

---

Subject: Redundant openvz

Posted by [iLLnino](#) on Wed, 15 Apr 2009 04:00:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

I have to server's and I want to achieve redundancy between them so if one dies, the other will take over. I will have 1 open vz container on each replicated, and if one of the boxes dies, I want the other to automatically run the vzctl xxx start command.

Is this possible using heartbeat or some script?

Regards

---

---

Subject: Re: Redundant openvz

Posted by [maratrus](#) on Wed, 15 Apr 2009 08:14:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Parallels Virtuozzo Containers (a commercial version of OpenVZ) provides the ability to deploy active-passive cluster solution based on RedHat Cluster Suite technology.

In case of OpenVZ I would like you to take a look at the following page  
[http://wiki.openvz.org/HA\\_cluster\\_with\\_DRBD\\_and\\_Heartbeat](http://wiki.openvz.org/HA_cluster_with_DRBD_and_Heartbeat) . I hope it may be useful to you or will give you some hint.

---

---

Subject: Re: Redundant openvz

Posted by [iLLnino](#) on Wed, 15 Apr 2009 09:09:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I've set that up before, but is it really necessary when all I want to do is execute one command to start up the container if the primary box goes down? I was planning on doing that and just rsyncing the data between the containers..

Ideally what I am trying to achieve is redundant hosting for apache, mysql and qmail.

Any other suggestions or do you think I should stick with drbd and HA ?

---