Subject: DRBD version?

Posted by Gregor Mosheh on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 00:17:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Why does OpenVZ (for Fedora anyway) come with such an old version of DRBD (8.0.7) instead of the newer 8.2 series?

Is there any reason I shouldn't or couldn't upgrade DRBD?

--

Gregor Mosheh / Greg Allensworth, BS, A+ System Administrator HostGIS cartographic development & hosting services http://www.HostGIS.com/

"Remember that no one cares if you can back up, only if you can restore." - AMANDA

Subject: Re: DRBD version?

Posted by Kirill Korotaev on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 06:12:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

AFAIK, 8.0 is a stable branch. though sure update to 8.0.12 is required long ago... But in reality, I was simply asked to include exactly this versions by the developers working with DRBD.

If they believe it must/can be updated to 8.2 branch - why not?

Kirill

Gregor Mosheh wrote:

- > Why does OpenVZ (for Fedora anyway) come with such an old version of
- > DRBD (8.0.7) instead of the newer 8.2 series?

>

> Is there any reason I shouldn't or couldn't upgrade DRBD?

>

Subject: Re: DRBD version?

Posted by Gregor Mosheh on Wed, 18 Jun 2008 17:22:25 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kirill Korotaev wrote:

- > AFAIK, 8.0 is a stable branch. though sure update to 8.0.12 is required long ago...
- > But in reality, I was simply asked to include exactly this versions by the developers
- > working with DRBD.
- > If they believe it must/can be updated to 8.2 branch why not?

Huh; how odd. Well, I'll run some tests on 8.2 and let you know what I find: compiling, functionality, etc.

--

Gregor Mosheh / Greg Allensworth, BS, A+ System Administrator HostGIS cartographic development & hosting services http://www.HostGIS.com/

"Remember that no one cares if you can back up, only if you can restore." - AMANDA