Subject: [PATCH 2/2] extend clone\_flags using parent\_tidptr argument Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 17:27:09 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

We have at least 2 patchsets requiring each a new clone flag and there it is, we've reached the limit, none are left.

This patch uses the CLONE\_DETACHED flag (unused) as a marker to extend the clone flags through the parent\_tidptr argument.

Initially, we thought on using the last bit but it has recently been taken by CLONE\_IO.

Obviously, this hack doesn't work for unshare() for which I don't see any other solution than to add a new syscall :

```
long sys_unshare64(unsigned long clone_flags_high,
    unsigned long clone_flags_low);
```

Is this the right path to extend the clone flags ? should we add a clone64() rather than hack the extending clone() ?

Thanks for any comments !

C.

---

Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

include/linux/sched.h | 1 + kernel/fork.c | 14 +++++++++++-2 files changed, 14 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

Index: 2.6.24-mm1/include/linux/sched.h

\_\_\_\_\_

--- 2.6.24-mm1.orig/include/linux/sched.h +++ 2.6.24-mm1/include/linux/sched.h @ @ -28,6 +28,7 @ @ #define CLONE\_NEWPID 0x20000000 /\* New pid namespace \*/ #define CLONE\_NEWNET 0x40000000 /\* New network namespace \*/ #define CLONE\_IO 0x80000000 /\* Clone io context \*/ +#define CLONE\_EXTFLAGS CLONE\_DETACHED /\* use parent\_tidptr as an extended set of flags \*/

/\*

\* Scheduling policies Index: 2.6.24-mm1/kernel/fork.c --- 2.6.24-mm1.orig/kernel/fork.c +++ 2.6.24-mm1/kernel/fork.c @ @ -1012,6 +1012,14 @ @ static struct task\_struct \*copy\_process( struct task struct \*p: int cgroup\_callbacks\_done = 0; + /\* + \* It is not permitted to specify both CLONE\_EXTFLAGS and + \* CLONE PARENT SETTID + \*/ + if ((clone\_flags & (CLONE\_EXTFLAGS|CLONE\_PARENT\_SETTID)) == (CLONE\_EXTFLAGS|CLONE\_PARENT\_SETTID)) + return ERR\_PTR(-EINVAL); + if ((clone\_flags & (CLONE\_NEWNS|CLONE\_FS)) == (CLONE\_NEWNS|CLONE\_FS)) return ERR PTR(-EINVAL); @ @ -1455,6 +1463,7 @ @ long do fork(unsigned long clone flags, struct task struct \*p; int trace = 0;long nr: + u64 clone\_flags64 = clone\_flags; /\* \* We hope to recycle these flags after 2.6.26 @ @ -1479,7 +1488,10 @ @ long do fork(unsigned long clone flags, clone\_flags |= CLONE\_PTRACE; } - p = copy\_process(clone\_flags, stack\_start, regs, stack\_size, + if (clone\_flags & CLONE\_EXTFLAGS) + clone\_flags64 = ((u64) (uintptr\_t) parent\_tidptr << 32) | clone\_flags; + + p = copy\_process(clone\_flags64, stack\_start, regs, stack\_size, child tidptr, NULL); /\* \* Do this prior waking up the new thread - the thread pointer Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] extend clone\_flags using parent\_tidptr argument

Quoting Cedric Le Goater (legoater@free.fr):

> From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

>

> We have at least 2 patchsets requiring each a new clone flag and there it

> is, we've reached the limit, none are left.

> This patch uses the CLONE\_DETACHED flag (unused) as a marker to extend the

Are we pretty sure that there is no legacy software out there which has continued to specify CLONE\_DETACHED since the kernel ignores it?

> clone flags through the parent\_tidptr argument.

>

> Initially, we thought on using the last bit but it has recently been taken > by CLONE\_IO.

>

- > Obviously, this hack doesn't work for unshare() for which I don't see any> other solution than to add a new syscall :
- > long sys\_unshare64(unsigned long clone\_flags\_high, unsigned long > clone\_flags\_low);

>

- >
- >
- > Is this the right path to extend the clone flags ? should we add a
- > clone64() rather than hack the extending clone() ?
- > Thanks for any comments !
- >
- > C.

>

> Signed-off-by: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

> ----

- > include/linux/sched.h | 1 +
- > kernel/fork.c | 14 +++++++++++++
- > 2 files changed, 14 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

>

- > Index: 2.6.24-mm1/include/linux/sched.h

> --- 2.6.24-mm1.orig/include/linux/sched.h

- > +++ 2.6.24-mm1/include/linux/sched.h
- > @ @ -28,6 +28,7 @ @
- > #define CLONE\_NEWPID 0x20000000 /\* New pid namespace \*/

```
> #define CLONE_NEWNET 0x40000000 /* New network namespace */
```

```
> #define CLONE_IO 0x80000000 /* Clone io context */
```

```
> +#define CLONE_EXTFLAGS CLONE_DETACHED /* use parent_tidptr as an extended
> set of flags */
```

```
>
> /*
```

> \* Scheduling policies > Index: 2.6.24-mm1/kernel/fork.c > --- 2.6.24-mm1.orig/kernel/fork.c > +++ 2.6.24-mm1/kernel/fork.c > @ @ -1012,6 +1012,14 @ @ static struct task\_struct \*copy\_process( > struct task struct \*p; > int cgroup\_callbacks\_done = 0; > > + /\* > + \* It is not permitted to specify both CLONE\_EXTFLAGS and > + \* CLONE PARENT SETTID > + \*/ > + if ((clone\_flags & (CLONE\_EXTFLAGS|CLONE\_PARENT\_SETTID)) == (CLONE\_EXTFLAGS|CLONE\_PARENT\_SETTID)) > + > + return ERR\_PTR(-EINVAL); > + > if ((clone\_flags & (CLONE\_NEWNS|CLONE\_FS)) == (CLONE\_NEWNS|CLONE\_FS)) > return ERR PTR(-EINVAL); > > @ @ -1455,6 +1463,7 @ @ long do fork(unsigned long clone flags, > struct task struct \*p; > int trace = 0; > long nr; > + u64 clone\_flags64 = clone\_flags; > > /\* \* We hope to recycle these flags after 2.6.26 > > @ @ -1479,7 +1488,10 @ @ long do fork(unsigned long clone flags, clone\_flags |= CLONE\_PTRACE; > > } > > - p = copy\_process(clone\_flags, stack\_start, regs, stack\_size, > + if (clone\_flags & CLONE\_EXTFLAGS) > + clone\_flags64 = ((u64) (uintptr\_t) parent\_tidptr << 32) | clone\_flags;</p> > + > + p = copy\_process(clone\_flags64, stack\_start, regs, stack\_size, child tidptr, NULL); > > /\* \* Do this prior waking up the new thread - the thread pointer > > > Containers mailing list > Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org > https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] extend clone\_flags using parent\_tidptr argument Posted by akpm on Mon, 04 Feb 2008 21:38:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 4 Feb 2008 14:24:16 -0600 "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> Quoting Cedric Le Goater (legoater@free.fr):

> > From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>

> >

> > We have at least 2 patchsets requiring each a new clone flag and there it

>> is, we've reached the limit, none are left.

> This patch uses the CLONE\_DETACHED flag (unused) as a marker to extend the >

> Are we pretty sure that there is no legacy software out there which has

> continued to specify CLONE\_DETACHED since the kernel ignores it?

Please see -mm's clone-prepare-to-recycle-clone\_detached-and-clone\_stopped.patch

That patch has been cut back to only recycle CLONE\_STOPPED because there indeed was software out there which is setting CLONE\_DETACHED.

See http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-11/msg04293.html

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] extend clone\_flags using parent\_tidptr argument Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Tue, 05 Feb 2008 08:20:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andrew Morton wrote:

- > On Mon, 4 Feb 2008 14:24:16 -0600
- > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:
- >
- >> Quoting Cedric Le Goater (legoater@free.fr):
- >>> From: Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com>
- >>>

>>> We have at least 2 patchsets requiring each a new clone flag and there it >>> is, we've reached the limit, none are left.

>>> This patch uses the CLONE\_DETACHED flag (unused) as a marker to extend the

- >> Are we pretty sure that there is no legacy software out there which has
- >> continued to specify CLONE\_DETACHED since the kernel ignores it?

>

> Please see -mm's

> clone-prepare-to-recycle-clone\_detached-and-clone\_stopped.patch

>

> That patch has been cut back to only recycle CLONE\_STOPPED because there

> indeed was software out there which is setting CLONE\_DETACHED.

>

> See http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-11/msg04293.html

bummer, I used the wrong one :/

Thanks,

C.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 6 of 6 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum