
Subject: [PATCH 1/2 net-2.6.25] [IPV4] Remove extra argument from arp_ignore.
Posted by [den](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 14:04:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

arp_ignore has two arguments: dev & in_dev. dev is used for inet_confirm_addr calling only.

inet_confirm_addr, in turn, either gets in_dev from the device passed or iterates over all network devices if the device passed is NULL. It seems logical to directly pass in_dev into inet_confirm_addr.

Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>

```
---
include/linux/inetdevice.h | 2 +-
net/ipv4/arp.c             | 11 +++++-----
net/ipv4/devinet.c         | 17 ++++++-----
3 files changed, 12 insertions(+), 18 deletions(-)

diff --git a/include/linux/inetdevice.h b/include/linux/inetdevice.h
index b3c5081..45f3731 100644
--- a/include/linux/inetdevice.h
+++ b/include/linux/inetdevice.h
@@ -135,7 +135,7 @@ extern int devinet_ioctl(unsigned int cmd, void __user *);
extern void devinet_init(void);
extern struct in_device *inetdev_by_index(int);
extern __be32 inet_select_addr(const struct net_device *dev, __be32 dst, int scope);
-extern __be32 inet_confirm_addr(const struct net_device *dev, __be32 dst, __be32 local, int
scope);
+extern __be32 inet_confirm_addr(struct in_device *in_dev, __be32 dst, __be32 local, int scope);
extern struct in_ifaddr *inet_ifa_byprefix(struct in_device *in_dev, __be32 prefix, __be32 mask);

static __inline__ int inet_ifa_match(__be32 addr, struct in_ifaddr *ifa)
diff --git a/net/ipv4/arp.c b/net/ipv4/arp.c
index e944d98..f38e1a9 100644
--- a/net/ipv4/arp.c
+++ b/net/ipv4/arp.c
@@ -382,8 +382,7 @@ static void arp_solicit(struct neighbour *neigh, struct sk_buff *skb)
    read_unlock_bh(&neigh->lock);
}

-static int arp_ignore(struct in_device *in_dev, struct net_device *dev,
-    __be32 sip, __be32 tip)
+static int arp_ignore(struct in_device *in_dev, __be32 sip, __be32 tip)
{
    int scope;

@@ -403,7 +402,7 @@ static int arp_ignore(struct in_device *in_dev, struct net_device *dev,
    case 3: /* Do not reply for scope host addresses */
```

```

    sip = 0;
    scope = RT_SCOPE_LINK;
-   dev = NULL;
+   in_dev = NULL;
    break;
    case 4: /* Reserved */
    case 5:
@@ -415,7 +414,7 @@ static int arp_ignore(struct in_device *in_dev, struct net_device *dev,
    default:
        return 0;
    }
-   return !inet_confirm_addr(dev, sip, tip, scope);
+   return !inet_confirm_addr(in_dev, sip, tip, scope);
}

static int arp_filter(__be32 sip, __be32 tip, struct net_device *dev)
@@ -807,7 +806,7 @@ static int arp_process(struct sk_buff *skb)
    if (sip == 0) {
        if (arp->ar_op == htons(ARPOP_REQUEST) &&
            inet_addr_type(&init_net, tip) == RTN_LOCAL &&
-           !arp_ignore(in_dev, dev, sip, tip))
+           !arp_ignore(in_dev, sip, tip))
            arp_send(ARPOP_REPLY, ETH_P_ARP, sip, dev, tip, sha,
                    dev->dev_addr, sha);
        goto out;
    }
@@ -825,7 +824,7 @@ static int arp_process(struct sk_buff *skb)
    int dont_send = 0;

    if (!dont_send)
-       dont_send |= arp_ignore(in_dev, dev, sip, tip);
+       dont_send |= arp_ignore(in_dev, sip, tip);
    if (!dont_send && IN_DEV_ARPFILTER(in_dev))
        dont_send |= arp_filter(sip, tip, dev);
    if (!dont_send)
diff --git a/net/ipv4/devinet.c b/net/ipv4/devinet.c
index 03db15b..dc1665a 100644
--- a/net/ipv4/devinet.c
+++ b/net/ipv4/devinet.c
@@ -968,24 +968,19 @@ static __be32 confirm_addr_indev(struct in_device *in_dev, __be32
dst,

/*
 * Confirm that local IP address exists using wildcards:
- * - dev: only on this interface, 0=any interface
+ * - in_dev: only on this interface, 0=any interface
 * - dst: only in the same subnet as dst, 0=any dst
 * - local: address, 0=autoselect the local address
 * - scope: maximum allowed scope value for the local address

```

```

*/
- __be32 inet_confirm_addr(const struct net_device *dev, __be32 dst, __be32 local, int scope)
+ __be32 inet_confirm_addr(struct in_device *in_dev,
+   __be32 dst, __be32 local, int scope)
{
    __be32 addr = 0;
- struct in_device *in_dev;
-
- if (dev) {
-     rcu_read_lock();
-     if ((in_dev = __in_dev_get_rcu(dev)))
-         addr = confirm_addr_indev(in_dev, dst, local, scope);
-     rcu_read_unlock();
+ struct net_device *dev;

- return addr;
- }
+ if (in_dev != NULL)
+     return confirm_addr_indev(in_dev, dst, local, scope);

    read_lock(&dev_base_lock);
    rcu_read_lock();
--
1.5.3.rc5

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2 net-2.6.25] [IPV4] Remove extra argument from arp_ignore.

Posted by [davem](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 14:37:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

This patch series is numbered, but your other patch series sent a few moments ago had no sequence numbers in the subject lines or changelog.

How can I know what order to apply those in and do they need to go in before or after this set?

I shouldn't have to ask questions like this, so please help avoid confusion of this nature in the future.

Thanks.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2 net-2.6.25] [IPV4] Remove extra argument from arp_ignore.

Posted by [den](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 14:43:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Miller wrote:

> This patch series is numbered, but your other patch series sent a few
> moments ago had no sequence numbers in the subject lines or changelog.
>
> How can I know what order to apply those in and do they need to go in
> before or after this set?
>
> I shouldn't have to ask questions like this, so please help avoid
> confusion of this nature in the future.
>
> Thanks.
>

non-numbered patches do not intersect with each other.

numbered ones depends on each other but not from the rest.

Sorry for inconvenience :(

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2 net-2.6.25] [IPV4] Remove extra argument from
arp_ignore.

Posted by [den](#) on Mon, 14 Jan 2008 14:49:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> David Miller wrote:
>> This patch series is numbered, but your other patch series sent a few
>> moments ago had no sequence numbers in the subject lines or changelog.
>>
>> How can I know what order to apply those in and do they need to go in
>> before or after this set?
>>
>> I shouldn't have to ask questions like this, so please help avoid
>> confusion of this nature in the future.
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>
> non-numbered patches do not intersect with each other.
>
> numbered ones depends on each other but not from the rest.
>
> Sorry for inconvenience :(

I'll resend them for you convenience. Sorry again, I forget that git
checks indexes and this can be a problem.
