Subject: [PATCH 1/4] proc: fix NULL ->i_fop oops Posted by Alexey Dobriyan on Fri, 16 Nov 2007 15:06:51 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

proc_kill_inodes() can clear ->i_fop in the middle of vfs_readdir resulting in NULL dereference during "file->f_op->readdir(file, buf, filler)".

The solution is to remove proc_kill_inodes() completely:

- a) we don't have tricky modules implementing their tricky readdir hooks which could keeping this revoke from hell.
- b) In a situation when module is gone but PDE still alive, standard readdir will return only "." and "..", because pde->next was cleared by remove_proc_entry().
- c) the race proc_kill_inode() destined to prevent is not completely fixed, just race window made smaller, because vfs_readdir() is run without sb_lock held and without file_list_lock held. Effectively, ->i_fop is cleared at random moment, which can't fix properly anything.

Oops: 0000 [#1] PREEMPT SMP

Modules linked in: foo af_packet ipv6 cpufreq_ondemand loop serio_raw sr_mod k8temp cdrom hwmon amd_rng

Pid: 2033, comm: find Not tainted (2.6.24-rc1-b1d08ac064268d0ae2281e98bf5e82627e0f0c56 #2)

EIP: 0060:[<c1061205>] EFLAGS: 00010246 CPU: 0

EIP is at vfs_readdir+0x47/0x74

EAX: c6b6a780 EBX: 00000000 ECX: c1061040 EDX: c5decf94

ESI: c6b6a780 EDI: fffffffe EBP: c9797c54 ESP: c5decf78

DS: 007b ES: 007b FS: 00d8 GS: 0033 SS: 0068

Process find (pid: 2033, ti=c5dec000 task=c64bba90 task.ti=c5dec000)

Stack: c5decf94 c1061040 fffffff7 0805ffbc 00000000 c6b6a780 c1061295 0805ffbc

00000000 00000400 00000000 00000004 0805ffbc 4588eff4 c5dec000 c10026ba

00000004 0805ffbc 00000400 0805ffbc 4588eff4 bfdc6c70 000000dc 0000007b

Call Trace:

[<c1061040>] filldir64+0x0/0xc5

[<c1061295>] sys_getdents64+0x63/0xa5

[<c10026ba>] sysenter_past_esp+0x5f/0x85

Code: 49 83 78 18 00 74 43 8d 6b 74 bf fe ff ff ff 89 e8 e8 b8 c0 12 00 f6 83 2c 01 00 00 10 75 22 8b 5e 10 8b 4c 24 04 89 f0 8b 14 24 <ff> 53 18 f6 46 1a 04 89 c7 75 0b 8b 56 0c 8b 46 08 e8 c8 66 00

EIP: [<c1061205>] vfs_readdir+0x47/0x74 SS:ESP 0068:c5decf78

Signed-off-by: Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru>

fs/proc/generic.c | 37 -----

```
fs/proc/internal.h | 2 --
fs/proc/root.c
                     2 +-
                 3 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 40 deletions(-)
--- a/fs/proc/generic.c
+++ b/fs/proc/generic.c
@ @ -544,41 +544,6 @ @ static int proc_register(struct proc_dir_entry * dir, struct proc_dir_entry *
dp
 return 0;
}
-/*
- * Kill an inode that got unregistered..
- */
-static void proc_kill_inodes(struct proc_dir_entry *de)
-{
- struct list head *p:

    struct super_block *sb;

- /*
- * Actually it's a partial revoke().
- */
- spin_lock(&sb_lock);
- list_for_each_entry(sb, &proc_fs_type.fs_supers, s_instances) {
- file_list_lock();
- list_for_each(p, &sb->s_files) {
  struct file *filp = list_entry(p, struct file,
-
     f u.fu list);
-
 struct dentry *dentry = filp->f path.dentry;
-

    struct inode *inode;

- const struct file operations *fops;
- if (dentry->d_op != &proc_dentry_operations)
- continue;
- inode = dentry->d_inode;
- if (PDE(inode) != de)
- continue;
- fops = filp->f op;
- filp->f op = NULL;
- fops_put(fops);
- }
- file_list_unlock();
- }
spin_unlock(&sb_lock);
-}
static struct proc_dir_entry *proc_create(struct proc_dir_entry **parent,
     const char *name,
```

```
mode t mode,
@ @ -753,8 +718,6 @ @ void remove_proc_entry(const char *name, struct proc_dir_entry *parent)
continue_removing:
 if (S_ISDIR(de->mode))
  parent->nlink--;
- if (!S_ISREG(de->mode))
- proc kill inodes(de);
 de->nlink = 0;
 WARN ON(de->subdir);
 if (!atomic read(&de->count))
--- a/fs/proc/internal.h
+++ b/fs/proc/internal.h
@ @ -78,5 +78,3 @ @ static inline int proc_fd(struct inode *inode)
{
 return PROC_I(inode)->fd;
}
-extern struct file_system_type proc_fs_type;
--- a/fs/proc/root.c
+++ b/fs/proc/root.c
@ @ -98,7 +98,7 @ @ static void proc kill sb(struct super block *sb)
 put pid ns(ns);
}
-struct file_system_type proc_fs_type = {
+static struct file system type proc fs type = {
 .name = "proc",
 .get sb = proc get sb,
 .kill sb = proc kill sb,
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] proc: fix NULL ->i_fop oops Posted by Christoph Hellwig on Mon, 19 Nov 2007 12:51:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, Nov 16, 2007 at 06:06:51PM +0300, Alexey Dobriyan wrote:

- > proc_kill_inodes() can clear ->i_fop in the middle of vfs_readdir resulting in
- > NULL dereference during "file->f_op->readdir(file, buf, filler)".

>

- > The solution is to remove proc_kill_inodes() completely:
- > a) we don't have tricky modules implementing their tricky readdir hooks which
- > could keeping this revoke from hell.
- > b) In a situation when module is gone but PDE still alive, standard readdir
- > will return only "." and "..", because pde->next was cleared by
- > remove_proc_entry().
- > c) the race proc_kill_inode() destined to prevent is not completely fixed, just
- > race window made smaller, because vfs_readdir() is run without sb_lock held and
- > without file_list_lock held. Effectively, ->i_fop is cleared at random moment,

> which can't fix properly anything.

Nice, getting rid of this is a very good step formwards. Unfortunately we have another copy of this junk in security/selinux/selinuxfs.c:sel_remove_entries() which would need the same treatment.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] proc: fix NULL ->i_fop oops Posted by Stephen Smalley on Tue, 20 Nov 2007 15:05:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 2007-11-19 at 12:51 +0000, Christoph Hellwig wrote:

> On Fri, Nov 16, 2007 at 06:06:51PM +0300, Alexey Dobriyan wrote:

- > > proc_kill_inodes() can clear ->i_fop in the middle of vfs_readdir resulting in
- >> NULL dereference during "file->f_op->readdir(file, buf, filler)".
- > >
- > > The solution is to remove proc_kill_inodes() completely:
- > > a) we don't have tricky modules implementing their tricky readdir hooks which
- >> could keeping this revoke from hell.
- >> b) In a situation when module is gone but PDE still alive, standard readdir
- >> will return only "." and "..", because pde->next was cleared by
- >> remove_proc_entry().
- > > c) the race proc_kill_inode() destined to prevent is not completely fixed, just
- >> race window made smaller, because vfs_readdir() is run without sb_lock held and
- >> without file_list_lock held. Effectively, ->i_fop is cleared at random moment,
- >> which can't fix properly anything.
- >
- > Nice, getting rid of this is a very good step formwards. Unfortunately
- > we have another copy of this junk in
- > security/selinux/selinuxfs.c:sel_remove_entries() which would need the

> same treatment.

Can't just be dropped completely for selinux - we need a way to drop obsolete entries from the prior policy when we load a new policy.

Is the only real problem here the clearing of f_op? If so, we can likely remove that from sel_remove_entries() without harm, and fix the checks for it to use something more reliable.

Stephen Smalley National Security Agency

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] proc: fix NULL ->i_fop oops Posted by Christoph Hellwig on Tue, 20 Nov 2007 15:17:31 GMT On Tue, Nov 20, 2007 at 10:05:05AM -0500, Stephen Smalley wrote:

- > > Nice, getting rid of this is a very good step formwards. Unfortunately
- > > we have another copy of this junk in
- > > security/selinux/selinuxfs.c:sel_remove_entries() which would need the
- > > same treatment.
- >
- > Can't just be dropped completely for selinux we need a way to drop
- > obsolete entries from the prior policy when we load a new policy.
- >
- > Is the only real problem here the clearing of f_op? If so, we can
- > likely remove that from sel_remove_entries() without harm, and fix the
- > checks for it to use something more reliable.

f_op removal is the biggest issue. It can't really work and this is the last instance. But in general having some half-backed attempts at revoke is never a good idea.

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/4] proc: fix NULL ->i_fop oops Posted by Stephen Smalley on Tue, 20 Nov 2007 15:22:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 2007-11-20 at 15:17 +0000, Christoph Hellwig wrote:

- > On Tue, Nov 20, 2007 at 10:05:05AM -0500, Stephen Smalley wrote:
- > > Nice, getting rid of this is a very good step formwards. Unfortunately
- > > > we have another copy of this junk in
- > > security/selinux/selinuxfs.c:sel_remove_entries() which would need the
- > > > same treatment.
- > >

> > Can't just be dropped completely for selinux - we need a way to drop

- > > obsolete entries from the prior policy when we load a new policy.
- > >
- > > Is the only real problem here the clearing of f_op? If so, we can
- > > likely remove that from sel_remove_entries() without harm, and fix the
- > > checks for it to use something more reliable.
- >
- > f_op removal is the biggest issue. It can't really work and this is the
- > last instance. But in general having some half-backed attempts at revoke
 > is never a good idea.

Yes, we're not trying to revoke per se, but just re-populate a set of directories that represent elements of policy state on a policy reload. /selinux/booleans is one example - a directory with one entry per policy boolean defined by the policy. Old directory tree gets torn down on each policy reload and replaced.

Subject: [patch 1/1] selinux: do not clear f_op when removing entries Posted by Stephen Smalley on Wed, 21 Nov 2007 14:01:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 2007-11-20 at 15:17 +0000, Christoph Hellwig wrote:

> On Tue, Nov 20, 2007 at 10:05:05AM -0500, Stephen Smalley wrote:

> > Nice, getting rid of this is a very good step formwards. Unfortunately

> > > we have another copy of this junk in

>>>	security/selinux/selinuxfs.c:sel_	_remove_	_entries() whi	ch would n	eed the
>>>	same treatment.				

> >

> > Can't just be dropped completely for selinux - we need a way to drop

> obsolete entries from the prior policy when we load a new policy.

> > Is the only real problem here the clearing of f_op? If so, we can

> > likely remove that from sel_remove_entries() without harm, and fix the

> > checks for it to use something more reliable.

>

> f_op removal is the biggest issue. It can't really work and this is the

> last instance. But in general having some half-backed attempts at revoke

> is never a good idea.

Do not clear f_op when removing entries since it isn't safe to do.

Signed-off-by: Stephen Smalley <sds@tycho.nsa.gov>

security/selinux/selinuxfs.c | 28 +-----1 file changed, 1 insertion(+), 27 deletions(-)

diff --git a/security/selinux/selinuxfs.c b/security/selinux/selinuxfs.c index f5f3e6d..ac6fe99 100644 --- a/security/selinux/selinuxfs.c +++ b/security/selinux/selinuxfs.c

@ @ -838,10 +838,6 @ @ static ssize_t sel_read_bool(struct file *filep, char __user *buf,

ret = -EFAULT;

- /* check to see if this file has been deleted */

- if (!filep->f_op)

- goto out;

```
if (count > PAGE_SIZE) {
 ret = -EINVAL;
 goto out;
@ @ -882,10 +878,6 @ @ static ssize_t sel_write_bool(struct file *filep, const char __user *buf,
 if (length)
 goto out;
- /* check to see if this file has been deleted */
- if (!filep->f op)
- goto out;
 if (count \geq PAGE SIZE) {
 length = -ENOMEM;
 goto out:
@ @ -940,10 +932,6 @ @ static ssize_t sel_commit_bools_write(struct file *filep,
 if (length)
 goto out;
- /* check to see if this file has been deleted */
- if (!filep->f_op)
- goto out;
 if (count >= PAGE_SIZE) {
 length = -ENOMEM;
 goto out;
@ @ -982,11 +970,9 @ @ static const struct file operations sel commit bools ops = {
 .write
             = sel_commit_bools_write,
};
-/* partial revoke() from fs/proc/generic.c proc kill inodes */
static void sel remove entries(struct dentry *de)
{
- struct list_head *p, *node;
- struct super_block *sb = de->d_sb;
+ struct list_head *node;
 spin_lock(&dcache_lock);
 node = de->d subdirs.next;
@ @ -1006,18 +992,6 @ @ static void sel remove entries(struct dentry *de)
 }
 spin_unlock(&dcache_lock);
- file_list_lock();
- list_for_each(p, &sb->s_files) {
- struct file * filp = list_entry(p, struct file, f_u.fu_list);
- struct dentry * dentry = filp->f_path.dentry;
```

```
- if (dentry->d_parent != de) {
- continue;
- }
- filp->f_op = NULL;
- }
- file_list_unlock();
}
```

#define BOOL_DIR_NAME "booleans"

--Stephen Smalley National Security Agency

Page 8 of 8 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum