

---

Subject: Performance benefits in using other OS template caches?

Posted by [irontowngeek](#) on Thu, 23 Jul 2009 20:22:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I use the default supported OpenVZ platform.(i.e Centos-5)

I also use a Centos-5 OS template cache.

Has anyone found any performance benefits,in using other OS template caches(i.e DEBIAN),other than having familiarity.

I have not seen any real advantages,in my particular testing,other than moving away from EXT3,to using a combination of XFS and JFS filesystems on the Node server.

Although,I like DEBIAN for use on low-end machines,as a physical OS,does it really matter,as far as the VE is concerned?

This is mainly out of curiosity.

---

---

Subject: Re: Performance benefits in using other OS template caches?

Posted by [max.havoc](#) on Wed, 07 Apr 2010 16:21:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The best network performance gains that I have found,is moving off of using "iproute",to a full featured router,like QUAGGA.

Moving away from "iproute" to OSPF on QUAGGA,I have seen gains of 30% in VPS network performance.

OSPF only runs on the Node server.

All VE's are non-bridged,and have one directive added to their static network configurations.

GATEWAY=w.x.y.z.

This points to the VETH adapter's IP address.

I have seen no added benefit,from running different OS templates.

---