Subject: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Matt Helsley on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 21:03:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NOTE: Due to problems with my MTA configuration two earlier attempts reached linux-pm but not linux-kernel. Please cc linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org on replies.

This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks. I've merely taken Cedric's patches, forward-ported them to 2.6.25-rc8-mm1 and done a small amount of testing.

2 files are defined by the freezer subsystem in the container filesystem :

* "freezer.freeze"

writing 1 will freeze all tasks and 0 unfreeze reading will return the status of the freezer

* "freezer.kill"

writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks

* Usage :

mkdir /containers/freezer
mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer
mkdir /containers/freezer/0
echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks

to get status of the freezer subsystem :

cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze RUNNING

to freeze all tasks in the container :

echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
FREEZING
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
FROZEN

to unfreeze all tasks in the container :

echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze

RUNNING

to kill all tasks in the container :

echo 9 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.kill

* Caveats:

- the FROZEN status is calculated and changed when the container file "freezer.freeze" is read.
- frozen containers will be unfrozen when a system is resumed after a suspend. This is addressed by the last patch.

* Series

Applies to 2.6.25-rc8-mm1

The first patches make the freezer available to all architectures before implementing the freezer subsystem.

[RFC PATCH 1/4] Add TIF_FREEZE flag to all architectures [RFC PATCH 2/4] Make refrigerator always available [RFC PATCH 3/4] Implement freezer cgroup subsystem [RFC PATCH 4/4] Skip frozen cgroups during power management resume

Each patch compiles, boots, and survives basic LTP containers and controllers tests.

Comments are welcome.

Cheers, -Matt Helsley

--

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: [RFC PATCH 1/4] Container Freezer: Add TIF_FREEZE flag to all architectures Posted by Matt Helsley on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 21:03:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This patch is the first step in making the refrigerator() available to all architectures, even for those without power management.

The purpose of such a change is to be able to use the refrigerator() in a new control group subsystem which will implement a control group freezer.

Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> Signed-off-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> Tested-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> Cc: linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org

include/asm-alpha/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-avr32/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-cris/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-h8300/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-m68k/thread_info.h 1 +
include/asm-m68knommu/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-parisc/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-s390/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-sparc/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-sparc64/thread_info.h 2++
include/asm-um/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-v850/thread_info.h 2 ++
include/asm-xtensa/thread_info.h 2 ++
13 files changed, 25 insertions(+)

Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-alpha/thread_info.h

--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-alpha/thread_info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-alpha/thread_info.h @ @ -76,12 +76,14 @ @ register struct thread_info *__current_t #define TIF_UAC_SIGBUS 7 #define TIF_MEMDIE 8 #define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 9 /* restore signal mask in do_signal */ +#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

#define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE)
#define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1<<TIF_SIGPENDING)
#define _TIF_NEED_RESCHED (1<<TIF_NEED_RESCHED)
#define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)
#define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)</pre>

/* Work to do on interrupt/exception return. */ #define _TIF_WORK_MASK (_TIF_SIGPENDING | _TIF_NEED_RESCHED) Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-avr32/thread_info.h

--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-avr32/thread_info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-avr32/thread_info.h @ @ -88,6 +88,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren #define TIF_MEMDIE 6
#define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 7 /* restore signal mask in do_signal */
#define TIF_CPU_GOING_TO_SLEEP 8 /* CPU is entering sleep 0 mode */
+#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */
#define TIF_DEBUG 30 /* debugging enabled */
#define TIF_USERSPACE 31 /* true if FS sets userspace */

@ @ -99,6 +100,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren
#define _TIF_MEMDIE (1 << TIF_MEMDIE)
#define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1 << TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)
#define _TIF_CPU_GOING_TO_SLEEP (1 << TIF_CPU_GOING_TO_SLEEP)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1 << TIF_FREEZE)</pre>

/* Note: The masks below must never span more than 16 bits! */

Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-cris/thread_info.h

```
--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-cris/thread_info.h
+++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-cris/thread_info.h
@ @ -86,6 +86,7 @ @ struct thread_info {
#define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 9 /* restore signal mask in do_signal() */
#define TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG 16 /* true if poll_idle() is polling TIF_NEED_RESCHED */
#define TIF_MEMDIE 17
+#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */
```

```
#define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE)
#define _TIF_NOTIFY_RESUME (1<<TIF_NOTIFY_RESUME)
@ @ -93,6 +94,7 @ @ struct thread_info {
    #define _TIF_NEED_RESCHED (1<<TIF_NEED_RESCHED)
    #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)
    #define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)</pre>
```

#define _TIF_WORK_MASK 0x0000FFFE /* work to do on interrupt/exception return */ #define _TIF_ALLWORK_MASK 0x0000FFFF /* work to do on any return to u-space */ Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-h8300/thread_info.h

 --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-h8300/thread_info.h
 +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-h8300/thread_info.h
 @ @ -92,6 +92,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren TIF_NEED_RESCHED */
 #define TIF_MEMDIE 4
 #define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 5 /* restore signal mask in do_signal() */
 +#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

/* as above, but as bit values */ #define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE) @ @ -99,6 +100,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren #define _TIF_NEED_RESCHED (1<<TIF_NEED_RESCHED)
#define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)
#define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)</pre>

#define _TIF_WORK_MASK 0x0000FFFE /* work to do on interrupt/exception return */

Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-m68k/thread_info.h

--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-m68k/thread_info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-m68k/thread_info.h @ @ -58,5 +58,6 @ @ struct thread_info { #define TIF_DELAYED_TRACE 14 /* single step a syscall */ #define TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE 15 /* syscall trace active */ #define TIF_MEMDIE 16 +#define TIF_FREEZE 19

#endif /* _ASM_M68K_THREAD_INFO_H */
Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-m68knommu/thread_info.h

 --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-m68knommu/thread_info.h
 +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-m68knommu/thread_info.h
 @ @ -88,12 +88,14 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren
 #define TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG 3 /* true if poll_idle() is polling TIF_NEED_RESCHED */
 #define TIF_MEMDIE 4

+#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

/* as above, but as bit values */ #define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE) #define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1<<TIF_SIGPENDING) #define _TIF_NEED_RESCHED (1<<TIF_NEED_RESCHED) #define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG) +#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)

#define _TIF_WORK_MASK 0x0000FFFE /* work to do on interrupt/exception return */

Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-parisc/thread_info.h

--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-parisc/thread_info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-parisc/thread_info.h @ @ -62,6 +62,7 @ @ struct thread_info { #define TIF_32BIT 4 /* 32 bit binary */ #define TIF_MEMDIE 5 #define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 6 /* restore saved signal mask */ +#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

#define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1 << TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE)</pre>

#define TIF SIGPENDING (1 << TIF SIGPENDING) @ @ -69.6 +70.7 @ @ struct thread info { #define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1 << TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)</pre> #define _TIF_32BIT (1 << TIF_32BIT) #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1 << TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)</pre> +#define _TIF_FREEZE (1 << TIF_FREEZE) #define TIF USER WORK_MASK (_TIF_SIGPENDING | \ TIF NEED RESCHED | TIF RESTORE SIGMASK) Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-s390/thread info.h _____ --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-s390/thread info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-s390/thread_info.h @ @ -101.6 +101.7 @ @ static inline struct thread info *curren TIF NEED RESCHED */ #define TIF_31BIT 18 /* 32bit process */ #define TIF MEMDIE 19 +#define TIF FREEZE 20 /* is freezing for suspend */ #define TIF SYSCALL TRACE (1<<TIF SYSCALL TRACE) #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK) @ @ -113,6 +114,7 @ @ static inline struct thread info *curren #define TIF USEDFPU (1<<TIF USEDFPU) #define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)</pre> #define _TIF_31BIT (1<<TIF_31BIT)</pre> +#define TIF FREEZE (1<<TIF FREEZE) #endif /* __KERNEL__ */ Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-sparc/thread info.h --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-sparc/thread info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-sparc/thread_info.h @ @ -137,6 +137,7 @ @ BTFIXUPDEF_CALL(void, free_thread_info, #define TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG 9 /* true if poll_idle() is polling * TIF NEED RESCHED */ #define TIF_MEMDIE 10 +#define TIF FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */ /* as above, but as bit values */ #define TIF SYSCALL TRACE (1<<TIF SYSCALL TRACE) @ @ -145,6 +146,7 @ @ BTFIXUPDEF CALL(void, free thread info, #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)</pre> #define _TIF_USEDFPU (1<<TIF_USEDFPU)</pre>

#define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)</pre>

#endif /* ___KERNEL___ */

Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-sparc64/thread_info.h

--- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-sparc64/thread info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-sparc64/thread info.h @ @ -236,6 +236,7 @ @ register struct thread_info *current_thr #define TIF ABI PENDING 12 #define TIF_MEMDIE 13 #define TIF POLLING NRFLAG 14 +#define TIF FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */ #define TIF SYSCALL TRACE (1<<TIF SYSCALL TRACE) #define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1<<TIF_SIGPENDING)</pre> @ @ -249.6 +250.7 @ @ register struct thread info *current thr #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK) #define _TIF_ABI_PENDING (1<<TIF_ABI_PENDING)</pre> #define TIF POLLING NRFLAG (1<<TIF POLLING NRFLAG) +#define TIF FREEZE (1<<TIF FREEZE) #define TIF USER WORK MASK ((0xff << TI FLAG WSAVED SHIFT) |\ (_TIF_SIGPENDING | _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK | \ Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-um/thread info.h _____ --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-um/thread info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-um/thread_info.h @ @ -83.6 +83.7 @ @ static inline struct thread info *curren #define TIF_MEMDIE 5 #define TIF SYSCALL AUDIT 6 #define TIF RESTORE SIGMASK 7 +#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */ #define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1 << TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE)</pre> #define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1 << TIF_SIGPENDING)</pre> @ @ -91,5 +92,6 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren #define _TIF_MEMDIE (1 << TIF_MEMDIE)</pre> #define TIF SYSCALL AUDIT (1 << TIF SYSCALL AUDIT) #define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1 << TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)</pre> +#define TIF FREEZE (1 << TIF FREEZE) #endif Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-v850/thread info.h _____ _____ --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-v850/thread info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-v850/thread_info.h @@ -82,12 +82,14 @@ struct thread info { #define TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG 3 /* true if poll_idle() is polling TIF NEED RESCHED */ #define TIF MEMDIE 4

+#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

/* as above, but as bit values */ #define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE) #define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1<<TIF_SIGPENDING) #define _TIF_NEED_RESCHED (1<<TIF_NEED_RESCHED) #define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG) +#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)

/* Size of kernel stack for each process. */ Index: 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-xtensa/thread_info.h --- 2.6.25-rc3-mm1.orig/include/asm-xtensa/thread_info.h +++ 2.6.25-rc3-mm1/include/asm-xtensa/thread_info.h @ @ -138,6 +138,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren #define TIF_MEMDIE 5 #define TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK 6 /* restore signal mask in do_signal() */ #define TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG 16 /* true if poll_idle() is polling TIF_NEED_RESCHED */ +#define TIF_FREEZE 19 /* is freezing for suspend */

#define _TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE (1<<TIF_SYSCALL_TRACE)
#define _TIF_SIGPENDING (1<<TIF_SIGPENDING)
@ @ -146,6 +147,7 @ @ static inline struct thread_info *curren
#define _TIF_IRET (1<<TIF_IRET)
#define _TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG (1<<TIF_POLLING_NRFLAG)
#define _TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK (1<<TIF_RESTORE_SIGMASK)
+#define _TIF_FREEZE (1<<TIF_FREEZE)</pre>

#define _TIF_WORK_MASK 0x0000FFFE /* work to do on interrupt/exception return */ #define _TIF_ALLWORK_MASK 0x0000FFFF /* work to do on any return to u-space */

--

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: [RFC PATCH 2/4] Container Freezer: Make refrigerator always available Posted by Matt Helsley on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 21:03:18 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Now that the TIF_FREEZE flag is available in all architectures, extract the refrigerator() and freeze_task() from kernel/power/process.c and make it available to all. The refrigerator() can now be used in a control group subsystem implementing a control group freezer.

```
Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
Signed-off-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com>
Tested-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com>
Cc: linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org
---
Changelog:
Merged Roland's "STOPPED is frozen enough" changes. For details see:
http://lkml.org/lkml/2008/3/3/676
include/linux/freezer.h | 19 ++-----
kernel/Makefile
                     2
kernel/freezer.c
                  kernel/power/process.c | 113 -----
4 files changed, 132 insertions(+), 126 deletions(-)
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/freezer.h
_____
                                          _____
--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/include/linux/freezer.h
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/freezer.h
@@-4,11+4,10@@
#define FREEZER_H_INCLUDED
#include <linux/sched.h>
#include <linux/wait.h>
-#ifdef CONFIG PM SLEEP
/*
 * Check if a process has been frozen
 */
static inline int frozen(struct task_struct *p)
{
@ @ -61,22 +60,27 @ @ static inline int thaw process(struct ta
task_unlock(p);
return 0;
}
extern void refrigerator(void);
-extern int freeze_processes(void);
-extern void thaw_processes(void);
static inline int try_to_freeze(void)
if (freezing(current)) {
 refrigerator();
```

```
return 1;
 } else
 return 0;
}
+extern int freeze_task(struct task_struct *p, int with_mm_only);
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_PM_SLEEP
+
+extern int freeze processes(void);
+extern void thaw_processes(void);
+
/*
 * The PF_FREEZER_SKIP flag should be set by a vfork parent right before it
 * calls wait_for_completion(&vfork) and reset right after it returns from this
 * function. Next, the parent should call try_to_freeze() to freeze itself
 * appropriately in case the child has exited before the freezing of tasks is
@ @ -156,22 +160,13 @ @ static inline void set freezable(void)
   retval): \
 } while (try_to_freeze());
                           \
  _retval:
              ١
})
#else /* !CONFIG_PM_SLEEP */
-static inline int frozen(struct task_struct *p) { return 0; }
-static inline int freezing(struct task_struct *p) { return 0; }
-static inline void set_freeze_flag(struct task_struct *p) {}
-static inline void clear_freeze_flag(struct task_struct *p) {}
-static inline int thaw process(struct task struct *p) { return 1; }
-static inline void refrigerator(void) {}
static inline int freeze processes(void) { BUG(); return 0; }
static inline void thaw_processes(void) {}
-static inline int try_to_freeze(void) { return 0; }
static inline void freezer do not count(void) {}
static inline void freezer_count(void) {}
static inline int freezer should skip(struct task struct *p) { return 0; }
static inline void set_freezable(void) {}
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/Makefile
_____
                                           _____
--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/kernel/Makefile
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/Makefile
@@ -7,11 +7,11 @@ obj-y
                              = sched.o fork.o exec domain.o
   sysctl.o capability.o ptrace.o timer.o user.o \
   signal.o sys.o kmod.o workqueue.o pid.o \
   rcupdate.o extable.o params.o posix-timers.o \
```

```
kthread.o wait.o kfifo.o sys_ni.o posix-cpu-timers.o mutex.o \
   hrtimer.o rwsem.o nsproxy.o srcu.o semaphore.o \
   notifier.o ksysfs.o pm_gos_params.o
    notifier.o ksysfs.o pm_qos_params.o freezer.o
+
obj-$(CONFIG_SYSCTL_SYSCALL_CHECK) += sysctl_check.o
obj-$(CONFIG_STACKTRACE) += stacktrace.o
obj-y += time/
obj-$(CONFIG DEBUG MUTEXES) += mutex-debug.o
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/freezer.c
--- /dev/null
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/freezer.c
@@-0,0+1,124@@
+/*
+ * kernel/freezer.c - Function to freeze a process
+ *
+ * Originally from kernel/power/process.c
+ */
+
+#include <linux/interrupt.h>
+#include <linux/suspend.h>
+#include <linux/module.h>
+#include <linux/syscalls.h>
+#include <linux/freezer.h>
+
+/*
+ * freezing is complete, mark current process as frozen
+ */
+static inline void frozen_process(void)
+{
+ if (!unlikely(current->flags & PF_NOFREEZE)) {
+ current->flags |= PF_FROZEN;
+ wmb();
+ }
+ clear_freeze_flag(current);
+}
+
+/* Refrigerator is place where frozen processes are stored :-). */
+void refrigerator(void)
+{
+ /* Hmm, should we be allowed to suspend when there are realtime
  processes around? */
+
+ long save;
+
+ task_lock(current);
+ if (freezing(current)) {
+ frozen process();
```

```
+ task_unlock(current);
+ } else {
+ task_unlock(current);
+ return:
+ }
+ save = current->state;
+ pr_debug("%s entered refrigerator\n", current->comm);
+
+ spin lock irg(&current->sighand->siglock);
+ recalc sigpending(); /* We sent fake signal, clean it up */
+ spin_unlock_irq(&current->sighand->siglock);
+
+ for (;;) {
+ set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE);
+ if (!frozen(current))
+ break;
+ schedule();
+ }
+ pr debug("%s left refrigerator\n", current->comm);
+ set current state(save);
+}
+EXPORT SYMBOL(refrigerator);
+
+static void fake_signal_wake_up(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+ unsigned long flags;
+
+ spin lock irgsave(&p->sighand->siglock, flags);
+ signal wake up(p, 0);
+ spin_unlock_irgrestore(&p->sighand->siglock, flags);
+}
+
+static int has_mm(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+ return (p->mm && !(p->flags & PF_BORROWED_MM));
+}
+
+/**
+ * freeze task - send a freeze request to given task
+ * @p: task to send the request to
+ * @with mm only: if set, the request will only be sent if the task has its
+ * own mm
+ * Return value: 0, if @with_mm_only is set and the task has no mm of its
+ * own or the task is frozen, 1, otherwise
+ *
+ * The freeze request is sent by setting the tasks's TIF_FREEZE flag and
+ * either sending a fake signal to it or waking it up, depending on whether
+ * or not it has its own mm (ie. it is a user land task). If @with mm only
```

```
+ * is set and the task has no mm of its own (ie. it is a kernel thread),
+ * its TIF FREEZE flag should not be set.
+ *
+ * The task_lock() is necessary to prevent races with exit_mm() or
+ * use_mm()/unuse_mm() from occuring.
+ */
+int freeze_task(struct task_struct *p, int with_mm_only)
+{
+ int ret = 1;
+
+ task_lock(p);
+ if (freezing(p)) {
+ if (has_mm(p)) {
+ if (!signal_pending(p))
+ fake_signal_wake_up(p);
+ } else {
+ if (with_mm_only)
+ ret = 0:
+ else
+
   wake_up_state(p, TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE);
+ }
+ } else {
+ rmb();
+ if (frozen(p)) {
+ ret = 0;
+ } else {
+ if (has_mm(p)) {
+ set_freeze_flag(p);
+
 fake_signal_wake_up(p);
+ } else {
  if (with_mm_only) {
+
   ret = 0;
+
+
   } else {
   set_freeze_flag(p);
+
    wake_up_state(p, TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE);
+
   }
+
+
  }
+ }
+ }
+ task_unlock(p);
+ return ret;
+}
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/power/process.c
         _____
                                                _____
--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/kernel/power/process.c
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/power/process.c
@ @ -29,121 +29,10 @ @ static inline int freezeable(struct task
   (p \rightarrow exit state != 0))
```

```
return 0;
 return 1;
}
-/*
- * freezing is complete, mark current process as frozen
- */
-static inline void frozen_process(void)
-{
- if (!unlikely(current->flags & PF_NOFREEZE)) {
- current->flags |= PF_FROZEN;
- wmb();
- }
clear_freeze_flag(current);
-}
-/* Refrigerator is place where frozen processes are stored :-). */
-void refrigerator(void)
-{
- /* Hmm, should we be allowed to suspend when there are realtime
   processes around? */
-
- long save;
- task_lock(current);
- if (freezing(current)) {
- frozen_process();
task_unlock(current);
- } else {
- task unlock(current);
- return;
- }
- save = current->state;
- pr_debug("%s entered refrigerator\n", current->comm);
- spin_lock_irg(&current->sighand->siglock);
- recalc_sigpending(); /* We sent fake signal, clean it up */
- spin_unlock_irg(&current->sighand->siglock);
_
- for (;;) {
set_current_state(TASK_UNINTERRUPTIBLE);
- if (!frozen(current))
- break:
- schedule();
- }
pr_debug("%s left refrigerator\n", current->comm);

    __set_current_state(save);

-}
```

```
-static void fake_signal_wake_up(struct task_struct *p)
-{
- unsigned long flags;
- spin_lock_irqsave(&p->sighand->siglock, flags);
- signal_wake_up(p, 0);
- spin_unlock_irgrestore(&p->sighand->siglock, flags);
-}
-static int has mm(struct task struct *p)
-{
- return (p->mm && !(p->flags & PF BORROWED MM));
-}
-/**
- * freeze_task - send a freeze request to given task
- * @p: task to send the request to
- * @with mm only: if set, the request will only be sent if the task has its
- * own mm
- * Return value: 0, if @with_mm_only is set and the task has no mm of its
   own or the task is frozen, 1, otherwise
- * The freeze request is sent by seting the tasks's TIF_FREEZE flag and
- * either sending a fake signal to it or waking it up, depending on whether
- * or not it has its own mm (ie. it is a user land task). If @with_mm_only
- * is set and the task has no mm of its own (ie. it is a kernel thread).
- * its TIF_FREEZE flag should not be set.
- *
- * The task lock() is necessary to prevent races with exit mm() or
- * use mm()/unuse mm() from occuring.
- */
-static int freeze_task(struct task_struct *p, int with_mm_only)
-{
- int ret = 1;
- task lock(p);
- if (freezing(p)) {
- if (has_mm(p)) {
if (!signal_pending(p))

    fake_signal_wake_up(p);

- } else {
- if (with_mm_only)
   ret = 0;
-
- else
   wake_up_state(p, TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE);
- }
- } else {
- rmb();
```

```
- if (frozen(p)) {
```

```
- ret = 0;
```

- } else {
- if (has_mm(p)) {
- set_freeze_flag(p);
- fake_signal_wake_up(p);
- } else {
- if (with_mm_only) {
- ret = 0;
- } else {
- set_freeze_flag(p);
- wake_up_state(p, TASK_INTERRUPTIBLE);
- }
- }
- }

```
- }
```

```
task_unlock(p);
```

- return ret;

```
-}
```

static void cancel_freezing(struct task_struct *p)

```
{
```

--

unsigned long flags;

```
@ @ -274,7 +163,5 @ @ void thaw_processes(void)
thaw_tasks(FREEZER_KERNEL_THREADS);
thaw_tasks(FREEZER_USER_SPACE);
schedule();
printk("done.\n");
}
```

-EXPORT_SYMBOL(refrigerator);

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: [RFC PATCH 3/4] Container Freezer: Implement freezer cgroup subsystem Posted by Matt Helsley on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 21:03:19 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This patch implements a new freezer subsystem for Paul Menage's control groups framework. It provides a way to stop and resume execution of all tasks in a cgroup by writing in the cgroup filesystem.

This is the basic mechanism which should do the right thing for user space tasks in a simple scenario. This will require more work to get the freezing right (cf. try_to_freeze_tasks()) for ptraced tasks.

Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> Signed-off-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> Tested-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com> Cc: linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org

Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/cgroup_freezer.h

```
--- /dev/null
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/cgroup freezer.h
@@-0,0+1,57@@
+#ifndef _LINUX_CGROUP_FREEZER_H
+#define _LINUX_CGROUP_FREEZER_H
+/*
+ * cgroup freezer.h - control group freezer subsystem interface
+ *
+ * Copyright IBM Corp. 2007
+ *
+ * Author : Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
+ */
+
+#include <linux/cgroup.h>
+
+#ifdef CONFIG CGROUP FREEZER
+
+enum freezer state {
+ STATE RUNNING = 0,
+ STATE FREEZING,
+ STATE FROZEN,
+};
+
+struct freezer {
+ struct cgroup_subsys_state css;
+ enum freezer state state;
```

```
+ spinlock_t lock;
+};
+
+static inline struct freezer *cgroup_freezer(
+ struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ return container_of(
+ cgroup_subsys_state(cgroup, freezer_subsys_id),
+ struct freezer, css);
+}
+
+static inline int cgroup_frozen(struct task_struct *task)
+{
+ struct cgroup *cgroup = task_cgroup(task, freezer_subsys_id);
+ struct freezer *freezer = cgroup_freezer(cgroup);
+ enum freezer_state state;
+
+ spin_lock(&freezer->lock);
+ state = freezer->state;
+ spin_unlock(&freezer->lock);
+
+ return (state == STATE FROZEN);
+}
+
+#else /* !CONFIG_CGROUP_FREEZER */
+
+static inline int cgroup_frozen(struct task_struct *task)
+{
+ return 0;
+}
+
+#endif /* !CONFIG_CGROUP_FREEZER */
+
+#endif /* _LINUX_CGROUP_FREEZER_H */
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/cgroup subsys.h
_____
                                                    _____
--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/include/linux/cgroup_subsys.h
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/cgroup subsys.h
@ @ -46,5 +46,11 @ @ SUBSYS(mem cgroup)
#ifdef CONFIG CGROUP DEVICE
SUBSYS(devices)
#endif
/* */
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_CGROUP_FREEZER
+SUBSYS(freezer)
+#endif
```

+ +/* */ Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/init/Kconfig

--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/init/Kconfig

+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/init/Kconfig @@ -321,10 +321,17 @@ config GROUP SCHED default y help This feature lets CPU scheduler recognize task groups and control CPU bandwidth allocation to such task groups. +config CGROUP_FREEZER bool "control group freezer subsystem" + depends on CGROUPS + + help Provides a way to freeze and unfreeze all tasks in a + cgroup + + config FAIR_GROUP_SCHED bool "Group scheduling for SCHED OTHER" depends on GROUP SCHED default y Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/Makefile _____ ================= --- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/kernel/Makefile +++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/Makefile @@ -38,10 +38,11 @@ obj-\$(CONFIG BSD PROCESS ACCT) += acct.o obj-\$(CONFIG KEXEC) += kexec.o obj-\$(CONFIG BACKTRACE SELF TEST) += backtracetest.o obj-\$(CONFIG_COMPAT) += compat.o obj-\$(CONFIG_CGROUPS) += cgroup.o obj-\$(CONFIG_CGROUP_DEBUG) += cgroup_debug.o +obj-\$(CONFIG_CGROUP_FREEZER) += cgroup_freezer.o obj-\$(CONFIG CPUSETS) += cpuset.o obj-\$(CONFIG_CGROUP_NS) += ns_cgroup.o obj-\$(CONFIG UTS NS) += utsname.o obj-\$(CONFIG_USER_NS) += user_namespace.o obj-\$(CONFIG_PID_NS) += pid_namespace.o Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/cgroup freezer.c _____ --- /dev/null +++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/cgroup_freezer.c @@-0,0+1,280@@ +/*

+ * cgroup_freezer.c - control group freezer subsystem

+ *

```
+ * Copyright IBM Corp. 2007
+ 1
+ * Author : Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
+ */
+
+#include <linux/module.h>
+#include <linux/cgroup.h>
+#include <linux/fs.h>
+#include <linux/uaccess.h>
+#include <linux/freezer.h>
+#include <linux/cgroup_freezer.h>
+
+static const char *freezer_state_strs[] = {
+ "RUNNING\n",
+ "FREEZING\n",
+ "FROZEN\n"
+};
+
+
+struct cgroup_subsys freezer_subsys;
+
+
+static struct cgroup_subsys_state *freezer_create(
+ struct cgroup_subsys *ss, struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ struct freezer *freezer;
+
+ if (!capable(CAP_SYS_ADMIN))
+ return ERR PTR(-EPERM);
+
+ freezer = kzalloc(sizeof(struct freezer), GFP KERNEL);
+ if (!freezer)
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
+ spin_lock_init(&freezer->lock);
+ freezer->state = STATE RUNNING;
+ return & freezer->css;
+}
+
+static void freezer_destroy(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
     struct caroup *caroup)
+
+{
+ kfree(cgroup_freezer(cgroup));
+}
+
+
+static int freezer_can_attach(struct cgroup_subsys *ss,
      struct cgroup *new cgroup,
+
```

```
struct task_struct *task)
+
+{
+ struct freezer *freezer = cgroup_freezer(new_cgroup);
+ int retval = 0;
+
+ if (freezer->state == STATE_FROZEN)
+ retval = -EBUSY:
+
+ return retval;
+}
+
+static void freezer fork(struct cgroup subsys *ss, struct task struct *task)
+{
+ struct cgroup *cgroup = task_cgroup(task, freezer_subsys_id);
+ struct freezer *freezer = cgroup_freezer(cgroup);
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&freezer->lock);
+ if (freezer->state == STATE FREEZING)
+ freeze task(task, 1);
+ spin_unlock_irq(&freezer->lock);
+}
+
+static int freezer_check_if_frozen(struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ struct cgroup_iter it;
+ struct task_struct *task;
+ unsigned int nfrozen = 0;
+
+ cgroup iter start(cgroup, &it);
+
+ while ((task = cgroup iter next(cgroup, &it))) {
+ if (frozen(task))
+ nfrozen++;
+ }
+ cgroup_iter_end(cgroup, &it);
+
+ return (nfrozen == cgroup_task_count(cgroup));
+}
+
+static ssize_t freezer_read(struct cgroup *cgroup,
     struct cftype *cft,
+
     struct file *file, char __user *buf,
+
     size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+
+{
+ struct freezer *freezer = cgroup_freezer(cgroup);
+ enum freezer_state state;
+
+ spin lock irg(&freezer->lock);
```

```
+ if (freezer->state == STATE_FREEZING)
+ if (freezer_check_if_frozen(cgroup))
+ freezer->state = STATE_FROZEN;
+
+ state = freezer->state;
+ spin_unlock_irg(&freezer->lock);
+
+ return simple_read_from_buffer(buf, nbytes, ppos,
        freezer state strs[state],
+
        strlen(freezer state strs[state]) + 1);
+
+}
+
+static int freezer_kill(struct cgroup *cgroup, int signum)
+{
+ struct cgroup_iter it;
+ struct task_struct *task;
+ int retval = 0;
+
+ cgroup_iter_start(cgroup, &it);
+ while ((task = cgroup_iter_next(cgroup, &it))) {
+ retval = send_sig(signum, task, 1);
+ if (retval)
+ break;
+ }
+
+ cgroup_iter_end(cgroup, &it);
+ return retval;
+}
+
+static int freezer_freeze_tasks(struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ struct cgroup_iter it;
+ struct task_struct *task;
+ unsigned int todo = 0;
+
+ cgroup_iter_start(cgroup, &it);
+ while ((task = cgroup_iter_next(cgroup, &it))) {
+ if (!freeze_task(task, 1))
+ continue;
+
+ if (!freezer should skip(task))
+ todo++;
+ }
+
+ cgroup_iter_end(cgroup, &it);
+ return todo ? -EBUSY : 0;
+}
+
```

```
+static int freezer_unfreeze_tasks(struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ struct cgroup_iter it;
+ struct task_struct *task;
+
+ cgroup_iter_start(cgroup, &it);
+ while ((task = cgroup_iter_next(cgroup, &it)))
+ thaw_process(task);
+
+ cgroup iter end(cgroup, &it);
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static int freezer_freeze(struct cgroup *cgroup, int freeze)
+{
+ struct freezer *freezer = cgroup_freezer(cgroup);
+ int retval = 0;
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&freezer->lock);
+ switch (freezer->state) {
+ case STATE RUNNING:
+ if (freeze) {
+ freezer->state = STATE_FREEZING;
+ retval = freezer_freeze_tasks(cgroup);
+ }
+ break:
+
+ case STATE FREEZING:
+ case STATE FROZEN:
+ if (!freeze) {
+ freezer->state = STATE RUNNING;
+ retval = freezer_unfreeze_tasks(cgroup);
+ }
+ break;
+ }
+ spin_unlock_irg(&freezer->lock);
+
+ return retval;
+}
+
+enum cgroup filetype {
+ FILE FREEZE,
+ FILE_KILL,
+};
+
+static ssize_t freezer_write(struct cgroup *cgroup,
      struct cftype *cft,
+
      struct file *file,
+
```

```
const char __user *userbuf,
+
+
      size_t nbytes, loff_t *unused_ppos)
+{
+ enum cgroup_filetype type = cft->private;
+ char *buffer;
+ int retval = 0;
+ int value;
+
+ if (nbytes >= PATH_MAX)
+ return -E2BIG;
+
+ /* +1 for nul-terminator */
+ buffer = kmalloc(nbytes + 1, GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (buffer == NULL)
+ return -ENOMEM;
+
+ if (copy_from_user(buffer, userbuf, nbytes)) {
+ retval = -EFAULT;
+ goto free_buffer;
+ }
+ buffer[nbytes] = 0; /* nul-terminate */
+
+ cgroup_lock();
+
+ if (cgroup_is_removed(cgroup)) {
+ retval = -ENODEV;
+ goto unlock;
+ }
+
+ if (sscanf(buffer, "%d", &value) != 1) {
+ retval = -EIO;
+ goto unlock;
+ }
+
+ switch (type) {
+ case FILE FREEZE:
+ retval = freezer_freeze(cgroup, value);
+ break;
+
+ case FILE_KILL:
+ retval = freezer kill(cgroup, value);
+ break:
+ default:
+ retval = -EINVAL;
+ }
+
+ if (retval == 0)
+ retval = nbytes;
```

```
+unlock:
+ cgroup_unlock();
+free_buffer:
+ kfree(buffer);
+ return retval;
+}
+
+static struct cftype files[] = {
+ {
+ .name = "freeze",
+ .read = freezer read,
+ .write = freezer write.
+ .private = FILE_FREEZE,
+ },
+ {
+ .name = "kill",
+ .write = freezer write,
+ .private = FILE_KILL,
+ },
+};
+
+static int freezer populate(struct cgroup subsys *ss, struct cgroup *cgroup)
+{
+ return cgroup_add_files(cgroup, ss, files, ARRAY_SIZE(files));
+}
+
+struct cgroup_subsys freezer_subsys = {
+ .name = "freezer",
+ .create = freezer create,
+ .destroy = freezer_destroy,
+ .populate = freezer populate,
+ .subsys_id = freezer_subsys_id,
+ .can_attach = freezer_can_attach,
+ .attach = NULL,
+ .fork = freezer_fork,
+ .exit = NULL,
+};
Index: linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/freezer.c
--- linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1.orig/kernel/freezer.c
+++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/freezer.c
@ @ -120,5 +120,6 @ @ int freeze_task(struct task_struct *p, i
 }
 }
 task_unlock(p);
 return ret;
}
+EXPORT_SYMBOL(freeze_task);
```

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Paul Menage on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 23:49:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

- >
- > * "freezer.kill"
- >
- > writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks
- >

My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called "signal"?

And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in multiple hierarchies.

How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't need an entry in the css set, since they have no state.

> * Usage :

- >
- > # mkdir /containers/freezer
- > # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer
- > # mkdir /containers/freezer/0
- > # echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks
- >
- > to get status of the freezer subsystem :
- >
- > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- > RUNNING
- >
- > to freeze all tasks in the container :
- >
- > # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze

- > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- > FREEZING
- > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- > FROZEN

Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a human-readable way?

Paul

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Matt Helsley on Fri, 04 Apr 2008 03:03:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 2008-04-03 at 16:49 -0700, Paul Menage wrote: > On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

- > >
- >> * "freezer.kill"
- > >
- >> writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks
- >>
- >

> My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a

- > signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it
- > shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called
- > "signal"?
- >
- > And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular
- > per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that
- > provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in
- > multiple hierarchies.
- >
- > How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could
- > potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't
- > need an entry in the css set, since they have no state.

This seems reasonable to me. A quick look at Cedric's patches suggests there's no need for such cgroup subsystems to be tied together -- the signalling is all done internally to the freeze_task(), refrigerator(), and thaw_process() functions from what I recall.

- > > * Usage :
- >>
- >> # mkdir /containers/freezer
- >> # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer
- >> # mkdir /containers/freezer/0
- >> # echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks
- >>
- >> to get status of the freezer subsystem :
- > >
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- >> RUNNING
- > >
- >> to freeze all tasks in the container :
- > >
- >> # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- >> FREEZING
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze
- >> FROZEN

>

- > Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's
- > a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one
- > called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a
- > "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a
- > human-readable way?

3 files seems like overkill. I think making them human-readable is good and can be done with two files: "state" (read-only) and "state-next" (read/write). Transitions between RUNNING and FROZEN are obvious when state-next != state. I think the advantages are it's pretty human-readable, you don't need separate strings and files for the transitions, it's clear what's about to happen (IMHO), and it only requires 2 files. Some examples:

To initiate freezing:

cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
RUNNING
echo "FROZEN" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
RUNNING
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
FROZEN
sleep N
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
FROZEN
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next

FROZEN

So to cancel freezing you might see something like:

cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
RUNNING
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
FROZEN
echo "RUNNING" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
RUNNING

If you wanted to know if a group was transitioning:

diff /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next

Or:

current=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state`

next=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next`

["\$current" != "\$next"] && echo "Transitioning"

["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "Freezing"

["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "Thawing"

["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "No-op"

["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] & echo "No-op"

etc.

Cheers, -Matt Helsley

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by serue on Fri, 04 Apr 2008 14:11:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Paul Menage (menage@google.com): > On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote: > > > > * "freezer.kill" > > > writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks > >

>

> My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a > signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it > shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called > "signal"? > > And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular > per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that > provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in > multiple hierarchies. > > How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could > potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't > need an entry in the css set, since they have no state. > > > * Usage : > > >> # mkdir /containers/freezer >> # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer >> # mkdir /containers/freezer/0 # echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks > > > > >> to get status of the freezer subsystem : > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze > > RUNNING > > > > >> to freeze all tasks in the container : > > >> # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >> FREEZING >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >> FROZEN > > Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's > a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one > called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a > "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a > human-readable way?

One thing Oren had mentioned for checkpoint/restart was having more states - i.e. restoring, checkpointing... So then (assuming we used this subsys for that) we'd have more than the two files. Which is probably fine, just wanted to point that out.

-serge

Containers mailing list

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Oren Laadan on Fri, 04 Apr 2008 15:56:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Matt Helsley wrote: > On Thu, 2008-04-03 at 16:49 -0700, Paul Menage wrote: >> On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote: >>> * "freezer.kill" >>> writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks >>> >>> >> My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a >> signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it >> shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called >> "signal"? >> >> And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular >> per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that >> provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in >> multiple hierarchies. >> >> How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could >> potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't >> need an entry in the css set, since they have no state. > > This seems reasonable to me. A quick look at Cedric's patches suggests > there's no need for such cgroup subsystems to be tied together -- the > signalling is all done internally to the freeze task(), refrigerator(), > and thaw_process() functions from what I recall. > >>> * Usage : >>> # mkdir /containers/freezer >>> # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer >>> # mkdir /containers/freezer/0 >>> # echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks >>> >>> >>> to get status of the freezer subsystem : >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>> RUNNING >>> >>> >>> to freeze all tasks in the container :

>>>

>>> # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>> >>> FREEZING >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>> FROZEN >> Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's >> a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one >> called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a >> "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a >> human-readable way? > > 3 files seems like overkill. I think making them human-readable is good > and can be done with two files: "state" (read-only) and > "state-next" (read/write). Transitions between RUNNING and FROZEN are > obvious when state-next != state. I think the advantages are it's pretty > human-readable, you don't need separate strings and files for the > transitions, it's clear what's about to happen (IMHO), and it only > requires 2 files. Some examples: > > To initiate freezing: > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state > RUNNING > # echo "FROZEN" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state > RUNNING > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > FROZEN > # sleep N > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state > FROZEN > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > FROZEN > > So to cancel freezing you might see something like: > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state > RUNNING > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > FROZEN > # echo "RUNNING" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next > RUNNING > > If you wanted to know if a group was transitioning: > > # diff /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >

> Or:

> # current=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state`

> # next=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next`

> # ["\$current" != "\$next"] && echo "Transitioning"

```
> # [ "$current" == "RUNNING" -a "$next" == "FROZEN" ] && echo "Freezing"
```

```
> # [ "$current" == "FROZEN" -a "$next" == "RUNNING" ] && echo "Thawing"
```

> # ["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "No-op"

> # ["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "No-op"

First, I totally agree with Serge's comment (oh well, it's about my own suggestion, so I must) - for checkpoint/restart we'll need more states if we are to use the same subsystem.

Second, my gut feeling is that a single, atomic operation to get the status is preferred over multiple (non-atomic) operations. In other words, I suggest a single state file instead of two. You can encode every possible transition in a single state. It's not that the kernel doesn't know what's going on inside, so it can just as well report it directly. I don't see the benefit of using two files.

Oren.

>

> etc.

>

> Cheers,

```
> -Matt Helsley
```

>

- > Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Matt Helsley on Fri, 04 Apr 2008 22:27:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 2008-04-04 at 11:56 -0400, Oren Laadan wrote:

>

> Matt Helsley wrote:

> > On Thu, 2008-04-03 at 16:49 -0700, Paul Menage wrote:

> >> On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>>>> * "freezer.kill"

> >>> writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks > >>> > >>> > >> My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a > >> signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it > >> shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called > >> "signal"? > >> > >> And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular > >> per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that > >> provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in > >> multiple hierarchies. > >> > >> How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could > >> potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't > >> need an entry in the css set, since they have no state. > > > This seems reasonable to me. A quick look at Cedric's patches suggests > > there's no need for such caroup subsystems to be tied together -- the > signalling is all done internally to the freeze_task(), refrigerator(), > > and thaw process() functions from what I recall. > > >>>> * Usage : > >>> >>>> # mkdir /containers/freezer >>>> # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer >>>> # mkdir /containers/freezer/0 >>>> # echo \$some pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks > >>> >>>> to get status of the freezer subsystem : > >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze > >>> RUNNING > >>> > >>> >>>> to freeze all tasks in the container : > >>> >>>> # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> FREEZING >>>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> FROZEN > >> Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's >>> a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one > >> called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a >> "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a > >> human-readable way? > > > > 3 files seems like overkill. I think making them human-readable is good

- > > and can be done with two files: "state" (read-only) and
- > > "state-next" (read/write). Transitions between RUNNING and FROZEN are
- > > obvious when state-next != state. I think the advantages are it's pretty
- > > human-readable, you don't need separate strings and files for the
- > > transitions, it's clear what's about to happen (IMHO), and it only
- > > requires 2 files. Some examples:
- >>
- > > To initiate freezing:
- >>
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
- > > RUNNING
- >> # echo "FROZEN" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
- > > RUNNING
- > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- > > FROZEN
- > > # sleep N
- > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
- > > FROZEN
- > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- > > FROZEN
- > >
- > > So to cancel freezing you might see something like:
- > >
- > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state
- > > RUNNING
- > > # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- > > FROZEN
- >> # echo "RUNNING" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- >> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- > > RUNNING
- >>
- > > If you wanted to know if a group was transitioning:
- > >
- > > # diff /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next
- > >
- > > Or:
- >> # current=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state`
- >> # next=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next`
- >> # ["\$current" != "\$next"] && echo "Transitioning"
- >> # ["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "Freezing"
- >> # ["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "Thawing"
- >> # ["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "No-op"
- >> # ["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "No-op"
- >
- > First, I totally agree with Serge's comment (oh well, it's about my
- > own suggestion, so I must) for checkpoint/restart we'll need more
- > states if we are to use the same subsystem.

I don't have an upper limit on how many more states we will need and I think that number impacts the interface significantly. Can you give us an estimate?

> Second, my gut feeling is that a single, atomic operation to get the
 > status is preferred over multiple (non-atomic) operations. In other
 > words, I suggest a single state file instead of two. You can encode

> every possible transition in a single state. It's not that the kernel

If the transitions are to be human-readable and there are more than a small number of states it may not be desirable to encode transitions as states. Paul's reason for suggesting the additional file(s), as best I could tell, was to keep the interface human-readable.

Cheers, -Matt Helsley

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Oren Laadan on Sat, 05 Apr 2008 00:30:33 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Matt Helsley wrote: > On Fri, 2008-04-04 at 11:56 -0400, Oren Laadan wrote: >> Matt Helsley wrote: >>> On Thu, 2008-04-03 at 16:49 -0700, Paul Menage wrote: >>>> On Thu, Apr 3, 2008 at 2:03 PM, <matthltc@us.ibm.com> wrote: >>>> * "freezer.kill" >>>>> writing <n> will send signal number <n> to all tasks >>>>> >>>>> >>>> My first thought (not having looked at the code yet) is that sending a >>>> signal doesn't really have anything to do with freezing, so it >>> shouldn't be in the same subsystem. Maybe a separate subsystem called >>>> "signal"? >>>> >>>> And more than that, it's not something that requires any particular >>>> per-process state, so there's no reason that the subsystem that >>>> provides the "kill" functionality shouldn't be able to be mounted in >>>> multiple hierarchies. >>>>

>>>> How about if I added support for stateless subsystems, that could >>>> potentially be mounted in multiple hierarchies at once? They wouldn't >>>> need an entry in the css set, since they have no state. >>> This seems reasonable to me. A quick look at Cedric's patches suggests >>> there's no need for such cgroup subsystems to be tied together -- the >>> signalling is all done internally to the freeze_task(), refrigerator(), >>> and thaw_process() functions from what I recall. >>> >>>> * Usage : >>>>> >>>> # mkdir /containers/freezer >>>> # mount -t container -ofreezer freezer /containers/freezer >>>> # mkdir /containers/freezer/0 >>>> # echo \$some_pid > /containers/freezer/0/tasks >>>>> >>>> to get status of the freezer subsystem : >>>>> >>>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> RUNNING >>>>> >>>>> to freeze all tasks in the container : >>>>> >>>> # echo 1 > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> FREEZING >>>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.freeze >>>> FROZEN >>>> Could we separate this out into two files? One called "freeze" that's >>>> a 0/1 for whether we're intending to freeze the subsystem, and one >>>> called "frozen" that indicates whether it is frozen? And maybe a >>>> "state" file to report the RUNNING/FREEZING/FROZEN distinction in a >>>> human-readable way? >>> 3 files seems like overkill. I think making them human-readable is good >>> and can be done with two files: "state" (read-only) and >>> "state-next" (read/write). Transitions between RUNNING and FROZEN are >>> obvious when state-next != state. I think the advantages are it's pretty >>> human-readable, you don't need separate strings and files for the >>> transitions, it's clear what's about to happen (IMHO), and it only >>> requires 2 files. Some examples: >>> >>> To initiate freezing: >>> >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state >>> RUNNING >>> # echo "FROZEN" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state >>> RUNNING >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next

>>> FROZEN >>> # sleep N >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state >>> FROZEN >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> FROZEN >>> >>> So to cancel freezing you might see something like: >>> >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state >>> RUNNING >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> FROZEN >>> # echo "RUNNING" > /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> # cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> RUNNING >>> >>> If you wanted to know if a group was transitioning: >>> >>> # diff /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next >>> >>> Or: >>> # current=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state` >>> # next=`cat /containers/freezer/0/freezer.state-next` >>> # ["\$current" != "\$next"] && echo "Transitioning" >>> # ["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "Freezing" >>> # ["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "Thawing" >>> # ["\$current" == "RUNNING" -a "\$next" == "RUNNING"] && echo "No-op" >>> # ["\$current" == "FROZEN" -a "\$next" == "FROZEN"] && echo "No-op" >> First, I totally agree with Serge's comment (oh well, it's about my >> own suggestion, so I must) - for checkpoint/restart we'll need more >> states if we are to use the same subsystem. > > I don't have an upper limit on how many more states we will need and I > think that number impacts the interface significantly. Can you give us > an estimate? In Zap there are (using the current terminology): **RUNNING** - running FREEZING - transition to FROZEN **FROZEN** - frozen **THAWING - transition to RUNNING** CKPTING - being checkpointed (needs special semantics* for some ops) RSTRTING - being restarted (may need special semantics* for some ops) ABORTING - transition to DEAD (mainly when aborting a failed restart) DEAD - dead (but not fully cleaned up yet)

There is also "SPECIAL" in which some operations are not allowed;

this simplifies dealing with a bunch of races related to checkpoint/ restart, but I'm not sure it's a must. If anything, it only stays for very short times (like an uninterruptible sleep) saying "don't mess with this container now, it's busy".

I have very good justifications for almost all the states, a good reasoning for DEAD, and a case for SPECIAL (although there may be a way to do without it).

Despite the "many" states, there are very few transitions: CKPTING can only be reached from- and changed to- FROZEN. A similar rule holds for RSTRTING. ABORTING is reached from RSTRTING, and leads to DEAD. The only one I don't cover is reaching DEAD from any other state (except SPECIAL) but I never saw a reason to explicitly encode that. Something like this (without SPECIAL):

-> FREEZING -> <-> CKPTING RUNNING FROZEN <- THAWING <- <-> RSTRTING -> ABORTING -> DEAD

Out of curiosity - why does the number of states impact the interface so much ?

>

>> Second, my gut feeling is that a single, atomic operation to get the >> status is preferred over multiple (non-atomic) operations. In other >> words, I suggest a single state file instead of two. You can encode >> every possible transition in a single state. It's not that the kernel >

If the transitions are to be human-readable and there are more than a
 small number of states it may not be desirable to encode transitions as
 states. Paul's reason for suggesting the additional file(s), as best I
 could tell, was to keep the interface human-readable.

The scheme above has very few transitions. Whatever be the final scheme, I would prefer not to have many possible transition (the full matrix). It probably isn't necessary either.

The main idea behind limiting the transitions above, is that checkpoint requires to first freeze the container to be able to capture a consistent view of the state of its processes; that means, for example, that we also would like to prevent signals from being delivered to tasks in a frozen state (if you do want to signal - thaw it first).

There is also the issue of a pre-checkpoint (a.k.a live migration) where significant state (mainly memory) of the container is recorded while the container is still running, and when it's finally frozen little state remains to be saved, reducing the application downtime. I didn't see a

need for a special state for this case; instead Zap uses a status flag that belongs to the container.

Oren.

>

- > Cheers,
- > -Matt Helsley
- >
- >

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Matt Helsley on Sat, 05 Apr 2008 00:54:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 2008-04-04 at 20:30 -0400, Oren Laadan wrote:

>

> Matt Helsley wrote:

<snip>

- >> I don't have an upper limit on how many more states we will need and I
- > > think that number impacts the interface significantly. Can you give us
- > > an estimate?
- >
- > In Zap there are (using the current terminology):
- > RUNNING running
- > FREEZING transition to FROZEN
- > FROZEN frozen
- > THAWING transition to RUNNING
- > CKPTING being checkpointed (needs special semantics* for some ops)
- > RSTRTING being restarted (may need special semantics* for some ops)
- > ABORTING transition to DEAD (mainly when aborting a failed restart)
- > DEAD dead (but not fully cleaned up yet)

>

- > There is also "SPECIAL" in which some operations are not allowed;
- > this simplifies dealing with a bunch of races related to checkpoint/
- > restart, but I'm not sure it's a must. If anything, it only stays
- > for very short times (like an uninterruptible sleep) saying "don't
- > mess with this container now, it's busy".

>

> I have very good justifications for almost all the states, a good

> reasoning for DEAD, and a case for SPECIAL (although there may be > a way to do without it). > > Despite the "many" states, there are very few transitions: CKPTING > can only be reached from- and changed to- FROZEN. A similar rule holds > for RSTRTING. ABORTING is reached from RSTRTING, and leads to DEAD. > The only one I don't cover is reaching DEAD from any other state > (except SPECIAL) but I never saw a reason to explicitly encode that. > Something like this (without SPECIAL): > <-> CKPTING -> FREEZING -> > > RUNNING FROZEN <- THAWING <-<-> RSTRTING -> ABORTING -> DEAD > > > Out of curiosity - why does the number of states impact the interface

> so much ?

Only that, if you're trying to keep the interface human readable, then having too many names for things (states and transitions) can make the interface less than intuitive. Doesn't look like that's an issue for the above state machine though so I think you're right -- a single file with transitions encoded as states seems best.

> >

>>> Second, my gut feeling is that a single, atomic operation to get the >>> status is preferred over multiple (non-atomic) operations. In other >>> words, I suggest a single state file instead of two. You can encode >>> every possible transition in a single state. It's not that the kernel >>

> If the transitions are to be human-readable and there are more than a
 > small number of states it may not be desirable to encode transitions as
 > states. Paul's reason for suggesting the additional file(s), as best I
 > could tell, was to keep the interface human-readable.

The scheme above has very few transitions. Whatever be the final scheme,
 I would prefer not to have many possible transition (the full matrix).

It probably isn't necessary either.

>

> The main idea behind limiting the transitions above, is that checkpoint
> requires to first freeze the container to be able to capture a consistent
> view of the state of its processes; that means, for example, that we also
> would like to prevent signals from being delivered to tasks in a frozen
> state (if you do want to signal - thaw it first).

>

There is also the issue of a pre-checkpoint (a.k.a live migration) where
 significant state (mainly memory) of the container is recorded while the
 container is still running, and when it's finally frozen little state

> remains to be saved, reducing the application downtime. I didn't see a

> need for a special state for this case; instead Zap uses a status flag

- > that belongs to the container.
- >

> Oren.

Thanks for the explanation of the states.

Cheers,

-Matt Helsley

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer: Reuse Suspend Freezer Posted by Pavel Machek on Fri, 11 Apr 2008 11:49:22 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi!

> NOTE: Due to problems with my MTA configuration two earlier attempts reached linux-pm

> but not linux-kernel. Please cc linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org on replies.

>

- > This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and
- > the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks. I've merely taken Cedric's
- > patches, forward-ported them to 2.6.25-rc8-mm1 and done a small amount of

> testing.

Okay, freezer probably does what you want, but be warned that Linus is not exactly in love with freezer. You probably can get away with using it for user processes, but maybe you should drop him the line saying you want to expand freezer usage and see what happens.

Pavel

(english) http://www.livejournal.com/~pavelmachek (cesky, pictures) http://atrey.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~pavel/picture/horses/blog.html

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 1/4] Container Freezer: Add TIF_FREEZE flag to all architectures Posted by Pavel Machek on Fri, 11 Apr 2008 11:49:30 GMT

On Thu 2008-04-03 14:03:17, matthltc@us.ibm.com wrote:

- > This patch is the first step in making the refrigerator() available
- > to all architectures, even for those without power management.

>

- > The purpose of such a change is to be able to use the refrigerator()
- > in a new control group subsystem which will implement a control group
- > freezer.

>

- > Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
- > Signed-off-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com>
- > Tested-by: Matt Helsley <matthltc@us.ibm.com>
- > Cc: linux-pm@lists.linux-foundation.org

ACK.

Pavel

--

(english) http://www.livejournal.com/~pavelmachek (cesky, pictures) http://atrey.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~pavel/picture/horses/blog.html

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 3/4] Container Freezer: Implement freezer cgroup subsystem Posted by Pavel Machek on Fri, 11 Apr 2008 11:49:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi!

- > This patch implements a new freezer subsystem for Paul Menage's
- > control groups framework. It provides a way to stop and resume
- > execution of all tasks in a cgroup by writing in the cgroup

> filesystem.

>

- > This is the basic mechanism which should do the right thing for
- > user space tasks in a simple scenario. This will require more work
- > to get the freezing right (cf. try_to_freeze_tasks()) for ptraced > tasks.
- > tasks.

> --- /dev/null

- > +++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/include/linux/cgroup_freezer.h
- > @ @ -0,0 +1,57 @ @
- > +#ifndef _LINUX_CGROUP_FREEZER_H
- > +#define _LINUX_CGROUP_FREEZER_H

> +/*
> + * cgroup_freezer.h - control group freezer subsystem interface
> + *
> + * Copyright IBM Corp. 2007
> + *
> + * Author : Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
> + */

If you have copyright, add GPL.

> --- /dev/null
> +++ linux-2.6.25-rc8-mm1/kernel/cgroup_freezer.c
> @ @ -0,0 +1,280 @ @
> +/*
> + * cgroup_freezer.c - control group freezer subsystem
> + *
> + * Copyright IBM Corp. 2007
> + *
> + * Author : Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
> + */

Same here.

```
>+static struct cgroup_subsys_state *freezer_create(
>+ struct cgroup_subsys *ss, struct cgroup *cgroup)
>+{
```

Function headers are somehow non-traditional.

```
struct freezer *freezer;
+
+
+
     if (!capable(CAP_SYS_ADMIN))
          return ERR_PTR(-EPERM);
+
+
     freezer = kzalloc(sizeof(struct freezer), GFP_KERNEL);
+
     if (!freezer)
+
+
          return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
     spin_lock_init(&freezer->lock);
+
     freezer->state = STATE RUNNING;
+
     return &freezer->css;
+
+}
```

```
One space too many after "return" :-).
```

Hmm, returning pointer inside struct freezer is rather ugly, right? Could you just pass struct freezer around? Pavel (english) http://www.livejournal.com/~pavelmachek (cesky, pictures) http://atrey.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~pavel/picture/horses/blog.html

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

