Subject: [PATCH 1/2 2.6.25] netns: struct net content re-work (v3)
Posted by den on Tue, 11 Dec 2007 11:53:59 GMT

Recently David Miller and Herbert Xu pointed out that struct net becomes
overbloated and un-maintainable. There are two solutions:
- provide a pointer to a network subsystem definition from struct net.
  This costs an additional dereferrence
- place sub-system definition into the structure itself. This will speedup
  run-time access at the cost of recompilation time

The second approach looks better for us. Other sub-systems will follow.

Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>
Acked-by: Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com>
---
 include/net/net_namespace.h | 6 +++---
 include/net/netns/unix.h | 13 +++++++++++++
 net/unix/af_unix.c | 4 ++--
 net/unix/sysctl_net_unix.c | 12 ++++++------
 4 files changed, 24 insertions(+), 11 deletions(-)
 create mode 100644 include/net/netns/unix.h

diff --git a/include/net/net_namespace.h b/include/net/net_namespace.h
index b62e31f..d943fd4 100644
--- a/include/net/net_namespace.h
+++ b/include/net/net_namespace.h
@@ -8,6 +8,8 @@
 #include <linux/workqueue.h>
 #include <linux/list.h>

+#include <net/netns/unix.h>
+
 struct proc_dir_entry;
 struct net_device;
 struct sock;
@@ -45,9 +47,7 @@ struct net {
  rwlock_t packet_sklist_lock;
  struct hlist_head packet_sklist;

- /* unix sockets */
- int   sysctl_unix_max_dgram_qlen;
- struct ctl_table_header *unix_ctl;
+ struct netns_unix unx;
 };

 #ifdef CONFIG_NET
diff --git a/include/net/netns/unix.h b/include/net/netns/unix.h

```
new file mode 100644
index 0000000..284649d
--- /dev/null
+++ b/include/net/netns/unix.h
@@ -0,0 +1,13 @@
+/*
+ * Unix network namespace
+ */
+#ifndef __NETNS_UNIX_H__
+#define __NETNS_UNIX_H__
+
+struct ctl_table_header;
+struct netns_unix {
+ int   sysctl_max_dgram_qlen;
+ struct ctl_table_header *ctl;
+};
+
+#endif /* __NETNS_UNIX_H__ */
diff --git a/net/unix/af_unix.c b/net/unix/af_unix.c
index b8a2189..63a9239 100644
--- a/net/unix/af_unix.c
+++ b/net/unix/af_unix.c
@@ -592,7 +592,7 @@ static struct sock * unix_create1(struct net *net, struct socket *sock)
    &af_unix_sk_receive_queue_lock_key);

  sk->sk_write_space = unix_write_space;
- sk->sk_max_ack_backlog = net->sysctl_unix_max_dgram_qlen;
+ sk->sk_max_ack_backlog = net->unx.sysctl_max_dgram_qlen;
  sk->sk_destruct  = unix_sock_destructor;
  u   = unix_sk(sk);
  u->dentry = NULL;
@@ -2138,7 +2138,7 @@ static int unix_net_init(struct net *net)
 {
  int error = -ENOMEM;

- net->sysctl_unix_max_dgram_qlen = 10;
+ net->unx.sysctl_max_dgram_qlen = 10;
  if (unix_sysctl_register(net))
   goto out;

diff --git a/net/unix/sysctl_net_unix.c b/net/unix/sysctl_net_unix.c
index 553ef6a..77513d7 100644
--- a/net/unix/sysctl_net_unix.c
+++ b/net/unix/sysctl_net_unix.c
@@ -18,7 +18,7 @@ static ctl_table unix_table[] = {
  {
   .ctl_name = NET_UNIX_MAX_DGRAM_QLEN,
   .procname = "max_dgram_qlen",
```

```
-  .data  = &init_net.sysctl_unix_max_dgram_qlen,
+  .data  = &init_net.unx.sysctl_max_dgram_qlen,
   .maxlen  = sizeof(int),
   .mode  = 0644,
   .proc_handler = &proc_dointvec
@@ -40,9 +40,9 @@ int unix_sysctl_register(struct net *net)
  if (table == NULL)
   goto err_alloc;

- table[0].data = &net->sysctl_unix_max_dgram_qlen;
- net->unix_ctl = register_net_sysctl_table(net, unix_path, table);
- if (net->unix_ctl == NULL)
+ table[0].data = &net->unx.sysctl_max_dgram_qlen;
+ net->unx.ctl = register_net_sysctl_table(net, unix_path, table);
+ if (net->unx.ctl == NULL)
   goto err_reg;

  return 0;
@@ -57,8 +57,8 @@ void unix_sysctl_unregister(struct net *net)
 {
  struct ctl_table *table;

- table = net->unix_ctl->ctl_table_arg;
- unregister_sysctl_table(net->unix_ctl);
+ table = net->unx.ctl->ctl_table_arg;
+ unregister_sysctl_table(net->unx.ctl);
  kfree(table);
 }

--
1.5.3.rc5
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2 2.6.25] netns: struct net content re-work (v3)
Posted by davem on Tue, 11 Dec 2007 12:19:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: "Denis V. Lunev" <den@openvz.org>
Date: Tue, 11 Dec 2007 14:55:07 +0300

> Recently David Miller and Herbert Xu pointed out that struct net becomes
> overbloated and un-maintainable. There are two solutions:
> - provide a pointer to a network subsystem definition from struct net.
>   This costs an additional dereferrence
> - place sub-system definition into the structure itself. This will speedup
>   run-time access at the cost of recompilation time
>
> The second approach looks better for us. Other sub-systems will follow.

>
> Signed-off-by: Denis V. Lunev <den@openvz.org>
> Acked-by: Daniel Lezcano <dlezcano@fr.ibm.com>

Applied.