Subject: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Thu, 05 Mar 2009 14:13:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi!

Is OpenVZ Kernel slow?

When i'm running unixbench-4.1.0-wht-2 on the Host Machine with a non OpenVZ 2.6.27.18 Vanilla Kernel i get the following results:

INDEX VALUES

TEST BASELINE RESULT INDEX

Dhrystone 2 using register variables 376783.7 50530575.6 1341.1

Double-Precision Whetstone 83.1 1674.4 201.5

Execl Throughput 188.3 25659.0 1362.7

File Copy 1024 bufsize 2000 maxblocks 2672.0 615188.0 2302.4 File Copy 256 bufsize 500 maxblocks 1077.0 196511.0 1824.6 File Read 4096 bufsize 8000 maxblocks 15382.0 1422019.0 924.5

Pipe Throughput 111814.6 11214912.1 1003.0

Pipe-based Context Switching 15448.6 2299837.1 1488.7

Process Creation 569.3 64781.5 1137.9
Shell Scripts (8 concurrent) 44.8 4974.5 1110.4

System Call Overhead 114433.5 17398060.3 1520.4

=======

FINAL SCORE 1136.9

When i install OpenVZ 2.6.18 or 2.6.26 Kernel and run WHT i get something like this:

INDEX VALUES

TEST BASELINE RESULT INDEX

Dhrystone 2 using register variables 376783.7 43674178.6 1159.1

Double-Precision Whetstone 83.1 1467.1 176.5

Execl Throughput 188.3 5866.4 311.5

File Copy 1024 bufsize 2000 maxblocks 2672.0 398961.0 1493.1 File Copy 256 bufsize 500 maxblocks 1077.0 207161.0 1923.5 File Read 4096 bufsize 8000 maxblocks 15382.0 1213218.0 788.7

Pipe Throughput 111814.6 10492852.7 938.4

Pipe-based Context Switching 15448.6 2225406.2 1440.5

Process Creation 569.3 13309.5 233.8 Shell Scripts (8 concurrent) 44.8 1571.6 350.8

System Call Overhead 114433.5 13993448.6 1222.8

=======

FINAL SCORE 699.4

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by maratrus on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 11:14:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

could you possibly try OpenVZ 2.6.27 kernel

http://download.openvz.org/kernel/branches/2.6.27/2.6.27-aiv azovsky.1/

and also 2.6.18 pure RHEL5 kernel

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 12:28:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi!

I cannot test plain RHEL 5 Kernel cause i use Debian instead of Redhat.

Here are the Results from OpenVZ 2.6.27:

INDEX VALUES

TEST BASELINE RESULT INDEX

Dhrystone 2 using register variables 376783.7 44178754.0 1172.5

Double-Precision Whetstone 83.1 1458.9 175.6

Execl Throughput 188.3 4718.0 250.6

File Copy 1024 bufsize 2000 maxblocks 2672.0 569144.0 2130.0 File Copy 256 bufsize 500 maxblocks 1077.0 178028.0 1653.0 File Read 4096 bufsize 8000 maxblocks 15382.0 1172482.0 762.2

Pipe Throughput 111814.6 9205265.5 823.3

Pipe-based Context Switching 15448.6 1949721.8 1262.1

 Process Creation
 569.3
 10826.7
 190.2

 Shell Scripts (8 concurrent)
 44.8
 1274.3
 284.4

 System Call Overhead
 114433.5
 13813705.2
 1207.1

=======

FINAL SCORE 654.2

I'm using the provided default configs (only change processor Type to Intel Xeon).

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow? Posted by maratrus on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 12:33:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

could you please specify your environment in detail.

As far as I understand this test is running on the HN. Am I right?

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 17:17:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You're right - it is running in the HN. It is a plain Debian 4.0. No VPS installed at the moment. Only installed OpenVZ Kernel instead of the vanilla one.

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Mon, 09 Mar 2009 09:18:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

If you need more information - please ask.

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow? Posted by maratrus on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 09:00:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

could you please check what kind of i/o schedulers are used when vanilla kernel is booted and when OpenVZ is booted? It may be obtained via "cat /sys/block/sdb/queue/scheduler". The same interface can be used while changing that value.

"echo "SOMETHING" > /sys/block/sdb/queue/scheduler".

And some additional information (just in case): from both kernels

- cat /proc/meminfo
- cat /proc/mounts
- tune2fs -I \$DEVICE

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by maratrus on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 09:07:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I've told about i/o schedulers but forgotten to ask you experiment with different schedulers.

Thank you.

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 09:49:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Both are CFQ and i've also tried Anticipatory sched no difference. I'll now setup another machine - cause i needed the last one and will post results again with the additional informations you want.

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by maratrus on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 10:07:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

seems my previous suggestions were not quite correct.

Tests that are "failed" on OpenVZ kernel are: "Execl Throughput" and "Process Creation". An overhead during the process creation is normal because OpenVZ accounts memory. But the suspicious fact is SUCH big overhead!

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by disaster on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 10:16:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yeah that's right - i was wonderung why you wanted so much information about the filesystem and harddisks. I've seen that the process results are really bad and i also know that OpenVZ has a overhead. But so much?? Any idea?

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow?

Posted by maratrus on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 10:49:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I cannot investigate this issue closely right now.

I think it's important not to lose your efforts and results.

So, what do you think about filing a bug?

http://bugzilla.openvz.org/

Subject: Re: OpenVZ Kernel generally slow? Posted by disaster on Tue, 10 Mar 2009 10:59:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

i've made a bug report:

http://bugzilla.openvz.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1210