Subject: CONFIG_AUDIT: Requested changes to the vanilla 2.6.18 + OpenVZ kernel rpm
Posted by dranch on Sat, 27 Oct 2007 21:53:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm not sure who builds the various kernel RPMs for the OpenVZ site but I have a request.

In the current vanilla 2.6.18 + OpenVZ patches kernel RPM, I see the following every 5 minutes on a Centos5 HE running 2.6.18-ovz028stab047.1-smp:

crond[28799]: pam_loginuid(crond:session): set_loginuid failed opening loginuid

According to the following URL, this is due to the CONFIG_AUDIT and CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL options kernel options not being set.

 http://lists.centos.org/pipermail/centos/2007-September/0867 33.html

In future kernels, could these options be set?

Ps. I'm not using the RHEL5 kernel + OpenVZ patches due to the seeming NIS+AutoFS in a VE bug as found in a different thread on the forums.

--David

Subject: Re: CONFIG_AUDIT: Requested changes to the vanilla 2.6.18 + OpenVZ kernel rpm
Posted by Bodysplit on Wed, 04 Feb 2009 09:13:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I recently updated my kernel to 2.6.18-92.1.18.el5.028stab060.2 and the errors described by dranch just started to show up.

I get two errors:
1. sudo keeps yelling:
audit_log_user_command(): Connection refused
2. crond moans every 5 minutes in the log:
crond[30778]: pam_loginuid(crond:session): set_loginuid failed opening loginuid

could it be that someone forget to set CONFIG_AUDIT and CONFIG_AUDITSYSCALL while building the rpm kernel?

I don't want to change my pam.d-config, it's a bad workaround. It did work fine before.

Subject: Re: CONFIG_AUDIT: Requested changes to the vanilla 2.6.18 + OpenVZ kernel rpm
Posted by khorenko on Wed, 04 Feb 2009 09:34:36 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

yes, those warnings are due to absence of AUDIT.
We'll fix this in the future.

i'd emphasize that these are only WARNINGS.

--
Konstantin

Subject: Re: CONFIG_AUDIT: Requested changes to the vanilla 2.6.18 + OpenVZ kernel rpm
Posted by Bodysplit on Wed, 04 Feb 2009 10:35:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

well, thanks for the quick reply.

I will ignore those messages for now and hope for the next kernel to be fixed.

regards