## Subject: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org Posted by den on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 12:03:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi, All!

I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely

- net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
- net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches

Enjoy.

Regars, Den

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:00:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Denis V. Lunev wrote:

> Hi, All!

>

- > I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
- > appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
- > net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
- > net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches

resyncing ... thanks:)

I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account ip6\_fib.

There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of the week.

I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we planned to send af\_unix, af\_packet, af\_netlink, af\_inet and af\_inet6.

What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we send it?

What about the todo list Pavel planned to do?

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org Posted by Pavel Emelianov on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:14:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>> Hi. All!
>>
>> I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
>> appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
>> - net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
>> - net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches
> resyncing ... thanks :)
> I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account
> ip6 fib.
> There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of
> the week.
> I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we
> planned to send af unix, af packet, af netlink, af inet and af inet6.
Theoretically we'd like all of this:) Actually now we're ready to send
the first 3 only.
> What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and
> ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we
> send it?
> What about the todo list Pavel planned to do?
If you mean the patches I wanted to send to Andrew - I've sent 6 of
them:) Do you have any plans for my schedule;)?
Thanks.
Pavel
Containers mailing list
```

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:20:15 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>>> Hi. All!
>>>
>>> I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
>>> appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
>>> - net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
>>> - net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches
>> resyncing ... thanks :)
>>
>> I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account
>> ip6 fib.
>>
>> There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of
>> the week.
>>
>> I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we
>> planned to send af_unix, af_packet, af_netlink, af_inet and af_inet6.
>
> Theoretically we'd like all of this:) Actually now we're ready to send
> the first 3 only.
>> What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and
>> ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we
>> send it?
>>
>> What about the todo list Pavel planned to do?
> If you mean the patches I wanted to send to Andrew - I've sent 6 of
> them :) Do you have any plans for my schedule ;)?
```

You mentioned in an email to have a git repository at openvz and to make a todo list with the items for the network namespace.

Ah, got the email with the sentence;)

"Yes, of course. When we publish the git repo we'll try to provide a TODO list so that everyone can participate."

I can send a first draft if you want.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org Posted by den on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:24:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Daniel Lezcano wrote:
```

- > Denis V. Lunev wrote:
- >> Hi, All!
- >>
- >> I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
- >> appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
- >> net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
- >> net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches

>

> resyncing ... thanks :)

>

- > I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account
- > ip6\_fib.

>

- > There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of
- > the week.

>

- > I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we
- > planned to send af\_unix, af\_packet, af\_netlink, af\_inet and af\_inet6.

I think that should behave like a small squaw eating the bear. Right now we \_can\_ send netlink preparatory work + PF\_UNIX + PF\_PACKET. This code is ready, functional and complete. Let's send it now to reduce amount of work for maintaining.

- > What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and
- > ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we
- > send it?

after that, we can continue the work. I think that we can send all remaining IPv4 code after while in this cycle. The code has been significantly changed and porting/splitting/re-splitting takes longer than I have expected. All sysctl/sysfs handling is out of scope for me now and, I think, Dave is not a right person to accept this code.

It will be very good if we'll have functional IPv4 in this kernel. All other staff looks like a pure bonus. At least we should test and stabilize it.

> What about the todo list Pavel planned to do?

Ocatain and acilian Ret

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: net-2.6.25-netns branch on git.openvz.org
Posted by Daniel Lezcano on Thu, 15 Nov 2007 13:31:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Denis V. Lunev wrote:
> Daniel Lezcano wrote:
>> Denis V. Lunev wrote:
>>> Hi, All!
>>>
>>> I have ported what is ready to send to netdev to 2.6.25 and created
>>> appropriate branches on git.openvz.org, namely
>>> - net-2.6.25 aka Miller's 2.6.25 tree
>>> - net-2.6.25-netns with ready patches
>> resyncing ... thanks :)
>> I will send in a few moments a first round for ipv6 taking into account
>> ip6 fib.
>>
>> There some other pending patches I will send, I hope before the end of
>> the week.
>>
>> I am a little lost with what we want to send for net-2.6.25. I mean we
>> planned to send af_unix, af_packet, af_netlink, af_inet and af_inet6.
> I think that should behave like a small squaw eating the bear. Right now
> we _can_ send netlink preparatory work + PF_UNIX + PF_PACKET. This code
> is ready, functional and complete. Let's send it now to reduce amount of
> work for maintaining.
Agree.
>> What is the level of functionnality we want to provide with ipv4 and
>> ipv6. For example we have addresss autoconfiguration for ipv6, shall we
>> send it?
> after that, we can continue the work. I think that we can send all
> remaining IPv4 code after while in this cycle. The code has been
> significantly changed and porting/splitting/re-splitting takes longer
> than I have expected. All sysctl/sysfs handling is out of scope for me
```

> now and, I think, Dave is not a right person to accept this code.

>

- > It will be very good if we'll have functional IPv4 in this kernel. All
- > other staff looks like a pure bonus. At least we should test and
- > stabilize it.

The problem I have with ipv6 is that is sharing code with ipv4 namespace like net/core/neigh.c. So it is difficult to test ipv6 namespace without that.

Can I help with ipv4?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers