

---

Subject: [PATCH 0/3] cgroup: block device i/o bandwidth controller (v3)

Posted by [Andrea Righi](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 10:05:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The goal of the i/o bandwidth controller is to improve i/o performance predictability and provide better QoS for different cgroups sharing the same block devices.

Respect to other priority/weight-based solutions the approach used by this controller is to explicitly choke applications' requests that directly (or indirectly) generate i/o activity in the system.

The direct bandwidth limiting method has the advantage of improving the performance predictability at the cost of reducing, in general, the overall performance of the system (in terms of throughput).

Detailed informations about design, its goal and usage are described in the documentation.

Tested against latest git (2.6.26-rc6).

The all-in-one patch (and previous versions) can be found at:  
<http://download.systemimager.org/~arighi/linux/patches/io-throttle/>

Changelog: (v2 -> v3)

- scalability improvement: replaced the rbtree structure with a linked list to store multiple per block device I/O limiting rules; this allows to use RCU to protect the whole list structure, since the elements in the list are supposed to change rarely (this also provides zero overhead for cgroups that don't use any I/O limitation)
- improved user interface
  - now it's possible to specify a suffix k, K, m, M, g, G to express bandwidth values in KB/s, MB/s or GB/s
  - current per block device I/O usage is reported in `blockio.bandwidth`
- renamed `cgroup_io_account()` in `cgroup_io_throttle()`
- updated the documentation

TODO:

- implement I/O throttling using a token bucket algorithm, as suggested by Carl Henrik Lunde, in addition to the current leaky bucket approach
- provide a modular interface to switch between different i/o throttling algorithms at run-time

-Andrea

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] cgroup: block device i/o bandwidth controller (v3)

Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 2008 14:39:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Andrea,

We have been tracking Controllers development for quite some time, and in order to make them work better, we have followed test-driven development for both CPU and Memory Controllers. We already have much of the CPU controllers and few Memory controllers testcases in LTP (<http://ltp.cvs.sourceforge.net/ltp/ltp/testcases/kernel/controllers/>).

Test cases for Memory controllers, which are in 2.6.26-mm\* tree(s), are also being readied. In the backdrop of this development, i would like to know if you can contribute to LTP test cases under GPLv2 for the i/o bandwidth controller, that you are proposing and any such future work in your pipeline. We would also be happy if you can look in to the existing controllers test cases, and give us more feedback on the same. Expecting to hear from you.

Regards--

Subrata

(LTP Maintainer)

On Fri, Jun 20, 2008 at 3:35 PM, Andrea Righi <[righi.andrea@gmail.com](mailto:righi.andrea@gmail.com)> wrote:

>  
> The goal of the i/o bandwidth controller is to improve i/o performance  
> predictability and provide better QoS for different cgroups sharing the  
> same  
> block devices.  
>  
> Respect to other priority/weight-based solutions the approach used by this  
> controller is to explicitly choke applications' requests that directly (or  
> indirectly) generate i/o activity in the system.  
>  
> The direct bandwidth limiting method has the advantage of improving the  
> performance predictability at the cost of reducing, in general, the overall  
> performance of the system (in terms of throughput).  
>  
> Detailed informations about design, its goal and usage are described in the  
> documentation.  
>  
> Tested against latest git (2.6.26-rc6).  
>  
> The all-in-one patch (and previous versions) can be found at:  
>  
<http://download.systemimager.org/~arighi/linux/patches/io-throttle/><<http://download.systemimager.org/~arighi/linux/patches/io-throttle/>>

org/%7Earighi/linux/patches/io-throttle/>

>

> Changelog: (v2 -> v3)

> - scalability improvement: replaced the rbtree structure with a linked

> list

> to store multiple per block device I/O limiting rules; this allows to

> use

> RCU to protect the whole list structure, since the elements in the list

> are

> supposed to change rarely (this also provides zero overhead for cgroups

> that don't use any I/O limitation)

> - improved user interface

> - now it's possible to specify a suffix k, K, m, M, g, G to express

> bandwidth values in KB/s, MB/s or GB/s

> - current per block device I/O usage is reported in blockio.bandwidth

> - renamed cgroup\_io\_account() in cgroup\_io\_throttle()

> - updated the documentation

>

> TODO:

> - implement I/O throttling using a token bucket algorithm, as suggested by

> Carl Henrik Lunde, in addition to the current leaky bucket approach

> - provide a modular interface to switch between different i/o throttling

> algorithms at run-time

>

> -Andrea

> --

> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in

> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org

> More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

> Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>

>

--

Regards & Thanks--

Subrata

---

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] cgroup: block device i/o bandwidth controller (v3)

Posted by [Andrea Righi](#) on Sat, 21 Jun 2008 10:35:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Subrata Modak wrote:

> Dear Andrea,  
>  
> We have been tracking Controllers development for quite some time, and  
> in order to make them work better, we have followed test-driven  
> development for both CPU and Memory Controllers. We already have much of  
> the CPU controllers and few Memory controllers testcases in LTP  
> (<http://ltp.cvs.sourceforge.net/ltp/ltp/testcases/kernel/controllers/>).  
>  
> Test cases for Memory controllers, which are in 2.6.26-mm\* tree(s), are  
> also being readied. In the backdrop of this development, i would like to  
> know if you can contribute to LTP test cases under GPLv2 for the i/o  
> bandwidth controller, that you are proposing and any such future work in  
> your pipeline. We would also be happy if you can look in to the existing  
> controllers test cases, and give us more feedback on the same. Expecting  
> to hear from you.

Sure, I will do. I think some small benchmarks I wrote to test the i/o bandwidth controller could be easily included. Just checking out the ltp code from cvs right now.

Regards,  
-Andrea

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/3] cgroup: block device i/o bandwidth controller (v3)  
Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Sat, 21 Jun 2008 15:16:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sat, Jun 21, 2008 at 4:05 PM, Andrea Righi <[righi.andrea@gmail.com](mailto:righi.andrea@gmail.com)> wrote:

> Subrata Modak wrote:  
>  
>> Dear Andrea,  
>>  
>> We have been tracking Controllers development for quite some time, and in  
>> order to make them work better, we have followed test-driven development for  
>> both CPU and Memory Controllers. We already have much of the CPU controllers  
>> and few Memory controllers testcases in LTP (  
>> <http://ltp.cvs.sourceforge.net/ltp/ltp/testcases/kernel/controllers/>).  
>>  
>> Test cases for Memory controllers, which are in 2.6.26-mm\* tree(s), are  
>> also being readied. In the backdrop of this development, i would like to  
>> know if you can contribute to LTP test cases under GPLv2 for the i/o

>> bandwidth controller, that you are proposing and any such future work in  
>> your pipeline. We would also be happy if you can look in to the existing  
>> controllers test cases, and give us more feedback on the same. Expecting to  
>> hear from you.

>>

>

> Sure, I will do. I think some small benchmarks I wrote to test the i/o  
> bandwidth controller could be easily included. Just checking out the ltp  
> code from cvs right now.

That will be really great. We can start off with some of those already  
available.

Regards--  
Subrata

>

> Regards,  
> -Andrea

>

--

Regards & Thanks--  
Subrata

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---

---

Subject: Re: [LTP] [PATCH 0/3] cgroup: block device i/o bandwidth controller (v3)  
Posted by [Subrata Modak](#) on Wed, 25 Jun 2008 13:21:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sat, 2008-06-21 at 20:46 +0530, Subrata Modak wrote:

> On Sat, Jun 21, 2008 at 4:05 PM, Andrea Righi <[righi.andrea@gmail.com](mailto:righi.andrea@gmail.com)>  
> wrote:

> Subrata Modak wrote:

> Dear Andrea,

>

> We have been tracking Controllers developement for  
> quite some time, and in order to make them work  
> better, we have followed test-driven development for  
> both CPU and Memory Controllers. We already have much

> of the CPU controllers and few Memory controllers  
> testcases in LTP  
> (<http://ltp.cvs.sourceforge.net/ltp/ltp/testcases/kernel/controllers/>).

>  
> Test cases for Memory controllers, which are in  
> 2.6.26-mm\* tree(s), are also being readied. In the  
> backdrop of this development, i would like to know if  
> you can contribute to LTP test cases under GPLv2 for  
> the i/o bandwidth controller, that you are proposing  
> and any such future work in your pipeline. We would  
> also be happy if you can look in to the existing  
> controllers test cases, and give us more feedback on  
> the same. Expecting to hear from you.

>  
> Sure, I will do. I think some small benchmarks I wrote to test  
> the i/o  
> bandwidth controller could be easily included. Just checking  
> out the ltp  
> code from cvs right now.

Hi Andrea,

Can you kindly share those test cases with us with a DCO signoff, so  
that i can start working on integrating them with LTP for the next month  
's release.

Regards--  
Subrata

>  
> That will be really great. We can start off with some of those already  
> available.

>  
> Regards--  
> Subrata

>  
>  
>  
>  
> Regards,  
> -Andrea

>  
>  
> --  
> Regards & Thanks--  
> Subrata

> -----  
> Check out the new SourceForge.net Marketplace.  
> It's the best place to buy or sell services for  
> just about anything Open Source.  
> <http://sourceforge.net/services/buy/index.php>  
> \_\_\_\_\_ Ltp-list mailing list  
Ltp-list@lists.sourceforge.net <https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/ltp-list>

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---