
Subject: bringing up CTs with duplicate IP addresses
Posted by [swindmill](#) on Wed, 02 Apr 2008 15:26:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

It has come to my attention that if the mistake is made to attempt to bring up a CT with an IP address that is already being used elsewhere the following message is displayed:

```
Adding IP address(es): x.x.x.x  
arp send: x.x.x.x is detected on another computer : 00:MA:CA:DD:RE:SS  
vps-net_add WARNING: arp send -c 1 -w 1 -D -e x.x.x.x eth0 FAILED
```

The CT is then started, and the IP address in question is still assigned.

The network is available from inside of the newly started CT, but obviously the external network is confused.

Should vzctl instead fail and not start the CT if this error is detected?

```
vzctl version 3.0.22  
2.6.18-53.1.6.el5.028stab053.6
```

Subject: Re: bringing up CTs with duplicate IP addresses
Posted by [TheWiseOne](#) on Wed, 02 Apr 2008 15:33:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I notice this same bug.

```
]# rpm -q vzctl ovzkernel  
vzctl-3.0.16-1  
ovzkernel-2.6.18-53.1.6.el5.028stab053.6
```

Subject: Re: bringing up CTs with duplicate IP addresses
Posted by [TheWiseOne](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2008 13:47:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Was a bug report ever opened for this?

Subject: Re: bringing up CTs with duplicate IP addresses
Posted by [maratrus](#) on Wed, 09 Apr 2008 07:31:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

vzctl generates only warning to be closer to common Linux machine behavior. If you have a common computer and assign on this machine an IP address which intersects with some another on the external node your machine won't refuse to start. The same way with CT behavior.

P.S. But it's impossible to assign the equal IP to different VE's on the same HN because kernel prohibits to do this.

Subject: Re: bringing up CTs with duplicate IP addresses
Posted by [TheWiseOne](#) on Wed, 09 Apr 2008 14:55:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This is actually a good idea for failover scenarios...
