Subject: [RFC] vzctl 'make install' target Posted by kir on Thu, 29 Jun 2006 09:03:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Problem: currently vzctl's "make install" install some redhat-specific stuff, like /etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet* files and /etc/init.d/vz initscript. This creates a problem for distros such as Gentoo and Debian. Currently, for example, Gentoo solves that by patching Makefile.

We want to fix it in next vzctl release. There are several solutions possible:

- (1) rename 'install' target to install-redhat, and add generic 'install' target which will not install initscript and /etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet0* stuff
- (2) leave install target as is and add 'install-generic' target which will do the same as 'install' from (1)
- (3) try to determine distro during runtime, from Makefile itself, and act appropriately
- (4) Pass some params to Makefile in environment, like SKIP_NETSCRIPTS or SKIP_INITSCRIPT
- (5) a variation of (1) -- add install-generic and install-redhat target, do nothing but print a list of possible install-* target on invocation of 'make install'.

I prefer #5. What do people think? I am especially interested in hearing from Debian and Gentoo maintainers.

Subject: Re: [RFC] vzctl 'make install' target Posted by Christian Heim on Thu, 29 Jun 2006 11:00:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thursday 29 June 2006 11:03, Kir Kolyshkin wrote:

- >We want to fix it in next vzctl release. There are several solutions >possible:
- >(1) rename 'install' target to install-redhat, and add generic 'install' >target which will not install initscript and
- >/etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet0* stuff

>(2) leave install target as is and add 'install-generic' target which >will do the same as 'install' from (1)

>

Subject: Re: [RFC] vzctl 'make install' target Posted by Idv on Fri, 30 Jun 2006 22:50:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thu, Jun 29, 2006 at 01:00:28PM +0200, Christian Heim wrote:
```

- > On Thursday 29 June 2006 11:03, Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
- >>We want to fix it in next vzctl release. There are several solutions
- > >possible:
- > >
- >>(1) rename 'install' target to install-redhat, and add generic 'install'
- > >target which will not install initscript and
- > >/etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet0* stuff
- > >
- >>(2) leave install target as is and add 'install-generic' target which
- > >will do the same as 'install' from (1)
- > >
- >>(3) try to determine distro during runtime, from Makefile itself, and
- > >act appropriately
- > >
- >>(4) Pass some params to Makefile in environment, like SKIP_NETSCRIPTS or
- > > SKIP INITSCRIPT
- > >
- >>(5) a variation of (1) -- add install-generic and install-redhat target,
- > >do nothing but print a list of possible install-* target on invocation

Subject: Re: [RFC] vzctl 'make install' target Posted by Christian Heim on Sat, 01 Jul 2006 17:30:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Saturday 01 July 2006 00:50, Dmitry V. Levin wrote: >On Thu, Jun 29, 2006 at 01:00:28PM +0200, Christian Heim wrote: >> On Thursday 29 June 2006 11:03, Kir Kolyshkin wrote: >> >We want to fix it in next vzctl release. There are several solutions >> >possible: >> > >> >(1) rename 'install' target to install-redhat, and add generic 'install' >> >target which will not install initscript and >> >/etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet0* stuff >> >(2) leave install target as is and add 'install-generic' target which >> > will do the same as 'install' from (1) >> >(3) try to determine distro during runtime, from Makefile itself, and >> >act appropriately >> >(4) Pass some params to Makefile in environment, like SKIP_NETSCRIPTS or >> >SKIP_INITSCRIPT >> >(5) a variation of (1) -- add install-generic and install-redhat target, >> >do nothing but print a list of possible install-* target on invocation >> >of 'make install'. >> > >> > I prefer #5. What do people think? I am especially interested in hearing >> >from Debian and Gentoo maintainers. >>

```
>> Well, we don't prefer any specific, so #1, #2 or #5 would be fine with us. >
>Likewise. My advice is to avoid #3.

Well even if it sounds nice, #3 would be a real pain in the ass (not for me).
>> It's after all _your_ package ;)
> lt sounds like "do whatever you want, we'll patch our package anyway" :)

Nah, we'll use whatever you guys come up with :P (at least regarding #1, #2 and #5).

-- Christian Heim <phreak@gentoo.org>
Gentoo Linux Developer - vserver/openvz
```

Subject: Re: [RFC] vzctl 'make install' target Posted by Ola Lundqvist on Wed, 05 Jul 2006 15:53:45 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi

I would more or less just say AOL. That is please avoid #3.

My suggestion is to have an 'install' target that install the generic stuff, and then install-redhat, install-debian, etc for only the distribution specific things. This would be an alternative option 6. :) But it do not really matter much as Debian is quite flexible what you can do around upstream packaging...

Regards,

// Ola

On Sat, Jul 01, 2006 at 07:30:01PM +0200, Christian Heim wrote:

- > On Saturday 01 July 2006 00:50, Dmitry V. Levin wrote:
- >>On Thu, Jun 29, 2006 at 01:00:28PM +0200, Christian Heim wrote:
- > >> On Thursday 29 June 2006 11:03, Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
- >>> >We want to fix it in next vzctl release. There are several solutions
- >>> >possible:
- > >> >
- >>> >(1) rename 'install' target to install-redhat, and add generic 'install'
- >>> >target which will not install initscript and
- >>> >/etc/sysconfig/network-scripts/*venet0* stuff
- > >> >
- >>> >(2) leave install target as is and add 'install-generic' target which

```
>>> > will do the same as 'install' from (1)
> >> >
>>> >(3) try to determine distro during runtime, from Makefile itself, and
>>> >act appropriately
> >> >
>>> >(4) Pass some params to Makefile in environment, like SKIP_NETSCRIPTS or
>>> > SKIP INITSCRIPT
> >> >
>>> >(5) a variation of (1) -- add install-generic and install-redhat target,
>>> >do nothing but print a list of possible install-* target on invocation
>>> of 'make install'.
> >> >
>>> > I prefer #5. What do people think? I am especially interested in hearing
>>> > from Debian and Gentoo maintainers.
>>> Well, we don't prefer any specific, so #1, #2 or #5 would be fine with us.
> >Likewise. My advice is to avoid #3.
> Well even if it sounds nice, #3 would be a real pain in the ass (not for me).
>>> It's after all your package;)
> >It sounds like "do whatever you want, we'll patch our package anyway" :)
> Nah, we'll use whatever you guys come up with :P (at least regarding #1, #2
> and #5).
> --
> Christian Heim <phreak@gentoo.org>
> Gentoo Linux Developer - vserver/openvz
--- Ola Lundqvist systemkonsult --- M Sc in IT Engineering ----
/ ola@opalsys.net
                             Annebergsslingan 37
| opal@debian.org
                              654 65 KARLSTAD
http://www.opal.dhs.org
                               Mobile: +46 (0)70-332 1551 |
\ gpg/f.p.: 7090 A92B 18FE 7994 0C36 4FE4 18A1 B1CF 0FE5 3DD9 /
```