bottom line is that you need at least one loopback interface per non-trivial
network namespace. One you get that having a virtual is no big deal. In
addition network devices don't consume less memory than a process. So lots
of network devices should not be a problem.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 05 Sep 2006 18:27:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Tue, Sep 05, 2006 at 08:45:39AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:
>>
>> For HPC if you are interested in migration you need a separate IP
>> per container. If you can take you IP address with you migration of
>> networking state is simple. If you can't take your IP address with you
>> a network container is nearly pointless from a migration perspective.
>>
>> Beyond that from everything I have seen layer 2 is just much cleaner
>> than any layer 3 approach short of Serge's bind filtering.
>
> well, the 'ip subset' approach Linux-VServer and
> other Jail solutions use is very clean, it just does
> not match your expectations of a virtual interface
> (as there is none) and it does not cope well with
> all kinds of per context 'requirements', which IMHO
> do not really exist on the application layer (only
> on the whole system layer)

I probably expressed that wrong. There are currently three
basic approaches under discussion.
Layer 3 (Basically bind filtering) nothing at the packet level.

The approach taken by Serge's version of bsdjails and Vserver.

Layer 2.5 What Daniel proposed.

Layer 2. (Trivially mapping each packet to a different interface)
And then treating everything as multiple instances of the
network stack.
Roughly what OpenVZ and I have implemented.

You can get into some weird complications at layer 3 but because
it doesn't touch each packet the proof it is fast is trivial.

>> Beyond that I have yet to see a clean semantics for anything
>> resembling your layer 2 layer 3 hybrid approach. If we can't have
>> clear semantics it is by definition impossible to implement correctly
>> because no one understands what it is supposed to do.
>
> IMHO that would be quite simple, have a 'namespace'
> for limiting port binds to a subset of the available
> ips and another one which does complete network
> virtualization with all the whistles and bells, IMHO
> most of them are orthogonal and can easily be combined
>
> - full network virtualization
> - lightweight ip subset
> - both

Quite possibly. The LSM will stay for a while so we do have
a clean way to restrict port binds.

>> Note. A true layer 3 approach has no impact on TCP/UDP filtering
>> because it filters at bind time not at packet reception time. Once you
>> start inspecting packets I don't see what the gain is from not going
>> all of the way to layer 2.
>
> IMHO this requirement only arises from the full system
> virtualization approach, just look at the other jail
> solutions (solaris, bsd, ...) some of them do not even
> allow for more than a single ip but they work quite
> well when used properly ...

Yes they do. Currently I am strongly opposed to Daniel Layer 2.5 approach
as I see no redeeming value in it. A good clean layer 3 approach I
avoid only because I think we can do better.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 09:10:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Herbert,

> well, the 'ip subset' approach Linux-VServer and
> other Jail solutions use is very clean, it just does
> not match your expectations of a virtual interface
> (as there is none) and it does not cope well with
> all kinds of per context 'requirements', which IMHO
> do not really exist on the application layer (only
> on the whole system layer)
>
> IMHO that would be quite simple, have a 'namespace'
> for limiting port binds to a subset of the available
> ips and another one which does complete network
> virtualization with all the whistles and bells, IMHO
> most of them are orthogonal and can easily be combined
>
> - full network virtualization
> - lightweight ip subset
> - both
>
> IMHO this requirement only arises from the full system
> virtualization approach, just look at the other jail
> solutions (solaris, bsd, ...) some of them do not even
> allow for more than a single ip but they work quite
> well when used properly ...

As far as I see, vserver use a layer 3 solution but, when needed, the veth "component", made by Nestor Pena, is used to provide a layer 2 virtualization. Right ?

Having the two solutions, you have certainly a lot if information about use cases. From the point of view of vserver, can you give some examples of when a layer 3 solution is better/worst than a layer 2 solution ? Who wants a layer 2/3 virtualization and why ?

These informations will be very useful.

Regards

-- Daniel

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by dev on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 14:52:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>>On Tue, Sep 05, 2006 at 08:45:39AM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>>
>>>Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:
>>>
>>>For HPC if you are interested in migration you need a separate IP
>>>per container. If you can take you IP address with you migration of
>>>networking state is simple. If you can't take your IP address with you
>>>a network container is nearly pointless from a migration perspective.
>>>
>>>Beyond that from everything I have seen layer 2 is just much cleaner
>>>than any layer 3 approach short of Serge's bind filtering.
>>
>>well, the 'ip subset' approach Linux-VServer and
>>other Jail solutions use is very clean, it just does
>>not match your expectations of a virtual interface
>>(as there is none) and it does not cope well with
>>all kinds of per context 'requirements', which IMHO
>>do not really exist on the application layer (only
>>on the whole system layer)
>
>
> I probably expressed that wrong. There are currently three
> basic approaches under discussion.
> Layer 3 (Basically bind filtering) nothing at the packet level.
>   The approach taken by Serge's version of bsdjails and Vserver.
>
> Layer 2.5 What Daniel proposed.
>
> Layer 2. (Trivially mapping each packet to a different interface)
>   And then treating everything as multiple instances of the
>   network stack.
>   Roughly what OpenVZ and I have implemented.
I think classifying network virtualization by Layer X is not good enough.
OpenVZ has Layer 3 (venet) and Layer 2 (veth) implementations, but
in both cases networking stack inside VE remains fully virtualized.

Thanks,
Kirill

_____
Containers mailing list

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by kir on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 15:09:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kirill Korotaev wrote:
> I think classifying network virtualization by Layer X is not good enough.
> OpenVZ has Layer 3 (venet) and Layer 2 (veth) implementations, but
> in both cases networking stack inside VE remains fully virtualized.
>
Let's describe all those (three?) approaches at
http://wiki.openvz.org/Containers/Networking
Everyone is able to read and contribute to that, and (I hope) we will
come to the common understanding. I have started the article, please
enlarge.

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 16:56:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, Sep 06, 2006 at 11:10:23AM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Hi Herbert,
>
> >well, the 'ip subset' approach Linux-VServer and
> >other Jail solutions use is very clean, it just does
> >not match your expectations of a virtual interface
> >(as there is none) and it does not cope well with
> >all kinds of per context 'requirements', which IMHO
> >do not really exist on the application layer (only
> >on the whole system layer)
> >
> >IMHO that would be quite simple, have a 'namespace'
> >for limiting port binds to a subset of the available
> >ips and another one which does complete network
> >virtualization with all the whistles and bells, IMHO
> >most of them are orthogonal and can easily be combined
> >
> > - full network virtualization
> > - lightweight ip subset
> > - both
> >
> >IMHO this requirement only arises from the full system
> >virtualization approach, just look at the other jail

> >solutions (solaris, bsd, ...) some of them do not even
> >allow for more than a single ip but they work quite
> >well when used properly ...
>
> As far as I see, vserver use a layer 3 solution but, when needed, the
> veth "component", made by Nestor Pena, is used to provide a layer 2
> virtualization. Right ?

well, no, we do not explicitely use the VETH daemon
for networking, although some folks probably make use
of it, mainly because if you realize that this kind
of isolation is something different and partially
complementary to network virtualization, you can do
live without the layer 2 virtualization in almost
all cases, nevertheless, for certain purposes layer
2/3 virtualization is required and/or makes perfect
sense

> Having the two solutions, you have certainly a lot if information
> about use cases.

> From the point of view of vserver, can you give some
> examples of when a layer 3 solution is better/worst than
> a layer 2 solution ?

my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
is simply this:

 of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
 isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
 and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
 usually comes with an overhead ...

> Who wants a layer 2/3 virtualization and why ?

there are some reasons for virtualization instead of
pure isolation (as Linux-VServer does it for now)

 - context migration/snapshot (probably reason #1)
 - creating network devices inside a guest
   (can help with vpn and similar)
 - allowing non IP protocols (like DHCP, ICMP, etc)

the problem which arises with this kind of network
virtualization is that you need some additional policy
for example to avoid sending 'evil' packets and/or
(D)DoSing one guest from another, which again adds

further overhead, so basically if you 'just' want
to have network isolation, you have to do this:

- create a 'copy' of your hosts networking inside
  the guest (with virtual interfaces)
- assign all the same (subset) ips and this to
  the virtual guest interfaces
- activate some smart bridging code which 'knows'
  what ports can be used and/or mapped
- add policy to block unwanted connections and/or
  packets to/from the guest

all this sounds very intrusive and for sure (please
proove me wrong here :) adds a lot of overhead to the
networking itself, while a 'simple' isolation approach
for IP (tcp/udp) is (almost) without any cost, certainly
without overhead once a connection is established.

> These informations will be very useful.

HTH,
Herbert

> Regards
>
> -- Daniel

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by kir on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 17:36:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
> shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
> is simply this:
>
> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
> usually comes with an overhead ...
>
Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?

The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is

"what do we get and how much we pay for it".

Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?

* -- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TANSTAAFL

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 17:58:39 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Wed, Sep 06, 2006 at 11:10:23AM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>>
>> As far as I see, vserver use a layer 3 solution but, when needed, the
>> veth "component", made by Nestor Pena, is used to provide a layer 2
>> virtualization. Right ?
>
> well, no, we do not explicitely use the VETH daemon
> for networking, although some folks probably make use
> of it, mainly because if you realize that this kind
> of isolation is something different and partially
> complementary to network virtualization, you can do
> live without the layer 2 virtualization in almost
> all cases, nevertheless, for certain purposes layer
> 2/3 virtualization is required and/or makes perfect
> sense
>
>> Having the two solutions, you have certainly a lot if information
>> about use cases.
>
>> From the point of view of vserver, can you give some
>> examples of when a layer 3 solution is better/worst than
>> a layer 2 solution ?
>
> my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
> shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
> is simply this:
>
> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
> usually comes with an overhead ...

>
>> Who wants a layer 2/3 virtualization and why ?
>
> there are some reasons for virtualization instead of
> pure isolation (as Linux-VServer does it for now)
>
> - context migration/snapshot (probably reason #1)
> - creating network devices inside a guest
>   (can help with vpn and similar)
> - allowing non IP protocols (like DHCP, ICMP, etc)
>
> the problem which arises with this kind of network
> virtualization is that you need some additional policy
> for example to avoid sending 'evil' packets and/or
> (D)DoSing one guest from another, which again adds
> further overhead, so basically if you 'just' want
> to have network isolation, you have to do this:
>
> - create a 'copy' of your hosts networking inside
>   the guest (with virtual interfaces)
> - assign all the same (subset) ips and this to
>   the virtual guest interfaces
> - activate some smart bridging code which 'knows'
>   what ports can be used and/or mapped
> - add policy to block unwanted connections and/or
>   packets to/from the guest
>
> all this sounds very intrusive and for sure (please
> proove me wrong here :) adds a lot of overhead to the
> networking itself, while a 'simple' isolation approach
> for IP (tcp/udp) is (almost) without any cost, certainly
> without overhead once a connection is established.

Thanks, for the good summary of the situation.

I think we can prove you wrong but it is going to take
some doing to build a good implementation and take
the necessary measurements.

Hmm. I wonder if the filtering layer 3 style of isolation can be built with
netfilter rules. Just skimming it looks we may be able to do it with something
like the netfilter owner module, possibly in conjunction with the connmark or
conntrack modules. If not if the infrastructure is close enough we can write
our own module.

Has anyone looked at network isolation from the netfilter perspective?

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 18:34:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kir Kolyshkin <kir@openvz.org> writes:

> Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>> my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
>> shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
>> is simply this:
>>
>> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
>> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
>> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
>> usually comes with an overhead ...
>>
> Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
> Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
> overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
> resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?
>
> The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is
> "what do we get and how much we pay for it".

Equal or better performance is certainly required when we have the code
compiled in but aren't using it. We must not penalize the current code.

> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
> several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
> approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?

If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of doing
it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.

That said I think there is a real chance if we can look at the bind
filtering and find a way to express that in the networking stack
through iptables. Using the security hooks conflicts with things
like selinux. Although it would be interesting to see if selinux
can already implement general purpose layer 3 filtering.

The more I look the gut feel I have is that the way to proceed would
be to add a new table that filters binds, and connects. Plus a new
module that would look at a process creating a socket and tell us if
it is the appropriate group of processes. With a little care that
would be a general solution to the layer 3 filtering problem.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by kir on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 18:56:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Kir Kolyshkin <kir@openvz.org> writes:
>
>
>> Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>>
>>> my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
>>> shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
>>> is simply this:
>>>
>>> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
>>> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
>>> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
>>> usually comes with an overhead ...
>>>
>>>
>> Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
>> Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
>> overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
>> resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?
>>
>> The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is
>> "what do we get and how much we pay for it".
>>
>
> Equal or better performance is certainly required when we have the code
> compiled in but aren't using it. We must not penalize the current code.
>
That's a valid argument. Although it's not applicable here (at least for
both network virtualization types which OpenVZ offers). Kirill/Andrey,
please correct me if I'm wrong here.
>> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
>> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
>> several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
>> approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?

>>
> o
> If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of doing
> it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
>
Definitely a valid argument as well.

I am not sure about "network isolation" (used by Linux-VServer), but as
it comes for level2 vs. level3 virtualization, I see a need for both.
Here is the easy-to-understand comparison which can shed some light:
http://wiki.openvz.org/Differences_between_venet_and_veth

Here are a couple of examples
* Do we want to let container's owner (i.e. root) to add/remove IP
addresses? Most probably not, but in some cases we want that.
* Do we want to be able to run DHCP server and/or DHCP client inside a
container? Sometimes...but not always.
* Do we want to let container's owner to create/manage his own set of
iptables? In half of the cases we do.

The problem here is single solution will not cover all those scenarios.
> That said I think there is a real chance if we can look at the bind
> filtering and find a way to express that in the networking stack
> through iptables. Using the security hooks conflicts with things
> like selinux. Although it would be interesting to see if selinux
> can already implement general purpose layer 3 filtering.
>
> The more I look the gut feel I have is that the way to proceed would
> be to add a new table that filters binds, and connects. Plus a new
> module that would look at a process creating a socket and tell us if
> it is the appropriate group of processes. With a little care that
> would be a general solution to the layer 3 filtering problem.
>
> Eric
>

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 20:25:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> This family of containers are used too for HPC (high performance computing) and
>> for distributed checkpoint/restart. The cluster runs hundred of jobs, spawning
>> them on different hosts inside an application container. Usually the jobs
>> communicates with broadcast and multicast.
>> Application containers does not care of having different MAC address and rely on
>> a layer 3 approach.

>
> Ok I think to understand this we need some precise definitions.
> In the normal case it is an error for a job to communication with a different
> job.

hmm ? What about an MPI application ?

I would expect each MPI task to be run in its container on different nodes
or on the same node. These individual tasks _communicate_ between each
other through the MPI layer (not only TCP btw) to complete a large calculation.

> The basic advantage with a different MAC is that you can found out who the
> intended recipient is sooner in the networking stack and you have truly
> separate network devices. Allowing for a cleaner implementation.
>
> Changing the MAC after migration is likely to be fine.

indeed.

C.

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 20:40:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> writes:

> Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>
> hmm ? What about an MPI application ?
>
> I would expect each MPI task to be run in its container on different nodes
> or on the same node. These individual tasks _communicate_ between each
> other through the MPI layer (not only TCP btw) to complete a large calculation.

All parts of the MPI application are part of the same job. Communication between
processes on multiple machines that are part of the job is fine.

At least that is how I used job in HPC computer context.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 20:53:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kir Kolyshkin wrote:

<snip>

> I am not sure about "network isolation" (used by Linux-VServer), but as
> it comes for level2 vs. level3 virtualization, I see a need for both.
> Here is the easy-to-understand comparison which can shed some light:
> http://wiki.openvz.org/Differences_between_venet_and_veth

thanks kir,

> Here are a couple of examples
> * Do we want to let container's owner (i.e. root) to add/remove IP
> addresses? Most probably not, but in some cases we want that.
> * Do we want to be able to run DHCP server and/or DHCP client inside a
> container? Sometimes...but not always.
> * Do we want to let container's owner to create/manage his own set of
> iptables? In half of the cases we do.
>
> The problem here is single solution will not cover all those scenarios.

some would argue that there is one single solution : Xen or similar.

IMO, I think containers should try to leverage their difference,
performance, and not try to simulate a real hardware environment.

Restricting the network environment of a container should be considered
acceptable if this is for the sake of performance. The network interface(s)
could be pre-configured and provided to the container. Protocol(s) could be
forbidden.

Now, if you need more network power in a container, you will need a real or
a virtualized interface.

But let's consider both alternatives.

thanks,

C.

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 21:44:35 GMT

Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
> Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>
>> my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
>> shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
>> is simply this:
>>
>> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
>> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
>> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
>> usually comes with an overhead ...
>>
>
> Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
> Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
> overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
> resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?
>
> The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is
> "what do we get and how much we pay for it".
>
> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
> several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
> approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?

Definitly yes, I agree.

Subject: RE: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Caitlin Bestler on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:06:16 GMT

ebiederm@xmission.com wrote:

>
>> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
>> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do
>> have several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network
>> virtualization approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes
>> sense?
>
> If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of
> doing it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
>

My reading is that full virtualization (Xen, etc.) calls for
implementing
L2 switching between the partitions and the physical NIC(s).

The tradeoffs between L2 and L3 switching are indeed complex, but
there are two implications of doing L2 switching between partitions:

1) Do we really want to ask device drivers to support L2 switching for
   partitions and something *different* for containers?

2) Do we really want any single packet to traverse an L2 switch (for
   the partition-style virtualization layer) and then an L3 switch
   (for the container-style layer)?

The full virtualization solution calls for virtual NICs with distinct
MAC addresses. Is there any reason why this same solution cannot work
for containers (just creating more than one VNIC for the partition,
and then assigning each VNIC to a container?)

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 23:25:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"Caitlin Bestler" <caitlinb@broadcom.com> writes:

> ebiederm@xmission.com wrote:
>
>>
>>> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
>>> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do
>>> have several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network
>>> virtualization approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes
>>> sense?
>>
>> If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of
>> doing it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
>>
>
> My reading is that full virtualization (Xen, etc.) calls for
> implementing
> L2 switching between the partitions and the physical NIC(s).
>
> The tradeoffs between L2 and L3 switching are indeed complex, but
> there are two implications of doing L2 switching between partitions:
>
> 1) Do we really want to ask device drivers to support L2 switching for
>   partitions and something *different* for containers?

No.

> 2) Do we really want any single packet to traverse an L2 switch (for
>   the partition-style virtualization layer) and then an L3 switch
>   (for the container-style layer)?

In general what has been done with layer 3 is to simply filter which
processes can use which IP addresses and it all happens at socket
creation time. So it is very cheap, and it can be done purely
in the network layer without any driver intervention.

Basically think of what is happening at layer 3 as an extremely light-weight
version of traffic filtering.

> The full virtualization solution calls for virtual NICs with distinct
> MAC addresses. Is there any reason why this same solution cannot work
> for containers (just creating more than one VNIC for the partition,
> and then assigning each VNIC to a container?)

The VNIC approach is the fundamental idea with the layer two networking
and if we can push the work down into the device driver it so different
destination macs show up a in different packet queues it should be
as fast as a normal networking stack.

Implementing VNICs so far is the only piece of containers that has
come close to device drivers, and we can likely do it without device
driver support (but with more cost). Basically this optimization
is a subset of the Grand Unified Lookup idea.

I think we can do a mergeable implementation without noticeable cost without
when not using containers without having to resort to a grand unified lookup
but I may be wrong.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Stephen Hemminger on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 00:53:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 06 Sep 2006 17:25:50 -0600
ebiederm@xmission.com (Eric W. Biederman) wrote:

> "Caitlin Bestler" <caitlinb@broadcom.com> writes:
>
> > ebiederm@xmission.com wrote:
> >

> >>
> >>> Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
> >>> virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do
> >>> have several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network
> >>> virtualization approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes
> >>> sense?
> >>
> >> If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of
> >> doing it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
> >>
> >
> > My reading is that full virtualization (Xen, etc.) calls for
> > implementing
> > L2 switching between the partitions and the physical NIC(s).
> >
> > The tradeoffs between L2 and L3 switching are indeed complex, but
> > there are two implications of doing L2 switching between partitions:
> >
> > 1) Do we really want to ask device drivers to support L2 switching for
> >   partitions and something *different* for containers?
>
> No.
>
> > 2) Do we really want any single packet to traverse an L2 switch (for
> >   the partition-style virtualization layer) and then an L3 switch
> >   (for the container-style layer)?
>
> In general what has been done with layer 3 is to simply filter which
> processes can use which IP addresses and it all happens at socket
> creation time. So it is very cheap, and it can be done purely
> in the network layer without any driver intervention.
>
> Basically think of what is happening at layer 3 as an extremely light-weight
> version of traffic filtering.
>
> > The full virtualization solution calls for virtual NICs with distinct
> > MAC addresses. Is there any reason why this same solution cannot work
> > for containers (just creating more than one VNIC for the partition,
> > and then assigning each VNIC to a container?)
>
> The VNIC approach is the fundamental idea with the layer two networking
> and if we can push the work down into the device driver it so different
> destination macs show up a in different packet queues it should be
> as fast as a normal networking stack.
>
> Implementing VNICs so far is the only piece of containers that has
> come close to device drivers, and we can likely do it without device
> driver support (but with more cost). Basically this optimization

> is a subset of the Grand Unified Lookup idea.
>
> I think we can do a mergeable implementation without noticeable cost without
> when not using containers without having to resort to a grand unified lookup
> but I may be wrong.
>
> Eric

The problem with VNIC's is it won't work for all devices (without lots of
work), and for many device's it requires putting the device in promiscuous
mode. It also plays havoc with network access control devices.

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 05:11:27 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Stephen Hemminger <shemminger@osdl.org> writes:

> The problem with VNIC's is it won't work for all devices (without lots of
> work), and for many device's it requires putting the device in promiscuous
> mode. It also plays havoc with network access control devices.

Which is fine. If it works it is a cool performance optimization.
But it doesn't stop anything from my side if it doesn't.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 08:25:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Caitlin Bestler wrote:
> ebiederm@xmission.com wrote:
>
>
>>>>Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
>>>>virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do
>>>>have several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network
>>>>virtualization approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes
>>>>sense?
>>
>>If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of
>>doing it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
>>
>

>
> My reading is that full virtualization (Xen, etc.) calls for
> implementing
> L2 switching between the partitions and the physical NIC(s).
>
> The tradeoffs between L2 and L3 switching are indeed complex, but
> there are two implications of doing L2 switching between partitions:
>
> 1) Do we really want to ask device drivers to support L2 switching for
>   partitions and something *different* for containers?
>
> 2) Do we really want any single packet to traverse an L2 switch (for
>   the partition-style virtualization layer) and then an L3 switch
>   (for the container-style layer)?
>
> The full virtualization solution calls for virtual NICs with distinct
> MAC addresses. Is there any reason why this same solution cannot work
> for containers (just creating more than one VNIC for the partition,
> and then assigning each VNIC to a container?)

IHMO, I think there is one reason. The unsharing mechanism is not only for containers, its aim other kind of isolation like a "bsdjail" for example. The unshare syscall is flexible, shall the network unsharing be one-block solution ? For example, we want to launch an application using TCP/IP and we want to have an IP address only used by the application, nothing more.
With a layer 2, we must after unsharing:
  1) create a virtual device into the application namespace
  2) assign an IP address
  3) create a virtual device pass-through in the root namespace
  4) set the virtual device IP

All this stuff, need a lot of administration (check mac addresses conflicts, check interface names collision in root namespace, ...) for a simple network isolation.

With a layer 3:
  1) assign an IP address

In the other hand, a layer 3 isolation is not sufficient to reach the level of isolation/virtualization needed for the system containers.

Very soon, I will commit more info at:

http://wiki.openvz.org/Containers/Networking

So the consensus is based on the fact that there is a lot of common code for the layer 2 and layer 3 isolation/virtualization and we can find a

way to merge the 2 implementation in order to have a flexible network
virtualization/isolation.

-- Regards

Daniel.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by dev on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 16:20:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>>Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>>
>>>my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
>>>shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
>>>is simply this:
>>>
>>> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
>>> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
>>> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
>>> usually comes with an overhead ...
>>>
>>
>>Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
>>Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
>>overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
>>resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?
>>
>>The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is
>>"what do we get and how much we pay for it".
>
>
> Equal or better performance is certainly required when we have the code
> compiled in but aren't using it. We must not penalize the current code.
you talk about host system performance.
Both approaches do not introduce overhead to host networking.

>>Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
>>virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
>>several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
>>approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?
>
>
> If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of doing
> it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
>
> That said I think there is a real chance if we can look at the bind

> filtering and find a way to express that in the networking stack
> through iptables. Using the security hooks conflicts with things
> like selinux. Although it would be interesting to see if selinux
> can already implement general purpose layer 3 filtering.
>
> The more I look the gut feel I have is that the way to proceed would
> be to add a new table that filters binds, and connects. Plus a new
> module that would look at a process creating a socket and tell us if
> it is the appropriate group of processes. With a little care that
> would be a general solution to the layer 3 filtering problem.
Huh, you will still have to insert lots of access checks into different
parts of code like RAW sockets, netlinks, protocols which are not inserted,
netfilters (to not allow create iptables rules :)) and many many other places.

I see Dave Miller looking at such a patch and my ears hear his rude words :)

Thanks,
Kirill

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 17:27:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 08:23:53PM +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
> >>Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> >>
> >>>my point (until we have an implementation which clearly
> >>>shows that performance is equal/better to isolation)
> >>>is simply this:
> >>>
> >>> of course, you can 'simulate' or 'construct' all the
> >>> isolation scenarios with kernel bridging and routing
> >>> and tricky injection/marking of packets, but, this
> >>> usually comes with an overhead ...
> >>>
> >>
> >>Well, TANSTAAFL*, and pretty much everything comes with an overhead.
> >>Multitasking comes with the (scheduler, context switch, CPU cache, etc.)
> >>overhead -- is that the reason to abandon it? OpenVZ and Linux-VServer
> >>resource management also adds some overhead -- do we want to throw it away?
> >>
> >>The question is not just "equal or better performance", the question is
> >>"what do we get and how much we pay for it".
> >
> >
> > Equal or better performance is certainly required when we have the code
> > compiled in but aren't using it. We must not penalize the current code.

> you talk about host system performance.
> Both approaches do not introduce overhead to host networking.
>
> >>Finally, as I understand both network isolation and network
> >>virtualization (both level2 and level3) can happily co-exist. We do have
> >>several filesystems in kernel. Let's have several network virtualization
> >>approaches, and let a user choose. Is that makes sense?
> >
> >
> > If there are not compelling arguments for using both ways of doing
> > it is silly to merge both, as it is more maintenance overhead.
> >
> > That said I think there is a real chance if we can look at the bind
> > filtering and find a way to express that in the networking stack
> > through iptables. Using the security hooks conflicts with things
> > like selinux. Although it would be interesting to see if selinux
> > can already implement general purpose layer 3 filtering.
> >
> > The more I look the gut feel I have is that the way to proceed would
> > be to add a new table that filters binds, and connects. Plus a new
> > module that would look at a process creating a socket and tell us if
> > it is the appropriate group of processes. With a little care that
> > would be a general solution to the layer 3 filtering problem.

> Huh, you will still have to insert lots of access checks into
> different parts of code like RAW sockets, netlinks, protocols which
> are not inserted, netfilters (to not allow create iptables rules :))
> and many many other places.

well, who said that you need to have things like RAW sockets
or other protocols except IP, not to speak of iptable and
routing entries ...

folks who _want_ full network virtualization can use the
more complete virtual setup and be happy ...

best,
Herbert

> I see Dave Miller looking at such a patch and my ears hear his rude
> words :)
>
> Thanks,
> Kirill
> _____
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.osdl.org
> https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 18:29:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:
>
> IHMO, I think there is one reason. The unsharing mechanism is not only for
> containers, its aim other kind of isolation like a "bsdjail" for example. The
> unshare syscall is flexible, shall the network unsharing be one-block solution ?
> For example, we want to launch an application using TCP/IP and we want to have
> an IP address only used by the application, nothing more.
> With a layer 2, we must after unsharing:
> 1) create a virtual device into the application namespace
> 2) assign an IP address
> 3) create a virtual device pass-through in the root namespace
> 4) set the virtual device IP
>
> All this stuff, need a lot of administration (check mac addresses conflicts,
> check interface names collision in root namespace, ...) for a simple network
> isolation.

Yes, and even more it is hard to show that it will perform as well.
Although by dropping CAP_NET_ADMIN the actual runtime administration
is about the same.

> With a layer 3:
> 1) assign an IP address
>
> In the other hand, a layer 3 isolation is not sufficient to reach the level of
> isolation/virtualization needed for the system containers.

Agreed.

> Very soon, I will commit more info at:
>
> http://wiki.openvz.org/Containers/Networking
>
> So the consensus is based on the fact that there is a lot of common code for the
> layer 2 and layer 3 isolation/virtualization and we can find a way to merge the
> 2 implementation in order to have a flexible network virtualization/isolation.

NACK In a real level 3 implementation there is very little common code with
a layer 2 implementation. You don't need to muck with the socket handling
code as you are not allowed to dup addresses between containers. Look
at what Serge did that is layer 3.

A layer 3 isolation implementation should either be a new security module
or a new form of iptables. The problem with using the lsm is that it
seems to be an all or nothing mechanism so is a very coarse grained

tool for this job.

A layer 2 implementation (where you have network devices isolated and not sockets)
should be a namespace.

Eric

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 19:50:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 08:23:53PM +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
>
> well, who said that you need to have things like RAW sockets
> or other protocols except IP, not to speak of iptable and
> routing entries ...
>
> folks who _want_ full network virtualization can use the
> more complete virtual setup and be happy ...

Exactly this was a proposal for isolation for containers
that don't get CAP_NET_ADMIN, with a facility that could
easily be general purpose.

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 06:02:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Sep 07, 2006 at 12:29:21PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com> writes:
> >
> > IHMO, I think there is one reason. The unsharing mechanism is
> > not only for containers, its aim other kind of isolation like a
> > "bsdjail" for example. The unshare syscall is flexible, shall the
> > network unsharing be one-block solution ? For example, we want to
> > launch an application using TCP/IP and we want to have
> > an IP address only used by the application, nothing more.

> > With a layer 2, we must after unsharing:
> > 1) create a virtual device into the application namespace
> > 2) assign an IP address
> > 3) create a virtual device pass-through in the root namespace
> > 4) set the virtual device IP
> >
> > All this stuff, need a lot of administration (check mac addresses
> > conflicts, check interface names collision in root namespace, ...)
> > for a simple network isolation.
>
> Yes, and even more it is hard to show that it will perform as well.
> Although by dropping CAP_NET_ADMIN the actual runtime administration
> is about the same.
>
> > With a layer 3:
> > 1) assign an IP address
> >
> > In the other hand, a layer 3 isolation is not sufficient to reach
> > the level of isolation/virtualization needed for the system
> > containers.
>
> Agreed.
>
> > Very soon, I will commit more info at:
> >
> > http://wiki.openvz.org/Containers/Networking
> >
> > So the consensus is based on the fact that there is a lot of common
> > code for the layer 2 and layer 3 isolation/virtualization and we can
> > find a way to merge the 2 implementation in order to have a flexible
> > network virtualization/isolation.
>
> NACK In a real level 3 implementation there is very little common
> code with a layer 2 implementation. You don't need to muck with the
> socket handling code as you are not allowed to dup addresses between
> containers. Look at what Serge did that is layer 3.
>
> A layer 3 isolation implementation should either be a new security
> module or a new form of iptables. The problem with using the lsm is
> that it seems to be an all or nothing mechanism so is a very coarse
> grained tool for this job.

IMHO LSM was never an option for that, because it is
a) very complicated to use it for that purpose
b) missing many hooks you definitely need to make this work
c) is not really efficient and/or performant

with something 'like' iptables, this could be done, but

I'm not sure that is the best approach either ...

best,
Herbert

> A layer 2 implementation (where you have network devices isolated and
> not sockets) should be a namespace.
>
> Eric
> _____
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.osdl.org
> https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 13:10:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thursday 07 September 2006 21:27, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> well, who said that you need to have things like RAW sockets
> or other protocols except IP, not to speak of iptable and
> routing entries ...
>
> folks who _want_ full network virtualization can use the
> more complete virtual setup and be happy ...
Let's think about how to implement this.
As I understood VServer's design, your proposal is to split CAP_NET_ADMIN to multiple capabilities and use them if required. So, for your light-weight container it is enough to implement context isolation for protected by CAP_NET_IP capability (for example) code and put 'if (!capable(CAP_NET_*))' checks to all other places. But this could be easily implemented over OpenVZ code by CAP_VE_NET_ADMIN split.

So, the question is:
Could you point out the places in Andrey's implementation of network namespaces, which prevents you to add CAP_NET_ADMIN separation later?

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 18:11:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, Sep 08, 2006 at 05:10:08PM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> On Thursday 07 September 2006 21:27, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> > well, who said that you need to have things like RAW sockets
> > or other protocols except IP, not to speak of iptable and
> > routing entries ...
> >
> > folks who _want_ full network virtualization can use the
> > more complete virtual setup and be happy ...

> Let's think about how to implement this.

> As I understood VServer's design, your proposal is to split
> CAP_NET_ADMIN to multiple capabilities and use them if required. So,
> for your light-weight container it is enough to implement context
> isolation for protected by CAP_NET_IP capability (for example) code
> and put 'if (!capable(CAP_NET_*))' checks to all other places.

actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
all (not to speak of interfaces here)

best,
Herbert

> But this could be easily implemented over OpenVZ code by
> CAP_VE_NET_ADMIN split.
>
> So, the question is:
> Could you point out the places in Andrey's implementation of network
> namespaces, which prevents you to add CAP_NET_ADMIN separation later?
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Sat, 09 Sep 2006 07:57:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
> fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the

> guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
> all (not to speak of interfaces here)
It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible solution,
which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as full-fledged netwrok
virtualization. Another solution is to split CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good
for you?

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 02:47:09 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 11:57:24AM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> > actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
> > fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
> > guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
> > all (not to speak of interfaces here)

> It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible
> solution, which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as
> full-fledged netwrok virtualization. Another solution is to split
> CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good for you?

well, I think it would be best to have both, as
they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
will require a separate namespace to work, I also
think that limiting the isolation to something
very simple (like one IP + network or so) would
be acceptable for a start, because especially
multi IP or network range checks require a little
more efford to get them right ...

I do not think that folks would want to recompile
their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
a fully virtualized one

best,
Herbert

> --
> Thanks,
> Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 03:41:35 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 11:57:24AM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:
>> On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>> > actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
>> > fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
>> > guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
>> > all (not to speak of interfaces here)
>
>> It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible
>> solution, which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as
>> full-fledged netwrok virtualization. Another solution is to split
>> CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good for you?
>
> well, I think it would be best to have both, as
> they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
> both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
> will require a separate namespace to work, I also
> think that limiting the isolation to something
> very simple (like one IP + network or so) would
> be acceptable for a start, because especially
> multi IP or network range checks require a little
> more efford to get them right ...
>
> I do not think that folks would want to recompile
> their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
> a fully virtualized one

I certainly agree that we are not at a point where a final decision
can be made. A major piece of that is that a layer 2 approach has
not shown to be without a performance penalty.

A practical question. Do the IPs assigned to guests ever get used
by anything besides the guest?

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 07:45:35 GMT

On Sunday 10 September 2006 06:47, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> well, I think it would be best to have both, as
> they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
> both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
> will require a separate namespace to work,
[snip]
> I do not think that folks would want to recompile
> their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
> a fully virtualized one
In this case light-weight guest will have unnecessary overhead.
For example, instead of using static pointer, we have to find the required
common namespace before. And there will be no advantages for such guest over
full-featured.

>
> best,
> Herbert
>
> > --
> > Thanks,
> > Dmitry.

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 08:11:35 GMT

On Sunday 10 September 2006 07:41, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> I certainly agree that we are not at a point where a final decision
> can be made. A major piece of that is that a layer 2 approach has
> not shown to be without a performance penalty.
But it is required. Why to limit possible usages?

> A practical question. Do the IPs assigned to guests ever get used
> by anything besides the guest?
In case of level2 virtualization - no.

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

_____
Containers mailing list

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 11:48:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dmitry Mishin <dim@openvz.org> writes:

> On Sunday 10 September 2006 07:41, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> I certainly agree that we are not at a point where a final decision
>> can be made. A major piece of that is that a layer 2 approach has
>> not shown to be without a performance penalty.
> But it is required. Why to limit possible usages?

Wrong perspective.

The point is that we need to dig in and show that there is no
measurable penalty for the current cases. Showing that there
is little penalty for the advanced configurations is a plus.

The practical question is, do we need to implement the grand unified
lookup before we can do this cheaply, or can we implement this without
needing that optimization?

To get a perspective, to get a good implementation of the pid namespace
I am having to refactor significant parts of the kernel so it uses
abstractions that can cleanly express what we are doing. The
networking stack is in better shape but there is a lot of it.

>> A practical question. Do the IPs assigned to guests ever get used
>> by anything besides the guest?
> In case of level2 virtualization - no.

Actually that is one of the benefits of a layer 2 implementation
you can set up weird things like shared IPs, that various types
of fail over scenarios want.

My question was really about the layer 3 bind filtering techniques,
and how people are using them.

The basic attraction with layer 3 is that you can do a simple
implementation, and it will run very fast, and it doesn't need
to conflict with the layer 2 work at all. If you can make that layer
3 implementation clean and generally mergeable as well it is worth
pursuing.

Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:19:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 09:41:35PM -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:
>
> > On Sat, Sep 09, 2006 at 11:57:24AM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> >> On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> >> > actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
> >> > fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
> >> > guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
> >> > all (not to speak of interfaces here)
> > >
> >> It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible
> >> solution, which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as
> >> full-fledged netwrok virtualization. Another solution is to split
> >> CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good for you?
> > >
> > well, I think it would be best to have both, as
> > they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
> > both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
> > will require a separate namespace to work, I also
> > think that limiting the isolation to something
> > very simple (like one IP + network or so) would
> > be acceptable for a start, because especially
> > multi IP or network range checks require a little
> > more efford to get them right ...
> > >
> > I do not think that folks would want to recompile
> > their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
> > a fully virtualized one
>
> I certainly agree that we are not at a point where a final decision
> can be made. A major piece of that is that a layer 2 approach has
> not shown to be without a performance penalty.
>
> A practical question. Do the IPs assigned to guests ever get used
> by anything besides the guest?

only in special setups and for testing routing and

general operation of course, i.e. one typical
failure scenario is this:

 - 'provider' has a bunch of ips assigned
 - 'host' ip works perfectly
 - 'guest' ip is not routed (by the external router)

in this case, for example, I always suggest to test
on the host with a guest ip, simplest example:

 ping -I <guest-ip> google.com

but for 'normal' operation, the guest ip is reserved
for the guests, unless some service like named is
shared between guests ...

HTH,
Herbert

> Eric

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Sun, 10 Sep 2006 19:22:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sun, Sep 10, 2006 at 11:45:35AM +0400, Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> On Sunday 10 September 2006 06:47, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> > well, I think it would be best to have both, as
> > they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
> > both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
> > will require a separate namespace to work,
> [snip]
> > I do not think that folks would want to recompile
> > their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or
> > a fully virtualized one

> In this case light-weight guest will have unnecessary overhead. For
> example, instead of using static pointer, we have to find the required
> common namespace before.

this is only required at 'bind' time, which makes
a non measurable fraction of the actual connection
usage (unless you keep binding ports over and over

without ever using them)

> And there will be no advantages for such guest over full-featured.

the advantage is in the flexibility, simplicity of
setup and the basically non-existant overhead on
the hot (conenction/transfer) part ...

> > best,
> > Herbert
> >
> > > --
> > > Thanks,
> > > Dmitry.
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Dmitry.

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 14:40:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>
>>actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
>>fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
>>guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
>>all (not to speak of interfaces here)
>
> It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible solution,
> which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as full-fledged netwrok
> virtualization. Another solution is to split CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE. Is it good
> for you?

Hi Dmitry,

I am currently working on this and I am finishing a prototype bringing
isolation at the ip layer. The prototype code is very closed to Andrey's
patches at TCP/UDP level. So the next step is to merge the prototype
code with the existing network namespace layer 2 isolation.

IHMO, the solution of spliting CONFIG_NET_NS into CONFIG_L2_NET_NS and
CONFIG_L3_NET_NS is for me not acceptable because you will need to
recompile the kernel. The proper way is certainly to have a specific
flag for the unshare, something like CLONE_NEW_L2_NET and

CLONE_NEW_L3_NET for example.

-- Daniel

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 14:57:24 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, Sep 11, 2006 at 04:40:59PM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Dmitry Mishin wrote:
> >On Friday 08 September 2006 22:11, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> >
> >>actually the light-weight ip isolation runs perfectly
> >>fine _without_ CAP_NET_ADMIN, as you do not want the
> >>guest to be able to mess with the 'configured' ips at
> >>all (not to speak of interfaces here)
> >
> >It was only an example. I'm thinking about how to implement flexible
> >solution, which permits light-weight ip isolation as well as full-fledged
> >netwrok virtualization. Another solution is to split CONFIG_NET_NAMESPACE.
> >Is it good for you?
>
> Hi Dmitry,
>
> I am currently working on this and I am finishing a prototype bringing
> isolation at the ip layer. The prototype code is very closed to
> Andrey's patches at TCP/UDP level. So the next step is to merge the
> prototype code with the existing network namespace layer 2 isolation.

you might want to take a look at the current Linux-VServer
implementation for the network isolation too, should be
quite similar to Andrey's approach, but maybe you can
gather some additional information from there

> IHMO, the solution of spliting CONFIG_NET_NS into CONFIG_L2_NET_NS
> and CONFIG_L3_NET_NS is for me not acceptable because you will need
> to recompile the kernel. The proper way is certainly to have a
> specific flag for the unshare, something like CLONE_NEW_L2_NET and
> CLONE_NEW_L3_NET for example.

I completely agree here, we need a separate namespace
for that, so that we can combine isolation and virtualization

as needed, unless the bind restrictions can be completely
expressed with an additional mangle or filter table (as
was suggested)

best,
Herbert

> -- Daniel

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 15:04:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> On Mon, Sep 11, 2006 at 04:40:59PM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>

>>I am currently working on this and I am finishing a prototype bringing
>>isolation at the ip layer. The prototype code is very closed to
>>Andrey's patches at TCP/UDP level. So the next step is to merge the
>>prototype code with the existing network namespace layer 2 isolation.
>
>
> you might want to take a look at the current Linux-VServer
> implementation for the network isolation too, should be
> quite similar to Andrey's approach, but maybe you can
> gather some additional information from there

ok, thanks. I will.

>>IHMO, the solution of spliting CONFIG_NET_NS into CONFIG_L2_NET_NS
>>and CONFIG_L3_NET_NS is for me not acceptable because you will need
>>to recompile the kernel. The proper way is certainly to have a
>>specific flag for the unshare, something like CLONE_NEW_L2_NET and
>>CLONE_NEW_L3_NET for example.
>
>
> I completely agree here, we need a separate namespace
> for that, so that we can combine isolation and virtualization
> as needed, unless the bind restrictions can be completely
> expressed with an additional mangle or filter table (as
> was suggested)

What is the bind restriction ? Do you want to force binding to a
specific source address ?

-- Daniel

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 15:10:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Monday 11 September 2006 18:57, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
> I completely agree here, we need a separate namespace
> for that, so that we can combine isolation and virtualization
> as needed, unless the bind restrictions can be completely
> expressed with an additional mangle or filter table (as
> was suggested)
iptables are designed for packet flow decisions and filtering, it has nothing
common with bind restrictions. So, it may be only packet flow
scheduling/filtering, but it will not help to resolve bind-time IP conflicts.

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 03:26:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dmitry Mishin <dim@openvz.org> writes:

> On Sunday 10 September 2006 06:47, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>> well, I think it would be best to have both, as
>> they are complementary to some degree, and IMHO
>> both, the full virtualization _and_ the isolation
>> will require a separate namespace to work,
> [snip]
>> I do not think that folks would want to recompile
>> their kernel just to get a light-weight guest or

>> a fully virtualized one
> In this case light-weight guest will have unnecessary overhead.
> For example, instead of using static pointer, we have to find the required
> common namespace before. And there will be no advantages for such guest over
> full-featured.

Dmitry that just isn't true if implemented properly.

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 03:28:47 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dmitry Mishin <dim@openvz.org> writes:

> On Monday 11 September 2006 18:57, Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>> I completely agree here, we need a separate namespace
>> for that, so that we can combine isolation and virtualization
>> as needed, unless the bind restrictions can be completely
>> expressed with an additional mangle or filter table (as
>> was suggested)
>
> iptables are designed for packet flow decisions and filtering, it has nothing
> common with bind restrictions. So, it may be only packet flow
> scheduling/filtering, but it will not help to resolve bind-time IP conflicts.

Please read the archive, where the suggestion was made.

What was suggested was a new table, with it's own set of chains.
So we could make filtering decisions on where sockets could be bound.

That is not a far stretch from where iptables is today.

Do you have some concrete arguments against the proposal?

Eric

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Mishin Dmitry on Tue, 12 Sep 2006 07:38:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Sorry, dont' understand your proposal correctly from the previous talk. :)
But...

On Tuesday 12 September 2006 07:28, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Do you have some concrete arguments against the proposal?
Yes, I have. I think it is unnecessary complication. This complication will
followed in additional bugs. Especially if we'll accept rules creation in
userspace. Why we need complex solution, if there are only two approaches to
socket bound - isolation and virtualization? These approaches could co-exist
without hooks. Or you probably have thoughts about other ways?

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/9] network namespaces: socket hashes
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Mon, 18 Sep 2006 15:12:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> Socket hash lookups are made within namespace.
> Hash tables are common for all namespaces, with
> additional permutation of indexes.

Hi Andrey,

why is the hash table common and not instanciated multiple times for
each namespace like the routes ?

Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/9] network namespaces: socket hashes
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Wed, 20 Sep 2006 16:32:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

On Mon, Sep 18, 2006 at 05:12:49PM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> > Socket hash lookups are made within namespace.

> > Hash tables are common for all namespaces, with
> > additional permutation of indexes.
>
> Hi Andrey,
>
> why is the hash table common and not instanciated multiple times for
> each namespace like the routes ?

The main reason is that socket hash tables should be large enough to work
efficiently, but it isn't good to waste a lot of memory for each namespace.
Namespaces should be cheap enough, to allow to have hundreds of them.
This reason of memory efficiency, of course, has a priority unless/until
socket hash tables start to resize automatically.

Another point is that routing lookup is much more complicated than the
socket's one to add another search key.
Routing also have additional routines for deleting entries matching some
patterns, and so on.
In short, routing is much more complicated, and it already quite efficient
for various sizes of routing tables.

Andrey

Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/9] network namespaces: socket hashes
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 21 Sep 2006 12:34:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andrey Savochkin wrote:

> The main reason is that socket hash tables should be large enough to work
> efficiently, but it isn't good to waste a lot of memory for each namespace.
> Namespaces should be cheap enough, to allow to have hundreds of them.
> This reason of memory efficiency, of course, has a priority unless/until
> socket hash tables start to resize automatically.
>
> Another point is that routing lookup is much more complicated than the
> socket's one to add another search key.
> Routing also have additional routines for deleting entries matching some
> patterns, and so on.
> In short, routing is much more complicated, and it already quite efficient
> for various sizes of routing tables.

That makes sense, thx for the explanation.

Cheers.
-- Daniel.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/9] network namespaces: async socket operations
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Fri, 22 Sep 2006 15:33:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> Non-trivial part of socket namespaces: asynchronous events
> should be run in proper context.
>
> Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>
> ---
> af_inet.c | 10 ++++++++++
> inet_timewait_sock.c | 8 ++++++++
> tcp_timer.c | 9 +++++++++
> 3 files changed, 27 insertions(+)
>
> --- ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c.venssock-asyn Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
> +++ ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
> @@ -366,10 +366,17 @@ out_rcu_unlock:
> int inet_release(struct socket *sock)
> {
>   struct sock *sk = sock->sk;
> + struct net_namespace *ns, *orig_net_ns;
>
>   if (sk) {
>   long timeout;
>
> + /* Need to change context here since protocol ->close
> +   * operation may send packets.
> +   */
> + ns = get_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns);
> + push_net_ns(ns, orig_net_ns);
> +

Is it not a race condition here ? What happens if you have a packet
incoming during the namespace context switching ?

IHMO doing namespace switching is something dangerous, you can probably
handle that with locks but it will be difficult and will decrease all
network performance.

In an other hand, I don't see how you can handle the
"sk->sk_prot->close" after ...

   -- Cheers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/9] network namespaces: async socket operations
Posted by Andrey Savochkin on Sat, 23 Sep 2006 13:16:43 GMT

On Fri, Sep 22, 2006 at 05:33:56PM +0200, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> > Non-trivial part of socket namespaces: asynchronous events
> > should be run in proper context.
> >
> > Signed-off-by: Andrey Savochkin <saw@swsoft.com>
> > ---
> > af_inet.c | 10 ++++++++++
> > inet_timewait_sock.c | 8 ++++++++
> > tcp_timer.c | 9 +++++++++
> > 3 files changed, 27 insertions(+)
> >
> > --- ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c.venssock-asyn Mon Aug 14 17:04:07 2006
> > +++ ./net/ipv4/af_inet.c Tue Aug 15 13:45:44 2006
> > @@ -366,10 +366,17 @@ out_rcu_unlock:
> > int inet_release(struct socket *sock)
> > {
> >   struct sock *sk = sock->sk;
> > + struct net_namespace *ns, *orig_net_ns;
> >
> >   if (sk) {
> >   long timeout;
> >
> > + /* Need to change context here since protocol ->close
> > +   * operation may send packets.
> > +   */
> > + ns = get_net_ns(sk->sk_net_ns);
> > + push_net_ns(ns, orig_net_ns);
> > +
>
> Is it not a race condition here ? What happens if you have a packet
> incoming during the namespace context switching ?

All asynchronous operations (RX softirq, timers) should set their context
explicitly, and can't rely on the current context being the right one
(or a valid pointer at all).

 Andrey

Subject: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Wed, 04 Oct 2006 09:40:32 GMT

Andrey Savochkin wrote:
> Hi All,

>
> I'd like to resurrect our discussion about network namespaces.
> In our previous discussions it appeared that we have rather polar concepts
> which seemed hard to reconcile.
> Now I have an idea how to look at all discussed concepts to enable everyone's
> usage scenario.

Hi Andrey,

I have a few questions ... sorry for asking so late ;)

>
> 1. The most straightforward concept is complete separation of namespaces,
>   covering device list, routing tables, netfilter tables, socket hashes, and
>   everything else.
>
>   On input path, each packet is tagged with namespace right from the
>   place where it appears from a device, and is processed by each layer
>   in the context of this namespace.

If you have the namespace where is coming the packet, why do you tag the
packet instead of switching to the right namespace ?

>   Non-root namespaces communicate with the outside world in two ways: by
>   owning hardware devices, or receiving packets forwarded them by their parent
>   namespace via pass-through device.

Do you will do proxy arp and ip forwarding into the root namespace in
order to make non-root namespace visible from the outside world ?

Regards.

 -- Daniel