

---

Subject: OpenVZ in ATOM with solusvm  
Posted by [abdicar](#) on Sat, 03 Apr 2010 14:44:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello guys, I installed openvz in a fresh install on a Centos 5.4. The server an ATOM 330. The issue is that the container dont have access to a Internet. I reinstall and compile the driver for the Realtek but nothing works and I don't know what more i can do.

When I write in the node [root@22491 ~]# mii-tool it get frozen and i should hand reboot.

How I can do?

---

---

Subject: Re: OpenVZ in ATOM with solusvm  
Posted by [abdicar](#) on Sat, 03 Apr 2010 21:30:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Ok, I checked another post for the driver, and now the driver it is updated, but still the container dont have internet access...

Quote:[root@22491 network-scripts]# vzctl enter 101  
entered into CT 101

```
[root@ns01 /]# ping 127.0.0.1
PING 127.0.0.1 (127.0.0.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 127.0.0.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=64 time=0.061 ms
64 bytes from 127.0.0.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=64 time=0.041 ms
```

```
[1]+ Stopped ping 127.0.0.1
[root@ns01 /]# hostname
ns01.test.com
[root@ns01 /]# hostname -i
x.73.100.179
[root@ns01 /]# ping x.73.100.179
PING x.73.100.179 (x.73.100.179) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from x.73.100.179: icmp_seq=1 ttl=64 time=0.047 ms
64 bytes from x.73.100.179: icmp_seq=2 ttl=64 time=0.035 ms
```

```
[2]+ Stopped ping x.73.100.179
[root@ns01 /]# ping x.73.100.178
PING x.73.100.178 (x.73.100.178) 56(84) bytes of data.
^X
```

```
[3]+ Stopped ping x.73.100.178
[root@ns01 /]# cat /etc/resolv.conf
nameserver 4.2.2.1
[root@ns01 /]# ping 4.2.2.1
PING 4.2.2.1 (4.2.2.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

```
[4]+ Stopped ping 4.2.2.1
```

```

[root@ns01 /]# service iptables status
Table: mangle
Chain PREROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain POSTROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Table: filter
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

[root@ns01 /]# service iptables stop
Flushing firewall rules:                [ OK ]
Setting chains to policy ACCEPT: mangle filter  [ OK ]
Unloading iptables modules:              [ OK ]
[root@ns01 /]# service iptables status
Table: mangle
Chain PREROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

Chain POSTROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
num target  prot opt source      destination

```

Table: filter

Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)

num	target	prot	opt	source	destination
-----	--------	------	-----	--------	-------------

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT)

num	target	prot	opt	source	destination
-----	--------	------	-----	--------	-------------

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)

num	target	prot	opt	source	destination
-----	--------	------	-----	--------	-------------

```
[root@ns01 /]# ping 4.2.2.1
```

```
PING 4.2.2.1 (4.2.2.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
```

```
[5]+ Stopped ping 4.2.2.1
```

```
[root@ns01 /]#
```

Please someone help me out.

---

---

Subject: Re: OpenVZ in ATOM with solusvm

Posted by [curx](#) on Sun, 04 Apr 2010 17:01:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

- iptables rules loaded on ct0 (=hardwarenode) ?
- ip r l on hardwarenode and for container

Bye,

Thorsten

---

---

Subject: Re: OpenVZ in ATOM with solusvm

Posted by [abdicar](#) on Fri, 16 Apr 2010 02:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you for your reply bro. It was an issue with the ARP records in the router. Thank you.

---