Subject: Re: [patch 11/12][CFQ-cgroup] Control service tree: Main functions Posted by Divyesh Shah on Mon, 16 Jun 2008 23:11:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> From: "Satoshi UCHIDA" <s-uch...@ap.jp.nec.com>

> Date: Apr 3, 12:20 am

> Subject: [patch 11/12][CFQ-cgroup] Control service tree: Main

> functions

>

> This patch introduced to control cfq\_data.

> Its algorithm is similar to one when CFQ synchronous I/O.

>

> The new cfq optional operations:

> The "cfq\_dispatch\_requests\_fn" defines a function which is implemented

> request dispatching algorithm.

> This becomes main function for fairness.

>

> The "cfq\_completed\_request\_after\_fn" defines a function which winds up

> I/O's

> affairs.

>

> The "cfq\_active\_check\_fn" defines a function which make sure whether > selecting cfq\_data is equal to active cfq\_data.

>

> The "cfq\_empty\_fn" defines a function which check whether active data > exists.

>

> Signed-off-by:SatoshiUCHIDA<uch...@ap.jp.nec.com>

>

Hi Satoshi,

I was wondering if adding queue preemption to your design might be useful.

If I understand correctly, currently if a higher priority cgroup is only able to use

up a part of its time-slice (due to few requests) in a given round-robin iteration,

it will need to wait for some other cgroups to get a shot at the disk before it can get

its chance in the next iteration (though the residual timeslice helps it get resorted to

a better position in the rr).

IMO, this high priority cgroup should have been able to get in and send a few more requests

to disk till it uses up its remaining timeslice by preempting the

current lower priority cgroup.

This same argument can also be presented against CFQ but is more valid here when we are working with cgroups instead of individual processes as in production environments collection of tasks which are marked high-priority would often expect/require that level of service. Also, something like this could be implemented as a knob so that it can be turned on/off depending on the use-case.

Does this make sense?

-Divyesh

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

