Subject: OpenVZ is better than Xen
Posted by John Kelly on Sat, 13 May 2006 01:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

For my needs, OpenVZ is better than Xen. The one-kernel approach conserves memory, leaving more for applications. And having all VPS in one disk partition saves disk space.

A surprise bonus was the template cache management with yum. The ease of keeping templates updated and quickly installing new operating environments is yummy!

I never had much interest in Fedora, but I'm converted now. I'll use Fedora just to have OpenVZ.

I wish the developers much success getting their kernel patches into Linus tree.

Subject: Re: OpenVZ is better than Xen
Posted by RapidVPS on Sat, 13 May 2006 05:38:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi John, I agree with you very much. I would say for enterprise use where cost/efficiency is not a factor, Xen has an edge over VZ. However for a service provider or other situation where CPU/RAM/DISK resources are shared among environments to ensure profitability/efficiency, openvz is far superior. Also, VZ is much simpler to use, and all of the command line utilities are well documented.

Rick

Subject: Re: OpenVZ is better than Xen
Posted by John Kelly on Sun, 14 May 2006 22:51:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Xen is nice if you need multiple kernels, or maybe Linux and NetBSD on one box. But I only need multiple secure operating environments, not multiple kernels. I don't have unlimited memory and disk, so I need to conserve my resources as much as possible.