

---

**Subject:** Awful proxy\_arp performance  
**Posted by** [tobia](#) **on** Fri, 03 Jul 2009 15:43:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi

I have the following setup:

- Debian Lenny 5.0.1
- Pre-built Debian kernel: linux-image-2.6.26-2-openvz-amd64, version 2.6.26-15
- Standard venet0 routed setup, with ip\_forward and proxy\_arp enabled

I'm experiencing an awful proxy\_arp performance.

ARP requests from a random host on the LAN (let's call it host A) to any VE running on this server, which we'll call host B, take between zero and 800ms to complete. See a frequency graph of 1000 tests, below.

ARP requests from host A to host B (not to a VE) are near-instantaneous (<1ms) as are subsequent pings from A to the VE, after ARP resolution has taken place.

Is there a way to fix this problem without resorting to a bridged veth setup?

In case you want to run the test on your own, here is what I did to measure the times, in Bash:

```
h=192.168.10.7; for ((i=0; i<1000; i++)) do sudo arp -d $h; ping -nc1 $h | awk -F'[ =]' '/bytes from/ {print $10}'; done > times.csv
```

---

#### File Attachments

1) [Picture 1.png](#), downloaded 668 times

---

---

**Subject:** Re: Awful proxy\_arp performance  
**Posted by** [tobia](#) **on** Mon, 06 Jul 2009 10:52:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I solved this issue using veth instead of vnet and bridging all the veth\* together with eth0. Configured this way, ARP requests are back to a reasonable average of 3ms.

I would still like to know if it can be solved any other way. Isn't vnet supposed to be more efficient than veth?

---

---

**Subject:** Re: Awful proxy\_arp performance

---

Posted by [tobia](#) on Tue, 28 Jul 2009 08:07:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

tobia wrote on Mon, 06 July 2009 12:52I would still like to know if it can be solved with vnet  
Anybody??

---