
Subject: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 05:24:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From: Sam Vilain <sam.vilain@catalyst.net.nz>

Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts, that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to those in /proc/sys/kernel

This duplicates the approach used for /proc/PID/attr, which involves a lot of duplication of similar functions. Much room for maintenance optimisation of both implementations remains.

Sorry for the duplication of this to the list, stuffed up the stgit command.

After doing this I noticed that the whole way this is done via sysctls in /proc/sys is much, much nicer. I was going there to make /proc/sys/kernel/osname -> /proc/self/uts/sysname (etc), but it seems that symlinks from /proc/sys are not a done thing.

Is there an argument here perhaps for some integration between the way this is done for /proc/sys and /proc/PID/xxx ?

```
fs/proc/base.c | 236 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
1 files changed, 236 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
```

```
diff --git a/fs/proc/base.c b/fs/proc/base.c
index 2031913..76f5acb 100644
--- a/fs/proc/base.c
+++ b/fs/proc/base.c
@@ -73,6 +73,7 @@ #include <linux/cpuset.h>
#include <linux/audit.h>
#include <linux/poll.h>
#include <linux/nsproxy.h>
+#include <linux/utsname.h>
#include "internal.h"
```

```
/* NOTE:
@@ -179,6 +180,22 @@ #ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
#endif
PROC_TID_OOM_SCORE,
PROC_TID_OOM_ADJUST,
+#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+ PROC_TID_UTS,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS,
```

```

+ PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE,
+ PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE,
+ PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME,
+ #endif

/* Add new entries before this */
PROC_TID_FD_DIR = 0x8000, /* 0x8000-0xffff */
@@ -238,6 +255,9 @@ #endif
#ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
E(PROC_TGID_LOGINUID, "loginuid", S_IFREG|S_IWUSR|S_IRUGO),
#endif
#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS, "uts", S_IFDIR|S_IRUGO|S_IXUGO),
+ #endif
{0,0,NULL,0}
};
static struct pid_entry tid_base_stuff[] = {
@@ -280,6 +300,9 @@ #endif
#ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
E(PROC_TID_LOGINUID, "loginuid", S_IFREG|S_IWUSR|S_IRUGO),
#endif
#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS, "uts", S_IFDIR|S_IRUGO|S_IXUGO),
+ #endif
{0,0,NULL,0}
};

@@ -300,6 +323,27 @@ static struct pid_entry tid_attr_stuff[]
};
#endif

#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+static struct pid_entry tgid_uts_stuff[] = {
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME, "sysname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME, "nodename", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE, "release", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION, "version", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE, "machine", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME, "domainname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),

```

```

+ {0,0,NULL,0}
+};
+static struct pid_entry tid_uts_stuff[] = {
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME, "sysname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME, "nodename", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE, "release", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION, "version", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE, "machine", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ E(PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME, "domainname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
+ {0,0,NULL,0}
+};
+#endif
+
#undef E

static int proc_fd_link(struct inode *inode, struct dentry **dentry, struct vfsmount **mnt)
@@ -1608,6 +1652,148 @@ static struct file_operations proc_tgid_
static struct inode_operations proc_tgid_attr_inode_operations;
#endif

#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+static ssize_t proc_pid_uts_read(struct file * file, char __user * buf,
+ size_t count, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ struct inode * inode = file->f_dentry->d_inode;
+ ssize_t length;
+ loff_t __ppos = *ppos;
+ struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
+ char __buf[__NEW_UTS_LEN+1];
+ char *which;
+
+ length = -ESRCH;
+ if (!task)
+ goto out_no_task;
+
+ switch (file->f_dentry->d_name.name[0]) {
+ case 's':
+ which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.sysname;
+ break;
+ case 'n':
+ which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.nodename;
+ break;
+ case 'r':
+ which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.release;
+ break;
+ case 'v':
+ which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.version;
+ break;

```

```

+ case 'm':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.machine;
+   break;
+ case 'd':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.domainname;
+   break;
+ default:
+   printk("procs: impossible uts part '%s'",
+         (char*)file->f_dentry->d_name.name);
+   length = -EINVAL;
+   goto out;
+ }
+
+ length = strlen(which);
+ strcpy(__buf, which);
+ __buf[length++] = '\n';
+
+ if (__ppos >= length)
+   return 0;
+ if (count > length - __ppos)
+   count = length - __ppos;
+ if (copy_to_user(buf, __buf + __ppos, count))
+   return -EFAULT;
+
+out:
+ put_task_struct(task);
+out_no_task:
+ return length;
+}
+
+static ssize_t proc_pid_uts_write(struct file * file, const char __user * buf,
+   size_t count, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ struct inode * inode = file->f_dentry->d_inode;
+ ssize_t length;
+ struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
+ char *which;
+ char __buf[__NEW_UTS_LEN+1];
+
+ length = -ESRCH;
+ if (!task)
+   goto out_no_task;
+ if (count > PAGE_SIZE)
+   count = PAGE_SIZE;
+
+ /* No partial writes. */
+ length = -EINVAL;
+ if (*ppos != 0)

```

```

+ goto out;
+ if (count > __NEW_UTS_LEN)
+ goto out;
+
+ length = -EPERM;
+ if (!capable(CAP_SYS_ADMIN))
+ goto out;
+
+ length = -EFAULT;
+ if (copy_from_user(__buf, buf, count))
+ goto out;
+
+ length = -EINVAL;
+ length = strlen(__buf, count);
+ if (count != length)
+ goto out;
+
+ if (__buf[length-1] == '\n')
+ length = length - 1;
+ __buf[length] = '\0';
+
+ switch (file->f_dentry->d_name.name[0]) {
+ case 's':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.sysname;
+   break;
+ case 'n':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.nodename;
+   break;
+ case 'r':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.release;
+   break;
+ case 'v':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.version;
+   break;
+ case 'm':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.machine;
+   break;
+ case 'd':
+   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.domainname;
+   break;
+ default:
+   printk("procfs: impossible uts part '%s'",
+         (char*)file->f_dentry->d_name.name);
+   length = -EINVAL;
+   goto out;
+ }
+
+ strcpy(which, __buf);

```

```

+
+out:
+ put_task_struct(task);
+out_no_task:
+ return length;
+}
+
+static struct file_operations proc_pid_uts_operations = {
+ .read = proc_pid_uts_read,
+ .write = proc_pid_uts_write,
+};
+
+static struct file_operations proc_tid_uts_operations;
+static struct inode_operations proc_tid_uts_inode_operations;
+static struct file_operations proc_tgid_uts_operations;
+static struct inode_operations proc_tgid_uts_inode_operations;
+#endif
+
+ /* SMP-safe */
+ static struct dentry *proc_pident_lookup(struct inode *dir,
+     struct dentry *dentry,
+ @@ -1760,6 +1946,30 @@ #ifdef CONFIG_SECURITY
+     case PROC_TGID_ATTR_FSCREATE:
+         inode->i_fop = &proc_pid_attr_operations;
+         break;
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS:
+ + inode->i_nlink = 2;
+ + inode->i_op = &proc_tid_uts_inode_operations;
+ + inode->i_fop = &proc_tid_uts_operations;
+ + break;
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS:
+ + inode->i_nlink = 2;
+ + inode->i_op = &proc_tgid_uts_inode_operations;
+ + inode->i_fop = &proc_tgid_uts_operations;
+ + break;
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME:
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME:
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE:
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION:
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE:
+ + case PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE:
+ + case PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME:
+ + inode->i_fop = &proc_pid_uts_operations;

```

```

+ break;
#endif
#ifdef CONFIG_KALLSYMS
  case PROC_TID_WCHAN:
@@ -1889,6 +2099,32 @@ static struct inode_operations proc_tid_
};
#endif

+#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
+static int proc_tgid_uts_readdir(struct file * filp,
+    void * dirent, filldir_t filldir)
+{
+ return proc_pident_readdir(filp,dirent,filldir,
+    tgid_uts_stuff,ARRAY_SIZE(tgid_uts_stuff));
+}
+
+static int proc_tid_uts_readdir(struct file * filp,
+    void * dirent, filldir_t filldir)
+{
+ return proc_pident_readdir(filp,dirent,filldir,
+    tid_uts_stuff,ARRAY_SIZE(tid_uts_stuff));
+}
+
+static struct file_operations proc_tgid_uts_operations = {
+ .read = generic_read_dir,
+ .readdir = proc_tgid_uts_readdir,
+};
+
+static struct file_operations proc_tid_uts_operations = {
+ .read = generic_read_dir,
+ .readdir = proc_tid_uts_readdir,
+};
+#endif
+
+/*
+ * /proc/self:
+ */

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Andrew Morton](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 08:04:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sam Vilain <sam@vilain.net> wrote:

```

>
> Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
> that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to

```

> those in /proc/sys/kernel

umm, why?

- > This duplicates the approach used for /proc/PID/attr, which involves a
- > lot of duplication of similar functions. Much room for maintenance
- > optimisation of both implementations remains.
- >
- > ...
- >
- > fs/proc/base.c | 236 +++

ouch.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Alan Cox](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 11:45:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Llu, 2006-05-22 at 17:24 +1200, Sam Vilain wrote:

- > From: Sam Vilain <sam.vilain@catalyst.net.nz>
- >
- > Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
- > that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to
- > those in /proc/sys/kernel

Can you explain the locking being used here against the name being changed at the same moment ?

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 14:03:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, May 22, 2006 at 05:24:25PM +1200, Sam Vilain wrote:

- > From: Sam Vilain <sam.vilain@catalyst.net.nz>
- >
- > Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
- > that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to
- > those in /proc/sys/kernel
- >
- > This duplicates the approach used for /proc/PID/attr, which involves a
- > lot of duplication of similar functions. Much room for maintenance
- > optimisation of both implementations remains.

hmm, IMHO what we want/need in the future is some entries for the namespaces/nsproxies to make them accessible from outside (kind of handle)

the processes could then use relative symlinks to point to those entries, but I'm not sure they really belong in /proc although that is probably more appropriate than the other 100 entries not belonging to procs :)

```
> ---
> Sorry for the duplication of this to the list, stuffed up the stgit
> command.
>
> After doing this I noticed that the whole way this is done via sysctls
> in /proc/sys is much, much nicer. I was going there to make
> /proc/sys/kernel/osname -> /proc/self/uts/sysname (etc), but it seems
> that symlinks from /proc/sys are not a done thing.
>
> Is there an argument here perhaps for some integration between the way
> this is done for /proc/sys and /proc/PID/xxx ?
```

I'm not very happy about the /sys and /proc/sys mixup, let's avoid further mixing there ...

best,
Herbert

```
> fs/proc/base.c | 236 ++++++
> 1 files changed, 236 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
>
> diff --git a/fs/proc/base.c b/fs/proc/base.c
> index 2031913..76f5acb 100644
> --- a/fs/proc/base.c
> +++ b/fs/proc/base.c
> @@ -73,6 +73,7 @@ #include <linux/cpuset.h>
> #include <linux/audit.h>
> #include <linux/poll.h>
> #include <linux/nsproxy.h>
> +#include <linux/utsname.h>
> #include "internal.h"
>
> /* NOTE:
> @@ -179,6 +180,22 @@ #ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
> #endif
> PROC_TID_OOM_SCORE,
> PROC_TID_OOM_ADJUST,
> +#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
```

```

> + PROC_TID_UTS,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE,
> + PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE,
> + PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME,
> + #endif
>
> /* Add new entries before this */
> PROC_TID_FD_DIR = 0x8000, /* 0x8000-0xffff */
> @@ -238,6 +255,9 @@ #endif
> #ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
> E(PROC_TGID_LOGINUID, "loginuid", S_IFREG|S_IWUSR|S_IRUGO),
> #endif
> + #ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS, "uts", S_IFDIR|S_IRUGO|S_IXUGO),
> + #endif
> {0,0,NULL,0}
> };
> static struct pid_entry tid_base_stuff[] = {
> @@ -280,6 +300,9 @@ #endif
> #ifdef CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL
> E(PROC_TID_LOGINUID, "loginuid", S_IFREG|S_IWUSR|S_IRUGO),
> #endif
> + #ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS, "uts", S_IFDIR|S_IRUGO|S_IXUGO),
> + #endif
> {0,0,NULL,0}
> };
>
> @@ -300,6 +323,27 @@ static struct pid_entry tid_attr_stuff[]
> };
> #endif
>
> + #ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
> + static struct pid_entry tgid_uts_stuff[] = {
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME, "sysname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME, "nodename", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE, "release", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION, "version", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),

```

```

> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE, "machine", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME, "domainname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + {0,0,NULL,0}
> +};
> +static struct pid_entry tid_uts_stuff[] = {
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME, "sysname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME, "nodename", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE, "release", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION, "version", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE, "machine", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + E(PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME, "domainname", S_IFREG|S_IRUGO|S_IWUGO),
> + {0,0,NULL,0}
> +};
> +#endif
> +
> #undef E
>
> static int proc_fd_link(struct inode *inode, struct dentry **dentry, struct vfsmount **mnt)
> @@ -1608,6+1652,148 @@ static struct file_operations proc_tgid_
> static struct inode_operations proc_tgid_attr_inode_operations;
> #endif
>
> +#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
> +static ssize_t proc_pid_uts_read(struct file * file, char __user * buf,
> + size_t count, loff_t *ppos)
> +{
> + struct inode * inode = file->f_dentry->d_inode;
> + ssize_t length;
> + loff_t __ppos = *ppos;
> + struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
> + char __buf[__NEW_UTS_LEN+1];
> + char *which;
> +
> + length = -ESRCH;
> + if (!task)
> + goto out_no_task;
> +
> + switch (file->f_dentry->d_name.name[0]) {
> + case 's':
> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.sysname;
> + break;
> + case 'n':
> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.nodename;
> + break;
> + case 'r':
> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.release;
> + break;
> + case 'v':

```

```

> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.version;
> + break;
> + case 'm':
> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.machine;
> + break;
> + case 'd':
> + which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.domainname;
> + break;
> + default:
> + printk("procfs: impossible uts part '%s'",
> +       (char*)file->f_dentry->d_name.name);
> + length = -EINVAL;
> + goto out;
> + }
> +
> + length = strlen(which);
> + strcpy(__buf, which);
> + __buf[length++] = '\n';
> +
> + if (__ppos >= length)
> + return 0;
> + if (count > length - __ppos)
> + count = length - __ppos;
> + if (copy_to_user(buf, __buf + __ppos, count))
> + return -EFAULT;
> +
> +out:
> + put_task_struct(task);
> +out_no_task:
> + return length;
> +}
> +
> +static ssize_t proc_pid_uts_write(struct file * file, const char __user * buf,
> +    size_t count, loff_t *ppos)
> +{
> + struct inode * inode = file->f_dentry->d_inode;
> + ssize_t length;
> + struct task_struct *task = get_proc_task(inode);
> + char *which;
> + char __buf[__NEW_UTS_LEN+1];
> +
> + length = -ESRCH;
> + if (!task)
> + goto out_no_task;
> + if (count > PAGE_SIZE)
> + count = PAGE_SIZE;
> +
> + /* No partial writes. */

```

```

> + length = -EINVAL;
> + if (*ppos != 0)
> + goto out;
> + if (count > __NEW_UTS_LEN)
> + goto out;
> +
> + length = -EPERM;
> + if (!capable(CAP_SYS_ADMIN))
> + goto out;
> +
> + length = -EFAULT;
> + if (copy_from_user(__buf, buf, count))
> + goto out;
> +
> + length = -EINVAL;
> + length = strlen(__buf, count);
> + if (count != length)
> + goto out;
> +
> + if (__buf[length-1] == '\n')
> + length = length - 1;
> + __buf[length] = '\0';
> +
> + switch (file->f_dentry->d_name.name[0]) {
> + case 's':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.sysname;
> +   break;
> + case 'n':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.nodename;
> +   break;
> + case 'r':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.release;
> +   break;
> + case 'v':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.version;
> +   break;
> + case 'm':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.machine;
> +   break;
> + case 'd':
> +   which = task->nsproxy->uts_ns->name.domainname;
> +   break;
> + default:
> +   printk("procfs: impossible uts part '%s'",
> +         (char*)file->f_dentry->d_name.name);
> +   length = -EINVAL;
> +   goto out;
> + }

```

```

> +
> + strcpy(which, __buf);
> +
> +out:
> + put_task_struct(task);
> +out_no_task:
> + return length;
> +}
> +
> +static struct file_operations proc_pid_uts_operations = {
> + .read = proc_pid_uts_read,
> + .write = proc_pid_uts_write,
> +};
> +
> +static struct file_operations proc_tid_uts_operations;
> +static struct inode_operations proc_tid_uts_inode_operations;
> +static struct file_operations proc_tgid_uts_operations;
> +static struct inode_operations proc_tgid_uts_inode_operations;
> +#endif
> +
> /* SMP-safe */
> static struct dentry *proc_pident_lookup(struct inode *dir,
>     struct dentry *dentry,
> @@ -1760,6 +1946,30 @@ #ifdef CONFIG_SECURITY
> case PROC_TGID_ATTR_FSCREATE:
>     inode->i_fop = &proc_pid_attr_operations;
>     break;
> + case PROC_TID_UTS:
> +     inode->i_nlink = 2;
> +     inode->i_op = &proc_tid_uts_inode_operations;
> +     inode->i_fop = &proc_tid_uts_operations;
> +     break;
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS:
> +     inode->i_nlink = 2;
> +     inode->i_op = &proc_tgid_uts_inode_operations;
> +     inode->i_fop = &proc_tgid_uts_operations;
> +     break;
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_SYSNAME:
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_NODENAME:
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_RELEASE:
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_VERSION:
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_MACHINE:
> + case PROC_TGID_UTS_DOMAINNAME:
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_SYSNAME:
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_NODENAME:
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_RELEASE:
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_VERSION:
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_MACHINE:

```

```
> + case PROC_TID_UTS_DOMAINNAME:
> + inode->i_fop = &proc_pid_uts_operations;
> + break;
> #endif
> #ifdef CONFIG_KALLSYMS
> case PROC_TID_WCHAN:
> @@ -1889,6 +2099,32 @@ static struct inode_operations proc_tid_
> };
> #endif
>
> +#ifdef CONFIG_UTS_NS
> +static int proc_tgid_uts_readdir(struct file * filp,
> + void * dirent, filldir_t filldir)
> +{
> + return proc_pident_readdir(filp,dirent,filldir,
> + tgid_uts_stuff,ARRAY_SIZE(tgid_uts_stuff));
> +}
> +
> +static int proc_tid_uts_readdir(struct file * filp,
> + void * dirent, filldir_t filldir)
> +{
> + return proc_pident_readdir(filp,dirent,filldir,
> + tid_uts_stuff,ARRAY_SIZE(tid_uts_stuff));
> +}
> +
> +static struct file_operations proc_tgid_uts_operations = {
> + .read = generic_read_dir,
> + .readdir = proc_tgid_uts_readdir,
> +};
> +
> +static struct file_operations proc_tid_uts_operations = {
> + .read = generic_read_dir,
> + .readdir = proc_tid_uts_readdir,
> +};
> +#endif
> +
> /*
> * /proc/self:
> */
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 16:39:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sam Vilain <sam@vilain.net> writes:

> From: Sam Vilain <sam.vilain@catalyst.net.nz>
>
> Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
> that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to
> those in /proc/sys/kernel
>
> This duplicates the approach used for /proc/PID/attr, which involves a
> lot of duplication of similar functions. Much room for maintenance
> optimisation of both implementations remains.
> ---
> Sorry for the duplication of this to the list, stuffed up the stgit
> command.
>
> After doing this I noticed that the whole way this is done via sysctls
> in /proc/sys is much, much nicer. I was going there to make
> /proc/sys/kernel/osname -> /proc/self/uts/sysname (etc), but it seems
> that symlinks from /proc/sys are not a done thing.
>
> Is there an argument here perhaps for some integration between the way
> this is done for /proc/sys and /proc/PID/xxx ?
>
> fs/proc/base.c | 236 +++
> 1 files changed, 236 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

Good intentions :)
But since this doesn't actually fix /proc/sys/kernel/osname and friends.
I would call this implementation a failure.

Let's first fix /proc/sys/kernel/osname to be sensitive to the caller,
and then see if we can make /proc/sys a symlink to /proc/<pid>/sys

Eric

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via
/proc/PID/uts directory
Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 21:31:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Andrew Morton wrote:

>Sam Vilain <sam@vilain.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
>> that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to
>> those in /proc/sys/kernel

>>
>>
>umm, why?
>
>

Er, for the same reason we have /proc/PID/mounts ?

>> This duplicates the approach used for /proc/PID/attr, which involves a
>> lot of duplication of similar functions. Much room for maintenance
>> optimisation of both implementations remains.
>> fs/proc/base.c | 236 +++
>>
>ouch.
>
>

Also yow. Refer above gross understatement.

Sam.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible
via /proc/PID/uts directory
Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 23:07:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alan Cox wrote:

>On Llu, 2006-05-22 at 17:24 +1200, Sam Vilain wrote:
>
>
>>From: Sam Vilain <sam.vilain@catalyst.net.nz>
>>
>>Export the UTS information to a per-process directory /proc/PID/uts,
>>that has individual nodes for hostname, ostype, etc - similar to
>>those in /proc/sys/kernel
>>
>>
>
>Can you explain the locking being used here against the name being
>changed at the same moment ?
>

Is this a test? :-)

Let's see, get_task_pid locks the task struct (so that it doesn't go
away while we're de-referencing the nsproxy and uts_ns etc), and the

kobj references are assumed to be enough to avoid the references dropping away in the meantime.

I didn't grab uts_sem. That semaphore could be made per-uts_ns, in theory. Whether anyone cares about contention that much is another question.

I intended this to be exploratory, it wasn't really mergable. Should have added an [RFC] tag, sorry about that.

Sam.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 23:10:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sam Vilain wrote:

>I didn't grab uts_sem. That semaphore could be made per-uts_ns, in
>theory. Whether anyone cares about contention that much is another
>question.

>
>

FWIW, the uts_sem isn't mentioned anywhere in the /proc/sys/kernel/osname sysctl, either. So that interface probably isn't safe on SMP/preempt.

Sam.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory

Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 23:18:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>>Sorry for the duplication of this to the list, stuffed up the stgit
>>command.

>>

>>After doing this I noticed that the whole way this is done via sysctls
>>in /proc/sys is much, much nicer. I was going there to make
>>/proc/sys/kernel/osname -> /proc/self/uts/sysname (etc), but it seems
>>that symlinks from /proc/sys are not a done thing.

>>
>>Is there an argument here perhaps for some integration between the way
>>this is done for /proc/sys and /proc/PID/xxx ?
>>
>> fs/proc/base.c | 236 +++
>> 1 files changed, 236 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)
>>
>>
>
>Good intentions :)
>But since this doesn't actually fix /proc/sys/kernel/osname and friends.
>I would call this implementation a failure.
>
>

Yes, actually my second failure from yesterday's efforts. Thought I'd better send something though.

>Let's first fix /proc/sys/kernel/osname to be sensitive to the caller,
>and then see if we can make /proc/sys a symlink to /proc/<pid>/sys
>
>

Ok, that sounds like a much simpler starting point, I'll see what I can cook up.

The only thing was, the names were odd and "machine" was missing.

Isn't everything under /proc/<pid> effectively a sysctl? Wouldn't it be much nicer to re-use that infrastructure for everything under there?

Sam.

Subject: Re: [PATCH] namespaces: uts_ns: make information visible via /proc/PID/uts directory
Posted by [Sam Vilain](#) on Mon, 22 May 2006 23:49:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sam Vilain wrote:

>Sam Vilain wrote:

>
>
>

>>I didn't grab uts_sem. That semaphore could be made per-uts_ns, in
>>theory. Whether anyone cares about contention that much is another
>>question.

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>FWIW, the uts_sem isn't mentioned anywhere in the
>/proc/sys/kernel/osname sysctl, either. So that interface probably
>isn't safe on SMP/preempt.
>
>

No, there it is, in proc_doutsstring. I didn't parse the "doutsstring"
as "do_uts_string".

Sam.
