

---

Subject: 2.6.16 patch  
Posted by [John Kelly](#) on Sat, 06 May 2006 19:06:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello all,

patch-026test009-combined does not apply cleanly to 2.6.16.14, but does to 2.6.16.

The date on the patch file is April 20, so presumably it does not have all the -stable updates. Does anyone know which ones are missing?

I suppose I could find out the hard way, by attempting to apply each one, but if someone else already knows ...

---

---

Subject: Re: 2.6.16 patch  
Posted by [dev](#) on Sun, 07 May 2006 11:02:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

026test009 kernel includes mainstream patches up to 2.6.16.8. What is inside our kernels you can check on git (<http://git.openvz.org>). On Git we already have more recent 026test011 kernel which will be released soon.

If you care for security, I must note that 026test009 has lack for these 2 patches only:

[PATCH] smbfs chroot issue (CVE-2006-1864)

[PATCH] i386/x86-64: Fix x87 information leak between processes (CVE-2006-1056)

The first one is relevant only if you do chroot on smbfs, which is unlikely :) The second one is minor and is a small information leak (1 bit from FPU AFAIR).

So you need to apply a patch 2.6.16.8 -> 2.6.16.14 if you want.

Kirill

John Kelly wrote:

> Hello all,

>

> patch-026test009-combined does not apply cleanly to 2.6.16.14, but  
> does to 2.6.16.

>

> The date on the patch file is April 20, so presumably it does not have  
> all the -stable updates. Does anyone know which ones are missing?

>

> I suppose I could find out the hard way, by attempting to apply each

> one, but if someone else already knows ...

>

>

---