Subject: NIC dedicated for accessing VEs from internet Posted by mangust on Thu, 24 Apr 2008 15:33:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tryed today to make a solution different ways without great success. Even small one.

We have server, it has 2 NICs. eth0 have private IP. VEs that have IP from same private network accesseble. Default gateway on router in private network.

I have another internet connection (2nd NIC), router of a provider, and public network/29, 8addresses, 6 usable, one belongs to provider's router.

Now. I m giving IPs to VEs. dosn't work. I can see it only from priate network, if assigning one of this public IP on computer in private network.

I can't write even a route to that public default gateway, becouse it is unreachable (because there is NO ip address assigned on public NIC)

Is there a way to access VEs via interface that don't have IP? bridge? can't add even to bridge:

[root@trinity ~]# brctl addif br0 venet0 can't add venet0 to bridge br0: Invalid argument

Subject: Re: NIC dedicated for accessing VEs from internet Posted by mangust on Thu, 24 Apr 2008 18:11:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ooops. more likely this was a solution for us. http://wiki.openvz.org/Using_private_IPs_for_Hardware_Nodes

Subject: Re: NIC dedicated for accessing VEs from internet Posted by mangust on Fri, 25 Apr 2008 09:36:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

variant with veth interface works, but i still want to try venet0. Today investigated more. i did:

[root@trinity ~]# ifconfig eth1 up [root@trinity ~]# ifconfig eth1

eth1 Link encap:Ethernet HWaddr 00:1E:4F:2D:F1:65 inet6 addr: fe80::21e:4fff:fe2d:f165/64 Scope:Link

UP BROADCAST RUNNING MULTICAST MTU:1500 Metric:1

RX packets:0 errors:0 dropped:0 overruns:0 frame:0 TX packets:3 errors:0 dropped:0 overruns:0 carrier:0

collisions:0 txqueuelen:1000

RX bytes:0 (0.0 b) TX bytes:250 (250.0 b) Interrupt:169 Memory:f4000000-f4012100

[root@trinity ~]# /sbin/ip rule add from 62.15.232.178 table 100 [root@trinity ~]# ip rule show

0: from all lookup 255

32765: from 62.15.232.178 lookup 100

32766: from all lookup main 32767: from all lookup default

[root@trinity ~]# ip route add default dev eth1 via 62.15.232.182 table 100

RTNETLINK answers: Network is unreachable

[root@trinity ~]# ip route add 62.15.232.176/29 dev eth1

RTNETLINK answers: No such device

[root@trinity ~]# ifconfig eth1 inet 191.255.254.5

[root@trinity ~]# ip route add 62.15.232.176/29 dev eth1

[root@trinity ~]# ip route add default dev eth1 via 62.15.232.182 table 100

[root@trinity ~]# tcpdump -ni eth1 | grep -v 802.1d

tcpdump: verbose output suppressed, use -v or -vv for full protocol decode

listening on eth1, link-type EN10MB (Ethernet), capture size 96 bytes

11:23:56.046077 IP 62.44.79.180 > 62.15.232.178: ICMP echo request, id 63836, seq 0, length 64

11:23:56.047219 arp who-has 62.15.232.182 tell 191.255.254.5

11:23:57.045108 IP 62.44.79.180 > 62.15.232.178: ICMP echo request, id 63836, seq 1, length 64

11:23:57.047504 arp who-has 62.15.232.182 tell 191.255.254.5

11:23:58.044590 IP 62.44.79.180 > 62.15.232.178: ICMP echo request, id 63836, seq 2, length 64

11:23:58.047710 arp who-has 62.15.232.182 tell 191.255.254.5

11:23:59.045492 IP 62.44.79.180 > 62.15.232.178: ICMP echo request, id 63836, seq 3, length 64

11:24:00.046463 IP 62.44.79.180 > 62.15.232.178: ICMP echo request, id 63836, seq 4, length

11:24:00.047001 arp who-has 62.15.232.182 tell 191.255.254.5

11:24:01.047267 arp who-has 62.15.232.182 tell 191.255.254.5

To add a route i first need to add a network.

To add a network kernel want to see any IP address i gave some not existant.

Ping from outside not going

Computer want to determine routers MAC by ARP and request it from that not real 191.255.254.5 I don't want any IP on interface! Why not to use VE ip address for ARP?

If i add public address on that external NIC everithing working, but i don't need this IP for HW node, i will need to not to forget close it by firewall, tell services like ssh not to listen on it, finally i can't use it for VE