
Subject: Samba core dump after live migration
Posted by [Jeff Blasius](#) on Tue, 22 Jan 2008 18:46:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Users,

I find that after live migrating a container samba refuses to accept new connections (existing connections continue to work properly). I traced it back to this message in the logs which says "
tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has changed!" . Of course the inode changed, but does it need to panic...
Is there a workaround?

I'd be willing to bet someone has come across this before, but I couldn't find an answer on the wiki or the list archives.

Centos 5
samba-3.0.25b-1.el5_1.4
vzctl-3.0.18-1
Thanks,
jeff

```
[2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util_tdb.c:tdb_log(662)
tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has changed!
[2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] smbd/server.c:open_sockets_smbd(572)
tdb_reopen_all failed.
[2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:smb_panic(1654)
PANIC (pid 22099): tdb_reopen_all failed.
[2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:log_stack_trace(1758)
BACKTRACE: 6 stack frames:
#0 smbd(log_stack_trace+0x1c) [0x555555776ffc]
#1 smbd(smb_panic+0x43) [0x5555557770e3]
#2 smbd [0x55555582ac3a]
#3 smbd(main+0x710) [0x55555582b370]
#4 /lib64/libc.so.6(__libc_start_main+0xf4) [0x2aaaad3818a4]
#5 smbd [0x5555555bbfe9]
[2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/fault.c:dump_core(181)
dumping core in /var/log/samba/cores/smbd
```

--

Jeff Blasius / jeff.blasius@yale.edu
Phone: (203)432-9940 51 Prospect Rm. 011
High Performance Computing (HPC)
UNIX Systems Administrator, Linux Systems Design & Support (LSDS)
Yale University Information Technology Services (ITS)

Subject: Re: Samba core dump after live migration
Posted by [dev](#) on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 09:33:44 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Looks like samba tries to be too smart :/

The only thing which can help to it - clustered file system like GFS which won't change inode numbers across nodes... As another option - VE in a file (via loopbacks) which we plan to implement in nearest future.

Thanks,
Kirill

Jeff Blasius wrote:

```
> Dear Users,  
> I find that after live migrating a container samba refuses to accept  
> new connections (existing connections continue to work properly). I  
> traced it back to this message in the logs which says "  
> tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has  
> changed!" . Of course the inode changed, but does it need to panic...  
> Is there a workaround?  
>  
> I'd be willing to bet someone has come across this before, but I  
> couldn't find an answer on the wiki or the list archives.  
>  
> Centos 5  
> samba-3.0.25b-1.el5_1.4  
> vzctl-3.0.18-1  
> Thanks,  
>      jeff  
>  
> [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util_tdb.c:tdb_log(662)  
>   tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has changed!  
> [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] smbd/server.c:open_sockets_smbd(572)  
>   tdb_reopen_all failed.  
> [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:smb_panic(1654)  
>   PANIC (pid 22099): tdb_reopen_all failed.  
> [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:log_stack_trace(1758)  
>   BACKTRACE: 6 stack frames:  
>   #0 smbd(log_stack_trace+0x1c) [0x555555776ffc]  
>   #1 smbd(smb_panic+0x43) [0x5555557770e3]  
>   #2 smbd [0x55555582ac3a]  
>   #3 smbd(main+0x710) [0x55555582b370]  
>   #4 /lib64/libc.so.6(__libc_start_main+0xf4) [0x2aaaad3818a4]  
>   #5 smbd [0x5555555bbfe9]  
> [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/fault.c:dump_core(181)  
>   dumping core in /var/log/samba/cores/smbd  
>
```

>

Subject: Re: Samba core dump after live migration
Posted by [Jeff Blasius](#) on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 19:11:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Kirill,
Thanks for the response. This must be windows fault in some way :).

I will see if there's a way around it from the samba folks. If I get anything constructive I'll report it back here.

-jeff

On Jan 23, 2008 4:33 AM, Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> wrote:

> Looks like samba tries to be too smart :/

> The only thing which can help to it - clustered file system like GFS which won't change inode numbers

> across nodes... As another option - VE in a file (via loopbacks) which we plan to implement in nearest future.

>

> Thanks,

> Kirill

>

>

>

> Jeff Blasius wrote:

> > Dear Users,

> > I find that after live migrating a container samba refuses to accept

> > new connections (existing connections continue to work properly). I

> > traced it back to this message in the logs which says "

> > tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has

> > changed!" . Of course the inode changed, but does it need to panic...

> > Is there a workaround?

> >

> > I'd be willing to bet someone has come across this before, but I

> > couldn't find an answer on the wiki or the list archives.

> >

> > Centos 5

> > samba-3.0.25b-1.el5_1.4

> > vzctl-3.0.18-1

> > Thanks,

> > jeff

> >

> > [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util_tdb.c:tdb_log(662)

> > tdb(/var/cache/samba/ntforms.tdb): tdb_reopen: file dev/inode has changed!

> > [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] smbd/server.c:open_sockets_smbd(572)

> > tdb_reopen_all failed.

```
> > [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:smb_panic(1654)
> > PANIC (pid 22099): tdb_reopen_all failed.
> > [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/util.c:log_stack_trace(1758)
> > BACKTRACE: 6 stack frames:
> > #0 smbd(log_stack_trace+0x1c) [0x555555776ffc]
> > #1 smbd(smb_panic+0x43) [0x5555557770e3]
> > #2 smbd [0x55555582ac3a]
> > #3 smbd(main+0x710) [0x55555582b370]
> > #4 /lib64/libc.so.6(__libc_start_main+0xf4) [0x2aaaad3818a4]
> > #5 smbd [0x5555555bbfe9]
> > [2008/01/22 11:53:46, 0] lib/fault.c:dump_core(181)
> > dumping core in /var/log/samba/cores/smbd
> >
> >
>
```

--

Jeff Blasius / jeff.blasius@yale.edu
Phone: (203)432-9940 51 Prospect Rm. 011
High Performance Computing (HPC)
UNIX Systems Administrator, Linux Systems Design & Support (LSDS)
Yale University Information Technology Services (ITS)

Subject: AW: Samba core dump after live migration
Posted by [Dietmar Maurer](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 06:55:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> won't change inode numbers across nodes... As another option
> - VE in a file (via loopbacks) which we plan to implement in
> nearest future.

VE in a file sounds interesting - what is the reasoning about that
(advantages, usage scenarios)?

- Dietmar
