Subject: [PATCH 1/3] i/o bandwidth controller documentation Posted by Andrea Righi on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 10:05:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Documentation of the block device I/O bandwidth controller: description, usage, advantages and design.

Signed-off-by: Andrea Righi <righi.andrea@gmail.com> ---1 files changed, 163 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-) create mode 100644 Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt diff --git a/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt new file mode 100644 index 0000000..e1df98a --- /dev/null +++ b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt @@-0.0+1.163@@ + Block device I/O bandwidth controller + + +1. Description + +This controller allows to limit the I/O bandwidth of specific block devices for +specific process containers (cgroups) imposing additional delays on I/O +requests for those processes that exceed the limits defined in the control +group filesystem. + +Bandwidth limiting rules offer better control over QoS with respect to priority +or weight-based solutions that only give information about applications' +relative performance requirements. + +The goal of the I/O bandwidth controller is to improve performance +predictability and QoS of the different control groups sharing the same block +devices. + +NOTE #1: if you're looking for a way to improve the overall throughput of the +system probably you should use a different solution. + +NOTE #2: the current implementation does not guarantee minimum bandwidth +levels, the QoS is implemented only slowing down i/o "traffic" that exceeds the +limits specified by the user. Minimum i/o rate thresholds are supposed to be +guaranteed if the user configures a proper i/o bandwidth partitioning of the +block devices shared among the different cgroups (theoretically if the sum of +all the single limits defined for a block device doesn't exceed the total i/o

+bandwidth of that device).

+

+2. User Interface

+

+A new I/O bandwidth limitation rule is described using the file +blockio.bandwidth.

+

+The same file can be used to set multiple rules for different block devices +relative to the same cgroup.

+

+The syntax is the following:

+# /bin/echo DEVICE:BANDWIDTH > CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth

+

+- DEVICE is the name of the device the limiting rule is applied to,

- +- BANDWIDTH is the maximum I/O bandwidth on DEVICE allowed by CGROUP (we can
- + use a suffix k, K, m, M, g or G to indicate bandwidth values in KB/s, MB/s

+ or GB/s),

+- CGROUP is the name of the limited process container.

+

+Examples:

+

- +\* Mount the cgroup filesystem (blockio subsystem):
- + # mkdir /mnt/cgroup
- + # mount -t cgroup -oblockio blockio /mnt/cgroup

+

- +\* Instantiate the new cgroup "foo":
- + # mkdir /mnt/cgroup/foo
- + --> the cgroup foo has been created
- +
- +\* Add the current shell process to the cgroup "foo":
- + # /bin/echo \$\$ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/tasks
- + --> the current shell has been added to the cgroup "foo"
- +
- +\* Give maximum 1MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sda1 for the cgroup "foo":
- + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:1M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
- + # sh
- + --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
- + bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda1 (blockio.bandwidth is expressed in
- + KiB/s).
- +
- +\* Give maximum 8MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sdb for the cgroup "foo":
- + # /bin/echo /dev/sda5:8M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth

+ # sh

- + --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
- + bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda1 and 8MiB/s on /dev/sda5.
- + NOTE: each partition needs its own limitation rule! In this case, for
- + example, there's no limitation on /dev/sda5 for cgroup "foo".

+

- +\* Run a benchmark doing I/O on /dev/sda1 and /dev/sda5; I/O limits and usage
- + defined for cgroup "foo" can be shown as following:

+	# cat	/mnt/cgrou	p/foo/b	lockio.l	bandwidth
---	-------	------------	---------	----------	-----------

- + === device (8,1) ===
- + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- + current i/o usage: 819 KiB/sec
- + === device (8,5) ===
- + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- + current i/o usage: 3102 KiB/sec
- +
- + Devices are reported using (major, minor) numbers when reading
- + blockio.bandwidth.
- +
- + The corresponding device names can be retrieved in /proc/diskstats (or in
- + other places as well).
- +
- + For example to find the name of the device (8,5):
- + # sed -ne 's/^ \+8 \+5 \([^ ]\+\).\*/\1/p' /proc/diskstats
- + sda5
- +
- + Current I/O usage can be greater than bandwidth limit, this means the i/o
- + controller is going to impose the limitation.
- +
- +\* Extend the maximum I/O bandwidth for the cgroup "foo" to 8MiB/s:
- + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:8M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio-bandwidth
- +
- +\* Remove limiting rule on /dev/sda1 for cgroup "foo":
- + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:0 > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio-bandwidth

+

- +3. Advantages of providing this feature
- +
- +\* Allow I/O traffic shaping for block device shared among different cgroups
- +\* Improve I/O performance predictability on block devices shared between
- + different cgroups
- +\* Limiting rules do not depend of the particular I/O scheduler (anticipatory,
- + deadline, CFQ, noop) and/or the type of the underlying block devices
- +\* The bandwidth limitations are guaranteed both for synchronous and
- + asynchronous operations, even the I/O passing through the page cache or
- + buffers and not only direct I/O (see below for details)
- +\* It is possible to implement a simple user-space application to dynamically
- + adjust the I/O workload of different process containers at run-time,
- according to the particular users' requirements and applications' performance
   constraints
- +\* It is even possible to implement event-based performance throttling
- + mechanisms; for example the same user-space application could actively
- + throttle the I/O bandwidth to reduce power consumption when the battery of a
- + mobile device is running low (power throttling) or when the temperature of a
- + hardware component is too high (thermal throttling)
- +\* Provides zero overhead for non block device I/O bandwidth controller users

+

+4. Design

+The I/O throttling is performed imposing an explicit timeout, via +schedule\_timeout\_killable() on the processes that exceed the I/O bandwidth +dedicated to the cgroup they belong to. I/O accounting happens per cgroup. +

+It just works as expected for read operations: the real I/O activity is reduced +synchronously according to the defined limitations. +

+Write operations, instead, are modeled depending of the dirty pages ratio +(write throttling in memory), since the writes to the real block devices are +processed asynchronously by different kernel threads (pdflush). However, the +dirty pages ratio is directly proportional to the actual I/O that will be +performed on the real block device. So, due to the asynchronous transfers +through the page cache, the I/O throttling in memory can be considered a form +of anticipatory throttling to the underlying block devices.

+

+Multiple re-writes in already dirtied page cache areas are not considered for +accounting the I/O activity. This is valid for multiple re-reads of pages +already present in the page cache as well.

+

+This means that a process that re-writes and/or re-reads multiple times the +same blocks in a file (without re-creating it by truncate(), ftrunctate(), +creat(), etc.) is affected by the I/O limitations only for the actual I/O +performed to (or from) the underlying block devices.

+

+Multiple rules for different block devices are stored in a linked list, using +the dev\_t number of each block device as key to uniquely identify each element +of the list. RCU synchronization is used to protect the whole list structure, +since the elements in the list are not supposed to change frequently (they +change only when a new rule is defined or an old rule is removed or updated), +while the reads in the list occur at each operation that generates I/O. This +allows to provide zero overhead for cgroups that do not use any limitation. +

+WARNING: per-block device limiting rules always refer to the dev\_t device +number. If a block device is unplugged (i.e. a USB device) the limiting rules +associated to that device persist and they are still valid if a new device is +plugged in the system and it uses the same major and minor numbers.

1.5.4.3

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] i/o bandwidth controller documentation Posted by Randy Dunlap on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 17:08:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 20 Jun 2008 12:05:33 +0200 Andrea Righi wrote:

> Documentation of the block device I/O bandwidth controller: description, usage,

> advantages and design.

>

> Signed-off-by: Andrea Righi <righi.andrea@gmail.com>

> ----

- > 1 files changed, 163 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
- > create mode 100644 Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt

>

> diff --git a/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt

> new file mode 100644

> index 0000000..e1df98a

> --- /dev/null

> +++ b/Documentation/controllers/io-throttle.txt

- > @ @ -0,0 +1,163 @ @
- > +

> + Block device I/O bandwidth controller

> +

> +1. Description

> +

> +This controller allows to limit the I/O bandwidth of specific block devices for

> +specific process containers (cgroups) imposing additional delays on I/O

> +requests for those processes that exceed the limits defined in the control

> +group filesystem.

> +

> +Bandwidth limiting rules offer better control over QoS with respect to priority

> +or weight-based solutions that only give information about applications'

> +relative performance requirements.

> +

> +The goal of the I/O bandwidth controller is to improve performance

> +predictability and QoS of the different control groups sharing the same block > +devices.

> +

> +NOTE #1: if you're looking for a way to improve the overall throughput of the

I would s/if/lf/

> +system probably you should use a different solution.

> +

> +NOTE #2: the current implementation does not guarantee minimum bandwidth

s/the/The/

> +levels, the QoS is implemented only slowing down i/o "traffic" that exceeds the

Please consistenly use "I/O" instead of "i/o".

Above comma makes a run-on sentence. A period or semi-colon would be better IMO.

> +limits specified by the user. Minimum i/o rate thresholds are supposed to be

> +guaranteed if the user configures a proper i/o bandwidth partitioning of the

> +block devices shared among the different cgroups (theoretically if the sum of

> +all the single limits defined for a block device doesn't exceed the total i/o

> +bandwidth of that device).

> +

> +2. User Interface

> +

> +A new I/O bandwidth limitation rule is described using the file

> +blockio.bandwidth.

> +

> +The same file can be used to set multiple rules for different block devices

> +relative to the same cgroup.

> +

> +The syntax is the following:

> +# /bin/echo DEVICE:BANDWIDTH > CGROUP/blockio.bandwidth

> +

> +- DEVICE is the name of the device the limiting rule is applied to,

> +- BANDWIDTH is the maximum I/O bandwidth on DEVICE allowed by CGROUP (we can

> + use a suffix k, K, m, M, g or G to indicate bandwidth values in KB/s, MB/s

> + or GB/s),

> +- CGROUP is the name of the limited process container.

> +

> +Examples:

> +

- > +\* Mount the cgroup filesystem (blockio subsystem):
- > + # mkdir /mnt/cgroup
- > + # mount -t cgroup -oblockio blockio /mnt/cgroup

> +

- > +\* Instantiate the new cgroup "foo":
- > + # mkdir /mnt/cgroup/foo
- > + --> the cgroup foo has been created

> +

- > +\* Add the current shell process to the cgroup "foo":
- > + # /bin/echo \$\$ > /mnt/cgroup/foo/tasks
- > + --> the current shell has been added to the cgroup "foo"

> +

- > +\* Give maximum 1MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sda1 for the cgroup "foo":
- > + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:1M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth

> + # sh

- > + --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
- > + bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda1 (blockio.bandwidth is expressed in

> + KiB/s).

- > +
- > +\* Give maximum 8MiB/s of I/O bandwidth on /dev/sdb for the cgroup "foo":
- > + # /bin/echo /dev/sda5:8M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
- > + # sh
- > + --> the subshell 'sh' is running in cgroup "foo" and it can use a maximum I/O
- > + bandwidth of 1MiB/s on /dev/sda1 and 8MiB/s on /dev/sda5.
- >+ NOTE: each partition needs its own limitation rule! In this case, for
- > + example, there's no limitation on /dev/sda5 for cgroup "foo".

> +

- > +\* Run a benchmark doing I/O on /dev/sda1 and /dev/sda5; I/O limits and usage
- > + defined for cgroup "foo" can be shown as following:
- > + # cat /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
- > + === device (8,1) ===
- > + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- > + current i/o usage: 819 KiB/sec
- > + === device (8,5) ===
- > + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- > + current i/o usage: 3102 KiB/sec

Ugh, this makes it look like the output does "pretty printing" (formatting), which is generally not a good idea. Let some app be responsible for that, not the kernel. Basically this means don't use leading spaces just to make the ":"s line up in the output.

> +

- > + Devices are reported using (major, minor) numbers when reading
- > + blockio.bandwidth.

> +

- > + The corresponding device names can be retrieved in /proc/diskstats (or in
- > + other places as well).

> +

- > + For example to find the name of the device (8,5):
- > + # sed -ne 's/^ \+8 \+5 \([^ ]\+\).\*/\1/p' /proc/diskstats
- >+ sda5

> +

> + Current I/O usage can be greater than bandwidth limit, this means the i/o

Run-on sentence. Change , to . (with This) or use ;

> + controller is going to impose the limitation.

> +

- > +\* Extend the maximum I/O bandwidth for the cgroup "foo" to 8MiB/s:
- > + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:8M > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio-bandwidth > +
- > +\* Remove limiting rule on /dev/sda1 for cgroup "foo":
- > + # /bin/echo /dev/sda1:0 > /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio-bandwidth

- > +
- > +3. Advantages of providing this feature
- > +
- > +\* Allow I/O traffic shaping for block device shared among different cgroups
- > +\* Improve I/O performance predictability on block devices shared between
- > + different cgroups
- > +\* Limiting rules do not depend of the particular I/O scheduler (anticipatory,
- > + deadline, CFQ, noop) and/or the type of the underlying block devices
- > +\* The bandwidth limitations are guaranteed both for synchronous and
- > + asynchronous operations, even the I/O passing through the page cache or
- > + buffers and not only direct I/O (see below for details)
- > +\* It is possible to implement a simple user-space application to dynamically
- > + adjust the I/O workload of different process containers at run-time,
- + according to the particular users' requirements and applications' performance
   + constraints
- > +\* It is even possible to implement event-based performance throttling
- > + mechanisms; for example the same user-space application could actively
- > + throttle the I/O bandwidth to reduce power consumption when the battery of a
- > + mobile device is running low (power throttling) or when the temperature of a
- > + hardware component is too high (thermal throttling)
- > +\* Provides zero overhead for non block device I/O bandwidth controller users
- > +
- > +4. Design
- > +
- > +The I/O throttling is performed imposing an explicit timeout, via
- > +schedule\_timeout\_killable() on the processes that exceed the I/O bandwidth
- > +dedicated to the cgroup they belong to. I/O accounting happens per cgroup.
- +It just works as expected for read operations: the real I/O activity is reduced
   +synchronously according to the defined limitations.
- > +
- > +Write operations, instead, are modeled depending of the dirty pages ratio
- > +(write throttling in memory), since the writes to the real block devices are
- > +processed asynchronously by different kernel threads (pdflush). However, the
- > +dirty pages ratio is directly proportional to the actual I/O that will be
- > +performed on the real block device. So, due to the asynchronous transfers
- > +through the page cache, the I/O throttling in memory can be considered a form
- > +of anticipatory throttling to the underlying block devices.

> +

> +Multiple re-writes in already dirtied page cache areas are not considered for

- > +accounting the I/O activity. This is valid for multiple re-reads of pages
- > +already present in the page cache as well.

> +

- > +This means that a process that re-writes and/or re-reads multiple times the
- > +same blocks in a file (without re-creating it by truncate(), ftrunctate(),
- > +creat(), etc.) is affected by the I/O limitations only for the actual I/O
- > +performed to (or from) the underlying block devices.

> +

> +Multiple rules for different block devices are stored in a linked list, using > +the dev\_t number of each block device as key to uniquely identify each element > +of the list. RCU synchronization is used to protect the whole list structure, > +since the elements in the list are not supposed to change frequently (they > +change only when a new rule is defined or an old rule is removed or updated), > +while the reads in the list occur at each operation that generates I/O. This > +allows to provide zero overhead for cgroups that do not use any limitation. > +

+WARNING: per-block device limiting rules always refer to the dev\_t device
 +number. If a block device is unplugged (i.e. a USB device) the limiting rules
 +associated to that device persist and they are still valid if a new device is

associated with (?)

> +plugged in the system and it uses the same major and minor numbers.
> --

## ----

## ~Randy

Linux Plumbers Conference, 17-19 September 2008, Portland, Oregon USA http://linuxplumbersconf.org/

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] i/o bandwidth controller documentation Posted by Andrea Righi on Sat, 21 Jun 2008 10:35:02 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks Randy, I've applied all your fixes to my local documentation, next patchset version will include them. A few small comments below.

Randy Dunlap wrote:

>> +\* Run a benchmark doing I/O on /dev/sda1 and /dev/sda5; I/O limits and usage

- >> + defined for cgroup "foo" can be shown as following:
- >> + # cat /mnt/cgroup/foo/blockio.bandwidth
- >> + === device (8,1) ===
- >> + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- >> + current i/o usage: 819 KiB/sec
- >> + === device (8,5) ===
- >> + bandwidth limit: 1024 KiB/sec
- >> + current i/o usage: 3102 KiB/sec

>

- > Ugh, this makes it look like the output does "pretty printing" (formatting),
- > which is generally not a good idea. Let some app be responsible for that,
- > not the kernel. Basically this means don't use leading spaces just to make the

> ":"s line up in the output.

Sounds reasonable. I think the output could be further reduced, the following format should be explanatory enough.

device: %u,%u bandwidth: %lu KiB/sec usage: %lu KiB/sec

>> +WARNING: per-block device limiting rules always refer to the dev\_t device >> +number. If a block device is unplugged (i.e. a USB device) the limiting rules >> +associated to that device persist and they are still valid if a new device is >

> associated with (?)

what about:

...the limiting rules defined for that device...

-Andrea

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/3] i/o bandwidth controller documentation Posted by Randy Dunlap on Sun, 22 Jun 2008 16:03:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

--- Original Message ---

> Thanks Randy, I've applied all your fixes to my local

> documentation,

> next patchset version will include them. A few small comments

> below.

>

>>> +WARNING: per-block device limiting rules always refer to the dev\_t device >>> +number. If a block device is unplugged (i.e. a USB device) the limiting rules >>> +associated to that device persist and they are still valid if a new device is >>

> > associated with (?)

>

> what about:

>

> ...the limiting rules defined for that device...

Hi Andrea,

Yes, that's fine.

Thanks.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 11 of 11 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum