

---

Subject: Transparent HA on two node active/passive cluster  
Posted by [customer.joe@freemail.hu](mailto:customer.joe@freemail.hu) on Sat, 28 Nov 2009 21:44:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi all!

Is this possible to implement similar or same functionality in OpenVZ?  
<http://dsg.cs.ubc.ca/remus/>

The implementation details here:  
<http://dsg.cs.ubc.ca/remus/papers/remus-nsdi08.pdf>

Regards

---

Subject: Re: Transparent HA on two node active/passive cluster  
Posted by [kir](#) on Sun, 29 Nov 2009 08:30:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Please see [http://wiki.openvz.org/HA\\_cluster\\_with\\_DRBD\\_and\\_Heartbeat](http://wiki.openvz.org/HA_cluster_with_DRBD_and_Heartbeat)

---

Subject: Re: Transparent HA on two node active/passive cluster  
Posted by [customer.joe@freemail.hu](mailto:customer.joe@freemail.hu) on Sun, 29 Nov 2009 12:00:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you, but I didn't know that this offers "equivalent/same" live replication features as remus patch do. I'll try this OpenVZ configuration.

For example I don't know that VPS memory synchronization how affected by the ext3 fs cache. With Remus, the VPS memory synchronization (and states, like checkpointing) is near real time (~forty times a second), asynchronous and synchronized with network output by buffering.

But if the OpenVZ+ext3+drbd can accomplish same/similar or better(?) replication, then OpenVZ is the best choice.

Also I can't find in this wiki that how will checkpointing do on the active node if that dead (e.g. power off), and what VPS state will wake up on the passive node in this scenario with OpenVZ.

So the conclusion is that I must invest some time and try it.

Thank you.

---