
Subject: [RFC PATCH 5/5] refresh VM committed space after a task migration
Posted by [Andrea Righi](#) on Mon, 09 Jun 2008 23:33:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Update VM committed space statistics when a task is migrated from a cgroup to another. To implement this feature we must keep track of the space committed by each task (that is directly accounted in the task_struct).

Signed-off-by: Andrea Righi <righi.andrea@gmail.com>

```
include/linux/sched.h | 3 +++
kernel/fork.c          | 3 +++
mm/memcontrol.c       | 6 ++++++
3 files changed, 12 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
```

```
diff --git a/include/linux/sched.h b/include/linux/sched.h
```

```
index ae0be3c..8b458df 100644
```

```
--- a/include/linux/sched.h
```

```
+++ b/include/linux/sched.h
```

```
@ @ -1277,6 +1277,9 @ @ struct task_struct {
/* cg_list protected by css_set_lock and tsk->alloc_lock */
struct list_head cg_list;
#endif
```

```
+#ifdef CONFIG_CGROUP_MEM_RES_CTLR
```

```
+ atomic_long_t vm_committed_space;
```

```
+#endif
```

```
#ifdef CONFIG_FUTEX
```

```
struct robust_list_head __user *robust_list;
```

```
#ifdef CONFIG_COMPAT
```

```
diff --git a/kernel/fork.c b/kernel/fork.c
```

```
index eaafa56..9fafbdb 100644
```

```
--- a/kernel/fork.c
```

```
+++ b/kernel/fork.c
```

```
@ @ -219,6 +219,9 @ @ static struct task_struct *dup_task_struct(struct task_struct *orig)
```

```
/* One for us, one for whoever does the "release_task()" (usually parent) */
```

```
atomic_set(&tsk->usage, 2);
```

```
atomic_set(&tsk->fs_excl, 0);
```

```
+#ifdef CONFIG_CGROUP_MEM_RES_CTLR
```

```
+ atomic_long_set(&tsk->vm_committed_space, 0);
```

```
+#endif
```

```
#ifdef CONFIG_BLK_DEV_IO_TRACE
```

```
tsk->btrace_seq = 0;
```

```
#endif
```

```
diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c
```

```
index e3e34e9..bc4923e 100644
```

```
--- a/mm/memcontrol.c
```

```
+++ b/mm/memcontrol.c
```

```
@ @ -1334,6 +1334,7 @ @ static void mem_cgroup_move_task(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
```

```

{
    struct mm_struct *mm;
    struct mem_cgroup *mem, *old_mem;
+ long committed;

    if (mem_cgroup_subsys.disabled)
        return;
@@ -1355,6 +1356,11 @@ static void mem_cgroup_move_task(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
    if (!thread_group_leader(p))
        goto out;

+ preempt_disable();
+ committed = atomic_long_read(&p->vm_committed_space);
+ atomic_long_sub(committed, &old_mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
+ atomic_long_add(committed, &mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
+ preempt_enable();
out:
    mmput(mm);
}
--
1.5.4.3

```

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 5/5] refresh VM committed space after a task migration
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Tue, 10 Jun 2008 17:41:28 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2008-06-10 at 01:33 +0200, Andrea Righi wrote:

```

> +    preempt_disable();
> +    committed = atomic_long_read(&p->vm_committed_space);
> +    atomic_long_sub(committed, &old_mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
> +    atomic_long_add(committed, &mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
> +    preempt_enable();
> out:
>     mmput(mm);
> }

```

Why bother with the preempt stuff here? What does the actually protect against? I assume that you're trying to keep other tasks that might run on this CPU from seeing weird, inconsistent numbers in here. Is there some other looks that keeps *other* cpus from seeing this?

In any case, I think it needs a big, fat comment.

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 5/5] refresh VM committed space after a task migration
Posted by [Andrea Righi](#) on Wed, 11 Jun 2008 10:37:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Hansen wrote:

> On Tue, 2008-06-10 at 01:33 +0200, Andrea Righi wrote:

```
>> + preempt_disable();
>> + committed = atomic_long_read(&p->vm_committed_space);
>> + atomic_long_sub(committed, &old_mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
>> + atomic_long_add(committed, &mem->vmacct.vm_committed_space);
>> + preempt_enable();
>> out:
>>     mmput(mm);
>> }
```

>
> Why bother with the preempt stuff here? What does the actually protect
> against? I assume that you're trying to keep other tasks that might run
> on this CPU from seeing weird, inconsistent numbers in here. Is there
> some other looks that keeps *other* cpus from seeing this?

>
> In any case, I think it needs a big, fat comment.

Yes, true, `mem_cgroup_move_task()` is called after the `task->cgroups` pointer has been changed. So, even if task changes its committed space between the `atomic_long_sub()` and `atomic_long_add()` it will be correctly accounted in the new cgroup.

-Andrea

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
