
Subject: [PATCH][UNIX] Move the unix sock iterators in to proper place

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Thu, 22 Nov 2007 13:22:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The first_unix_socket() and next_unix_sockets() are now used in proc file and in forall_unix_socets macro only.

The forall_unix_sockets is not used in this file at all so remove it. After this move the helpers to where they really belong, i.e. closer to proc code under the #ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS option.

Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

```
diff --git a/net/unix/af_unix.c b/net/unix/af_unix.c
index 6be6d87..b62a271 100644
--- a/net/unix/af_unix.c
+++ b/net/unix/af_unix.c
@@ -127,32 +127,6 @@ static atomic_t unix_nr_socks = ATOMIC_INIT(0);

#define UNIX_ABSTRACT(sk) (unix_sk(sk)->addr->hash != UNIX_HASH_SIZE)

-static struct sock *first_unix_socket(int *i)
-{
- for (*i = 0; *i <= UNIX_HASH_SIZE; (*i)++) {
- if (!hlist_empty(&unix_socket_table[*i]))
- return __sk_head(&unix_socket_table[*i]);
- }
- return NULL;
-}
-
-static struct sock *next_unix_socket(int *i, struct sock *s)
-{
- struct sock *next = sk_next(s);
- /* More in this chain? */
- if (next)
- return next;
- /* Look for next non-empty chain. */
- for ((*i)++; *i <= UNIX_HASH_SIZE; (*i)++) {
- if (!hlist_empty(&unix_socket_table[*i]))
- return __sk_head(&unix_socket_table[*i]);
- }
- return NULL;
-}
-
-#define forall_unix_sockets(i, s) \
```

```

- for (s = first_unix_socket(&(i)); s; s = next_unix_socket(&(i),(s)))
-
#ifdef CONFIG_SECURITY_NETWORK
static void unix_get_secdata(struct scm_cookie *scm, struct sk_buff *skb)
{
@@ -2010,6 +1984,29 @@ static unsigned int unix_poll(struct file * file, struct socket *sock,
poll_table

#endif CONFIG_PROC_FS
+static struct sock *first_unix_socket(int *i)
+{
+ for (*i = 0; *i <= UNIX_HASH_SIZE; (*i)++) {
+ if (!hlist_empty(&unix_socket_table[*i]))
+ return __sk_head(&unix_socket_table[*i]);
+ }
+ return NULL;
+}
+
+static struct sock *next_unix_socket(int *i, struct sock *s)
+{
+ struct sock *next = sk_next(s);
+ /* More in this chain? */
+ if (next)
+ return next;
+ /* Look for next non-empty chain. */
+ for ((*i)++; *i <= UNIX_HASH_SIZE; (*i)++) {
+ if (!hlist_empty(&unix_socket_table[*i]))
+ return __sk_head(&unix_socket_table[*i]);
+ }
+ return NULL;
+}
+
struct unix_iter_state {
    struct seq_net_private p;
    int i;

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH][UNIX] Move the unix sock iterators in to proper place
 Posted by [Herbert Xu](#) on Fri, 23 Nov 2007 12:30:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, Nov 22, 2007 at 04:22:30PM +0300, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
 > The first_unix_socket() and next_unix_sockets() are now used
 > in proc file and in forall_unix_socets macro only.
 >
 > The forall_unix_sockets is not used in this file at all so
 > remove it. After this move the helpers to where they really

> belong, i.e. closer to proc code under the #ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
> option.
>
> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>

Patch applied. Thanks Pavel!

--
Visit Openswan at <http://www.openswan.org/>
Email: Herbert Xu ~{PmV>HI~} <herbert@gondor.apana.org.au>
Home Page: <http://gondor.apana.org.au/~herbert/>
PGP Key: <http://gondor.apana.org.au/~herbert/pubkey.txt>

Subject: Re: [PATCH][UNIX] Move the unix sock iterators in to proper place
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Fri, 23 Nov 2007 13:10:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herbert Xu wrote:

> On Thu, Nov 22, 2007 at 04:22:30PM +0300, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>> The first_unix_socket() and next_unix_sockets() are now used
>> in proc file and in forall_unix_socets macro only.
>>
>> The forall_unix_sockets is not used in this file at all so
>> remove it. After this move the helpers to where they really
>> belong, i.e. closer to proc code under the #ifdef CONFIG_PROC_FS
>> option.
>>
>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
>
> Patch applied. Thanks Pavel!

I'm afraid to become importunate, but is the net-2.6 (not 25)
tree is currently the David's tree (unlike net-2.6.25, which
has temporary switched to your one)?

Thanks,
Pavel

Subject: Re: [PATCH][UNIX] Move the unix sock iterators in to proper place
Posted by [Herbert Xu](#) on Fri, 23 Nov 2007 13:37:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Nov 23, 2007 at 04:10:08PM +0300, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

>
> I'm afraid to become importunate, but is the net-2.6 (not 25)
> tree is currently the David's tree (unlike net-2.6.25, which

> has temporary switched to your one)?

I'm about to do a push soon which will create net-2.6 in the same place as my net-2.6.25 tree.

Cheers,

--

Visit Openswan at <http://www.openswan.org/>

Email: Herbert Xu ~{PmV>HI~} <herbert@gondor.apana.org.au>

Home Page: <http://gondor.apana.org.au/~herbert/>

PGP Key: <http://gondor.apana.org.au/~herbert/pubkey.txt>
