Subject: Extending syscalls (was: [PATCH 1/2] Extend sys\_clone and sys\_unshare system calls API) Posted by corbet on Thu, 17 Jan 2008 15:02:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Al Viro sez:

- > Nah, just put an XML parser into the kernel to have the form match the > contents...
- >
- > AI "perhaps we should newgroup alt.tasteless.api for all that stuff" Viro

Heh, indeed. But we do seem to have a recurring problem of people wanting to extend sys\_foo() beyond the confines of its original API. I've observed a few ways of doing that:

- create sys\_foo2() (or sys\_foo64(), or sys\_fooat(), or sys\_pfoo(), or...) and add the new stuff there.
- Put a version number into the API somewhere wireless extensions, for example.
- Set a flag saying "I've stashed some additional parameters somewhere else." That's sys\_indirect() and the current proposal for extending clone().
- Just do it all with a kernel-based XML parser. I think we should call this approach sys\_viro() in honor of its champion.
- Do it all in sysfs

The first approach has traditionally been the most popular. If we have a consensus that this is the way to extend system calls in the future, it would be nice to set that down somewhere. We could avoid a lot of API blind alleys that way.

jon

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers