
Subject: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [gurtaj](#) on Fri, 09 Jan 2009 13:56:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello, all. I've been reading and playing with OpenVZ, and have spent some time thinking about how to backup a container.

To backup a container I need to do a dump of it and also to make a copy of the filesystem at the time of the dump, right? If the filesystem is modified between the moment of the dump and the moment when the container is restored, errors might occur, so I need to keep a copy of the filesystem at the moment. Am I right?

Now, from what I understood from Andrey Mirkin (http://forum.openvz.org/index.php?t=msg&goto=13558&&srch=checkpoint#msg_13558), the best way to backup a container is to execute:

```
vzctl chkpnt VEID --suspend  
vzctl chkpnt VEID --dump  
vzctl chkpnt VEID --resume
```

There's no way to backup a container without suspending it, right?

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [Martin Maurer](#) on Sun, 11 Jan 2009 17:08:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[gurtaj](#) wrote on Fri, 09 January 2009 08:56Hello, all. I've been reading and playing with OpenVZ, and have spent some time thinking about how to backup a container.

To backup a container I need to do a dump of it and also to make a copy of the filesystem at the time of the dump, right? If the filesystem is modified between the moment of the dump and the moment when the container is restored, errors might occur, so I need to keep a copy of the filesystem at the moment. Am I right?

Now, from what I understood from Andrey Mirkin (http://forum.openvz.org/index.php?t=msg&goto=13558&&srch=checkpoint#msg_13558), the best way to backup a container is to execute:

```
vzctl chkpnt VEID --suspend  
vzctl chkpnt VEID --dump  
vzctl chkpnt VEID --resume
```

There's no way to backup a container without suspending it, right?

see vzdump
<http://wiki.openvz.org/Vzdump>

or just use Proxmox VE - including a GUI for vzdump.

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [januszzz](#) on Sun, 11 Jan 2009 22:32:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hmm, vzdump is cool, but what it really does? AFAIK it does rsync, then freezess VE, rsyncs again, then resume.

So what if a database is running inside VE and it writes to the disk almost continuously during the backup operation ? can I be sure I'll launch the database in consistent state after restore of VE?

I guess I cannot. Still the database should be stopped or archived using its own tool. And this is difference to VMware snapshot, Virtualbox or KVM snapshot.

Am I right?

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [Martin Maurer](#) on Mon, 12 Jan 2009 07:09:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

januszzz wrote on Sun, 11 January 2009 17:32: Hmm, vzdump is cool, but what it really does? AFAIK it does rsync, then freezess VE, rsyncs again, then resume.

vzdump has different modes. if you work with LVM snapshots you have no freeze and no downtime.

1. Stop the VE during backup (very long downtime)
2. Use rsync and suspend/resume (minimal downtime)
3. Use LVM2 (no downtime, online)

januszzz wrote on Sun, 11 January 2009 17:32: So what if a database is running inside VE and it writes to the disk almost continuously during the backup operation ? can I be sure I'll launch the database in consistent state after restore of VE?

I guess I cannot. Still the database should be stopped or archived using its own tool. And this is difference to VMware snapshot, Virtualbox or KVM snapshot.

Am I right?

if you cannot live with a snapshot you need a backup software which is aware of the applications running. E.g. if you backup a microsoft active directory the backup software has to tell the microsoft server that there is a backup otherwise you can never restore.

most backup software vendors sells separate backup agents for this.

On Linux, doing backups with snapshots is working 99,9 % - thats my experience.

br, martin

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container

Posted by [januszzz](#) on Mon, 12 Jan 2009 12:04:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, snapshots cannot be perceived as 100% reliable, as system memory writes immediately to disk when the VE unfreezes. If the system is not working hardly or content is static, then the snapshot is ok enough.

But if you run mysql or other database or any other system where data is particularly sensitive, you NEVER should rely on snapshot as it reverts to state of disk and NOT to the state of memory. Here the only way is to use application backup AND combine it with VE snapshot together.

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container

Posted by [Martin Maurer](#) on Mon, 12 Jan 2009 13:39:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

januszzz wrote on Mon, 12 January 2009 07:04Well, snapshots cannot be perceived as 100% reliable, as system memory writes immediately to disk when the VE unfreezes. If the system is not working hardly or content is static, then the snapshot is ok enough.

the system never freezes if you do snapshots with LVM (vzdump). I never had issues with Postgres, Mysql etc.

januszzz wrote on Mon, 12 January 2009 07:04But if you run mysql or other database or any other system where data is particularly sensitive, you NEVER should rely on snapshot as it reverts to state of disk and NOT to the state of memory. Here the only way is to use application backup AND combine it with VE snapshot together.

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container

Posted by [januszzz](#) on Mon, 12 Jan 2009 14:46:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I do not expect that kind of answers - "in 99.9% works, I've never had problems with...". I've never had problems too, I avoid problems, but...

...but I would really enjoy to hear that although it usually works, there is little-but-there-is a chance

to crash your database.

I got mysql in VE and there are very long transactions. It's easy to simulate the crash here; although transactions should be done properly by the database, it doesn't see it was frozen, so the transaction could be broken in the middle.

I don't know how it works, but this is how I get it.

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [gurtaj](#) on Sat, 17 Jan 2009 23:21:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Maurer wrote on Mon, 12 January 2009 02:09januszzz wrote on Sun, 11 January 2009 17:32Hmm, vldump is cool, but what it really does? AFAIK it does rsync, then freez VE, rsyncs again, then resume.

vldump has different modes. if you work with LVM snapshots you have no freeze and no downtime.

1. Stop the VE during backup (very long downtime)
2. Use rsync and suspend/resume (minimal downtime)
3. Use LVM2 (no downtime, online)

The third option seems interesting, but requires a different setup than the one I'm using right now. However, I'll take note of it for the future.

Thanks.

Subject: Re: Checkpoint/Backup of a container
Posted by [n00b_admin](#) on Sun, 18 Jan 2009 19:24:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I used to manage a MySQL too.

I was using vldump with the rsync option but i needed to hack it for the VE of the db server.

The steps used to consistently backup the MySQL server were:

1. With the VE running, do first rsync.
2. Stop the MySQL service inside VE.
3. Do final rsync.

This is what i used without any problems. the downtime for the SQL server was small, it took longer to bring down the service than to make the final rsync

Hope this helps.
