Subject: sysctl to hide VE processes from HN ps?
Posted by Gregor Mosheh on Fri, 11 Jul 2008 16:27:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I was glad to hear about bug 511. I am running 2.6.24-ovz005 and was surprised to see that I had this great new feature.

But it doesn't seem to be working. Maybe I'm doing it wrong?

sysctl kernel.pid_ns_hide_child=1

A 'ps ax' or 'ls /proc' shows the same thing regardless of this setting: VPSs' PostgreSQL and httpd and so on.

--
Gregor Mosheh / Greg Allensworth, BS, A+
System Administrator
HostGIS cartographic development & hosting services
http://www.HostGIS.com/

"Remember that no one cares if you can back up,
  only if you can restore." - AMANDA

Subject: Re: sysctl to hide VE processes from HN ps?
Posted by kir on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 10:43:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Gregor Mosheh wrote:
> I was glad to hear about bug 511. I am running 2.6.24-ovz005 and was
> surprised to see that I had this great new feature.
>
> But it doesn't seem to be working. Maybe I'm doing it wrong?
>
> # sysctl kernel.pid_ns_hide_child=1
>
> A 'ps ax' or 'ls /proc' shows the same thing regardless of this
> setting: VPSs' PostgreSQL and httpd and so on.
>
Processes are hidden for all containers started _after_ you have changed the setting.

Subject: Re: sysctl to hide VE processes from HN ps?
Posted by rmello on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 15:10:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, Jul 22, 2008 at 6:13 AM, Kir Kolyshkin <kir@openvz.org> wrote:
>>
> Processes are hidden for all containers started _after_ you have changed the
> setting.

Is 2.6.24 considered stable yet?

Roberto

--
http://blog.divisiblebyfour.org/

Subject: Re: 2.6.24 stable
Posted by Gregor Mosheh on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 16:18:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Roberto Mello wrote:
> Is 2.6.24 considered stable yet?

When I installed it three weeks ago, it was considered development. I've
been using it with DRBD, NIC bonding, and a 3ware 9650 controller for
the last three weeks and so far so good!

--
Gregor Mosheh / Greg Allensworth   BS, A+, Network+, Security+, Server+
System Administrator, Lead Programmer
HostGIS development & hosting services, http://www.HostGIS.com/

"Remember that no one cares if you can back up,
  only if you can restore." - AMANDA

Subject: Re: sysctl to hide VE processes from HN ps?
Posted by Gregor Mosheh on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 16:20:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kir Kolyshkin wrote:
>> # sysctl kernel.pid_ns_hide_child=1

> Processes are hidden for all containers started _after_ you have changed
> the setting.

Ahhhh, I get it. Too bad it can't change the view more dynamically. I'd
love to be able to toggle the view of HN or HN+VPSs. But I'll likely not
be making that patch; I'll be patient. :)

--
Gregor Mosheh / Greg Allensworth   BS, A+, Network+, Security+, Server+
System Administrator, Lead Programmer
HostGIS development & hosting services, http://www.HostGIS.com/

"Remember that no one cares if you can back up,
  only if you can restore." - AMANDA

Subject: Re: 2.6.24 stable
Posted by drescherjm on Mon, 21 Jul 2008 17:20:26 GMT

On Mon, Jul 21, 2008 at 12:18 PM, Gregor Mosheh <gregor@hostgis.com> wrote:
> Roberto Mello wrote:
>>
>> Is 2.6.24 considered stable yet?
>
> When I installed it three weeks ago, it was considered development. I've
> been using it with DRBD, NIC bonding, and a 3ware 9650 controller for the
> last three weeks and so far so good!
>
I have been using it since February at home and since May in my
production units at work. For the most part it is stable, although I
do still have a rare problem that pops up every once and a while that
causes me to have to restart the application (usually a console app).

When this happens I get a message in my dmesg output about "scheduling
while atomic"

This is with 2.6.24-ovz005 #1 SMP PREEMPT but I do not see this at
home with the git version.

John