
Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 09:16:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schwidefsky wrote:

```
> On Thu, 2007-10-18 at 15:31 -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
>> Quoting Christian Borntraeger (borntraeger@de.ibm.com):
>>> Am Donnerstag, 18. Oktober 2007 schrieb Serge E. Hallyn:
>>>> Sigh, well this turned out less informative than I'd liked.
>>>> After bisecting 2.6.23 to 2.6.23-mm1, I found that
>>>> git-s390.patch is the one breaking my s390 boot :(
>>>> (Frown bc it's a conglomeration of patches0
>>>>
>>>> Symptom is:
>>>> "Cannot open root device "dasdd2" or unknown-block(94,14)"
>>>> even though dasdd2 appeared to be found earlier in the boot. I also
>>>> get
>>> Can you post the full console output from IPL to the unsuccessful end?
>> Yeah, sorry, appended below.
>>
>> I had thought that the line
>> sysctl table check failed: /sunrpc/transport .7249.14 Missing strategy
>> meant that the fix referenced in http://lkml.org/lkml/2007/10/11/48
>> would fix it, but it appeared to have no effect.
>
> This is the vmlinux.lids.S problem. The cleanup patch from Sam Ravnborg
> moved the __initramfs_start and __initramfs_end symbols into
> the .init.ramfs section. This is in itself not a problem, but it
> surfaced a bug: there is no *(.init.initramfs), that needs to be
> *(init.ramfs). I corrected this in the upstream patch but 2.6.23-mm1 has
> the older one that still causes the "Cannot open root device". For
> 2.6.23-mm1 use the patch below.
>
```

thanks martin,

that helped going a little further in the boot process but we then have
a network issue when bringing the network interface up :

Modules linked in:

CPU: 0 Not tainted

Process ip (pid: 1167, task: 0000000001d46038, ksp: 00000000025efb28)

Krnl PSW : 0704200180000000 0000000000000002 (0x2)

R:0 T:1 IO:1 EX:1 Key:0 M:1 W:0 P:0 AS:0 CC:2 PM:0 EA:3

Krnl GPRS: 0000000000000000 0000000000000000 000000000241f600 0000000001c8d

00000000000086dd 0000000001eb6d70 0000000000000000 0000000001c8d
0000000001eb6d40 00000000003abc28 0000000001eb6d00 00000000025ef
000000000241f600 00000000003b6d18 00000000002b33d2 00000000025ef

Krnl Code:>0000000000000002: 0000 unknown
0000000000000004: 0000 unknown
0000000000000006: 0000 unknown
0000000000000008: 0000 unknown
000000000000000a: 0000 unknown
000000000000000c: 0000 unknown
000000000000000e: 0000 unknown
0000000000000010: 0000 unknown

Call Trace:

00000000002b3352 gives : include/linux/netdevice.h:819

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem
Posted by [Martin Schwidefsky](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 09:20:53 GMT

On Fri, 2007-10-19 at 11:16 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> > This is the vmlinux.lds.S problem. The cleanup patch from Sam Ravnborg
> > moved the __initramfs_start and __initramfs_end symbols into
> > the .init.ramfs section. This is in itself not a problem, but it
> > surfaced a bug: there is no *(.init.initramfs), that needs to be
> > *(init.ramfs). I corrected this in the upstream patch but 2.6.23-mm1 has
> > the older one that still causes the "Cannot open root device". For
> > 2.6.23-mm1 use the patch below.

> >

>

> thanks martin,

>

> that helped going a little further in the boot process but we then have
> a network issue when bringing the network interface up :

See <http://marc.info/?l=linux-kernel&m=119270398931208&w=2>

--

blue skies,
Martin.

"Reality continues to ruin my life." - Calvin.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem

Posted by [akpm](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 09:27:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 19 Oct 2007 11:16:16 +0200 Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> wrote:

> Martin Schwidefsky wrote:

> > On Thu, 2007-10-18 at 15:31 -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> >> Quoting Christian Borntraeger (borntraeger@de.ibm.com):

> >>> Am Donnerstag, 18. Oktober 2007 schrieb Serge E. Hallyn:

> >>>> Sigh, well this turned out less informative than I'd liked.

> >>>> After bisecting 2.6.23 to 2.6.23-mm1, I found that

> >>>> git-s390.patch is the one breaking my s390 boot :(

> >>>> (Frown bc it's a conglomeration of patches0

> >>>>

> >>>> Symptom is:

```

> >>>> "Cannot open root device "dasdd2" or unknown-block(94,14)"
> >>>> even though dasdd2 appeared to be found earlier in the boot. I also
> >>>> get
> >>> Can you post the full console output from IPL to the unsuccessful end?
> >> Yeah, sorry, appended below.
> >>
> >> I had thought that the line
> >> sysctl table check failed: /sunrpc/transport .7249.14 Missing strategy
> >> meant that the fix referenced in http://lkml.org/lkml/2007/10/11/48
> >> would fix it, but it appeared to have no effect.
> >
> > This is the vmlinux.lids.S problem. The cleanup patch from Sam Ravnberg
> > moved the __initramfs_start and __initramfs_end symbols into
> > the .init.ramfs section. This is in itself not a problem, but it
> > surfaced a bug: there is no *(.init.initramfs), that needs to be
> > *(init.ramfs). I corrected this in the upstream patch but 2.6.23-mm1 has
> > the older one that still causes the "Cannot open root device". For
> > 2.6.23-mm1 use the patch below.
> >
> >
> > thanks martin,
> >
> > that helped going a little further in the boot process but we then have
> > a network issue when bringing the network interface up :

```

please cc netdev on network issues.

```

> Modules linked in:
> CPU: 0 Not tainted
> Process ip (pid: 1167, task: 0000000001d46038, ksp: 00000000025efb28)
> Krl PSW : 0704200180000000 0000000000000002 (0x2)
> R:0 T:1 IO:1 EX:1 Key:0 M:1 W:0 P:0 AS:0 CC:2 PM:0 EA:3
> Krl GPRS: 0000000000000000 0000000000000000 000000000241f600 0000000001c8d
> 00000000000086dd 0000000001eb6d70 0000000000000000 0000000001c8d
> 0000000001eb6d40 00000000003abc28 0000000001eb6d00 00000000025ef
> 000000000241f600 00000000003b6d18 00000000002b33d2 00000000025ef
> Krl Code:>0000000000000002: 0000 unknown
> 0000000000000004: 0000 unknown
> 0000000000000006: 0000 unknown
> 0000000000000008: 0000 unknown
> 000000000000000a: 0000 unknown
> 000000000000000c: 0000 unknown
> 000000000000000e: 0000 unknown
> 0000000000000010: 0000 unknown
> Call Trace:

```

that's a network issue ;)

> 00000000002b3352 gives : include/linux/netdevice.h:819
>

I have a feeling that we fixed this. But there's no BUG at 2.6.23-mm1's
include/linux/netdevice.h:819. How about setting
CONFIG_DEBUG_BUGVERBOSE=y?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 11:06:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schwidefsky wrote:
> On Fri, 2007-10-19 at 11:16 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>>> This is the vmlinux.lds.S problem. The cleanup patch from Sam Ravnborg

>>> moved the __initramfs_start and __initramfs_end symbols into
>>> the .init.ramfs section. This is in itself not a problem, but it
>>> surfaced a bug: there is no *(.init.initramfs), that needs to be
>>> *(init.ramfs). I corrected this in the upstream patch but 2.6.23-mm1 has
>>> the older one that still causes the "Cannot open root device". For
>>> 2.6.23-mm1 use the patch below.

>>>

>> thanks martin,

>>

>> that helped going a little further in the boot process but we then have

>> a network issue when bringing the network interface up :

>

> See <http://marc.info/?l=linux-kernel&m=119270398931208&w=2>

hmm, that doesn't fix the oops.

/me looking.

Thanks,

C.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 11:17:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> that helped going a little further in the boot process but we then have

>> a network issue when bringing the network interface up :

>

> please cc netdev on network issues.

yes.

>> Modules linked in:

>> CPU: 0 Not tainted

>> Process ip (pid: 1167, task: 0000000001d46038, ksp: 00000000025efb28)

>> Kernal PSW : 0704200180000000 0000000000000002 (0x2)

>> R:0 T:1 IO:1 EX:1 Key:0 M:1 W:0 P:0 AS:0 CC:2 PM:0 EA:3

```

>> Krnl GPRS: 0000000000000000 0000000000000000 000000000241f600 0000000001c8d
>>      00000000000086dd 0000000001eb6d70 0000000000000000 0000000001c8d
>>      0000000001eb6d40 00000000003abc28 0000000001eb6d00 00000000025ef
>>      000000000241f600 00000000003b6d18 00000000002b33d2 00000000025ef
>> Krnl Code:>0000000000000002: 0000      unknown
>>      0000000000000004: 0000      unknown
>>      0000000000000006: 0000      unknown
>>      0000000000000008: 0000      unknown
>>      000000000000000a: 0000      unknown
>>      000000000000000c: 0000      unknown
>>      000000000000000e: 0000      unknown
>>      0000000000000010: 0000      unknown
>> Call Trace:

```

```

>
> that's a network issue ;)
>
>> 00000000002b3352 gives : include/linux/netdevice.h:819
>>
>
> I have a feeling that we fixed this. But there's no BUG at 2.6.23-mm1's
> include/linux/netdevice.h:819.

```

but dev->header_ops is bogus. right ?

> How about setting CONFIG_DEBUG_BUGVERBOSE=y?

it is set :(

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: 2.6.23-mm1 s390 driver problem
Posted by [Martin Schwidefsky](#) on Fri, 19 Oct 2007 11:25:29 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 2007-10-19 at 13:17 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> > please cc netdev on network issues.

>

> yes.

>

> >

> > that's a network issue ;)

> >

> >> 00000000002b3352 gives : include/linux/netdevice.h:819

> >>

> >

> > I have a feeling that we fixed this. But there's no BUG at 2.6.23-mm1's

> > include/linux/netdevice.h:819.

>

> but dev->header_ops is bogus. right ?

>

> > How about setting CONFIG_DEBUG_BUGVERBOSE=y?

>

> it is set :(

This is definitely a problem with the header_ops in the qeth network driver. I've asked our network team to take care of it. Stay tuned..

--

blue skies,
Martin.

"Reality continues to ruin my life." - Calvin.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
