
Subject: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 14:43:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We've been basically merging containers patches through Andrew and -mm for a while now. It seems that some of the testing is starting to move toward linux-next.

Has anyone considered what it would take for us to get a tree pulled into there?

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [serue](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 15:29:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Dave Hansen (dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com):

> We've been basically merging containers patches through Andrew and -mm
> for a while now. It seems that some of the testing is starting to move
> toward linux-next.

>

> Has anyone considered what it would take for us to get a tree pulled
> into there?

I'd guess we would need to:

1. Beg Stephen :)
2. Work with the lkml community to decide which patches are sufficiently agreed-upon at least in principle to go into linux-next. I really think Eric's netns/sysfs patchset belongs there. I'd also like to see the freezer container patchset.
3. Pick someone to be maintain that tree. Ideally someone whom everyone here can agree upon.

-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 17:50:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> Quoting Dave Hansen (dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com):
>> We've been basically merging containers patches through Andrew and -mm
>> for a while now. It seems that some of the testing is starting to move
>> toward linux-next.
>>
>> Has anyone considered what it would take for us to get a tree pulled
>> into there?
>
> I'd guess we would need to:
>
> 1. Beg Stephen :)
> 2. Work with the lkml community to decide which patches are
> sufficiently agreed-upon at least in principle to go into
> linux-next. I really think Eric's netns/sysfs patchset
> belongs there. I'd also like to see the freezer container
> patchset.
> 3. Pick someone to be maintain that tree. Ideally someone
> whom everyone here can agree upon.

I think Andrew said he intends to get most of -mm into linux-next as soon in the next release cycle. So this may not be a long term problem.

The classic challenge is that we really need most of the patches to go through other maintainers because they are not for subsystems we maintain. So I am fairly certain things like our network namespace work will show up in linux-next because they show up in net-next-2.6.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 17:55:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2008-07-15 at 10:50 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> The classic challenge is that we really need most of the patches to go
> through other maintainers because they are not for subsystems we

> maintain. So I am fairly certain things like our network namespace
> work will show up in linux-next because they show up in net-next-2.6.

But, there are things like the mqueue patches that are against areas
that really have no maintainers. Do you think there will be many more
like that?

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 18:56:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Hansen <dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com> writes:

> On Tue, 2008-07-15 at 10:50 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> The classic challenge is that we really need most of the patches to go
>> through other maintainers because they are not for subsystems we
>> maintain. So I am fairly certain things like our network namespace
>> work will show up in linux-next because they show up in net-next-2.6.
>
> But, there are things like the mqueue patches that are against areas
> that really have no maintainers. Do you think there will be many more
> like that?

Probably. We are touching parts of the kernel that rarely change.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Feeding containers patches into linux-next
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Tue, 15 Jul 2008 19:07:52 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2008-07-15 at 11:56 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Dave Hansen <dave@linux.vnet.ibm.com> writes:
> > On Tue, 2008-07-15 at 10:50 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> >> The classic challenge is that we really need most of the patches to go
> >> through other maintainers because they are not for subsystems we
> >> maintain. So I am fairly certain things like our network namespace
> >> work will show up in linux-next because they show up in net-next-2.6.
> >
> > But, there are things like the mqueue patches that are against areas
> > that really have no maintainers. Do you think there will be many more
> > like that?
>
> Probably. We are touching parts of the kernel that rarely change.

Would having something that feeds into linux-next help make some of this easier?

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
