Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [0/5]
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:48:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This patch set includes following functions for memory cgroup.
Based on 2.6.23-rc8-mm2 + My bugfix patch set.

- memory.force_empty ... uncharge all pages in cgroup.
- memory.stat ... status accounting in cgroup.

I merged YAMAMOTO-san's patch set for statitstics to this set.

[1/5] ... force_empty patch
[2/5] ... remember page is charged as page-cache patch
[3/5] ... remember page is on which list patch
[4/5] ... memory cgroup statistics patch
[5/5] ... show statistics patch

Any comments are welcome.

Thanks,
-Kame

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [1/5] force empty
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:51:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This patch adds an interface "memory.force_empty".
Any write to this file will drop all charges in this cgroup if
there is no task under.

%echo 1 > /...../memory.force_empty

will drop all charges of memory cgroup if cgroup's tasks is empty.

This is useful to invoke rmdir() against memory cgroup successfully.

Tested and worked well on x86_64/fake-NUMA system.

Changelog v2 -> v3:
- changed the name from force_reclaim to force_empty.

- fixed wrong usage of css_put() bug.

Changelog v1 -> v2:
- added a new interface force_reclaim.
- changes spin_lock to spin_lock_irqsave().

Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

mm/memcontrol.c | 102 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++----
1 file changed, 95 insertions(+), 7 deletions(-)

Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
===================================================================
--- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -469,6 +469,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
  page = pc->page;
  /*
   * get page->cgroup and clear it under lock.
+  * force-empty can drop page->cgroup without checking refcnt.
   */
  if (clear_page_cgroup(page, pc) == pc) {
   mem = pc->mem_cgroup;
@@ -478,13 +479,6 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
   list_del_init(&pc->lru);
   spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
   kfree(pc);
- } else {
-  /*
-   * Note:This will be removed when force-empty patch is
-   * applied. just show warning here.
-   */
-  printk(KERN_ERR "Race in mem_cgroup_uncharge() ?");
-  dump_stack();
  }
 }
@@ -532,6 +526,70 @@ retry:
 return;
 }

+/*
+ * This routine traverse page_cgroup in given list and drop them all.
+ * This routine ignores page_cgroup->ref_cnt.
+ * *And* this routine doesn't relcaim page itself, just removes page_cgroup.
+ */

```
+static void
+mem_cgroup_force_empty_list(struct mem_cgroup *mem, struct list_head *list)
+{
+ struct page_cgroup *pc;
+ struct page *page;
+ int count = SWAP_CLUSTER_MAX;
+ unsigned long flags;
+
+ spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
+
+ while (!list_empty(list)) {
+  pc = list_entry(list->prev, struct page_cgroup, lru);
+  page = pc->page;
+  /* Avoid race with charge */
+  atomic_set(&pc->ref_cnt, 0);
+  if (clear_page_cgroup(page, pc) == pc) {
+   css_put(&mem->css);
+   res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, PAGE_SIZE);
+   list_del_init(&pc->lru);
+   kfree(pc);
+  } else
+   count = 1; /* being uncharged ? ...do relax */
+
+  if (--count == 0) {
+   spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
+   cond_resched();
+   spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
+   count = SWAP_CLUSTER_MAX;
+  }
+ }
+ spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
+}
+
+/*
+ *
+ *
+ */
+
+int mem_cgroup_force_empty(struct mem_cgroup *mem)
+{
+ int ret = -EBUSY;
+ css_get(&mem->css);
+ while (!list_empty(&mem->active_list) ||
+        !list_empty(&mem->inactive_list)) {
+  if (atomic_read(&mem->css.cgroup->count) > 0)
+   goto out;
+  /* drop all page_cgroup in active_list */
+  mem_cgroup_force_empty_list(mem, &mem->active_list);
```

```
+  /* drop all page_cgroup in inactive_list */
+  mem_cgroup_force_empty_list(mem, &mem->inactive_list);
+ }
+ ret = 0;
+out:
+ css_put(&mem->css);
+ return ret;
+}
+
+
+
 int mem_cgroup_write_strategy(char *buf, unsigned long long *tmp)
 {
  *tmp = memparse(buf, &buf);
@@ -617,6 +675,31 @@ static ssize_t mem_control_type_read(str
    ppos, buf, s - buf);
 }

+
+static ssize_t mem_force_empty_write(struct cgroup *cont,
+    struct cftype *cft, struct file *file,
+    const char __user *userbuf,
+    size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ struct mem_cgroup *mem = mem_cgroup_from_cont(cont);
+ int ret;
+ ret = mem_cgroup_force_empty(mem);
+ if (!ret)
+  ret = nbytes;
+ return ret;
+}
+
+static ssize_t mem_force_empty_read(struct cgroup *cont,
+    struct cftype *cft,
+    struct file *file, char __user *userbuf,
+    size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ char buf[2] = "0";
+ return simple_read_from_buffer((void __user *)userbuf, nbytes,
+    ppos, buf, strlen(buf));
+}
+
+
 static struct cftype mem_cgroup_files[] = {
  {
   .name = "usage_in_bytes",
@@ -639,6 +722,11 @@ static struct cftype mem_cgroup_files[]
   .write = mem_control_type_write,
```

```
   .read = mem_control_type_read,
  },
+ {
+  .name = "force_empty",
+  .write = mem_force_empty_write,
+  .read = mem_force_empty_read,
+ },
 };

 static struct mem_cgroup init_mem_cgroup;
```



---

Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [2/5] remember page cache
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:51:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Add PCGF_PAGECACHE flag to page_cgroup to remember "this page is
charged as page-cache."
This is very useful for implementing precise accounting in memory cgroup.

Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
Signed-off-by: YAMAMOTO Takashi <yamamoto@valinux.co.jp>

```
 mm/memcontrol.c |   18 ++++++++++++++---
 1 file changed, 15 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)

Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
===================================================================
--- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -83,6 +83,8 @@ struct page_cgroup {
  struct mem_cgroup *mem_cgroup;
  atomic_t ref_cnt;  /* Helpful when pages move b/w  */
      /* mapped and cached states     */
+ int  flags;
+#define PCGF_PAGECACHE  (0x1) /* charged as page-cache */
 };

 enum {
@@ -305,8 +307,8 @@ unsigned long mem_cgroup_isolate_pages(u
  * 0 if the charge was successful
```

```
  * < 0 if the cgroup is over its limit
  */
-int mem_cgroup_charge(struct page *page, struct mm_struct *mm,
-    gfp_t gfp_mask)
+static int mem_cgroup_charge_common(struct page *page, struct mm_struct *mm,
+    gfp_t gfp_mask, int is_cache)
 {
  struct mem_cgroup *mem;
  struct page_cgroup *pc;
@@ -406,6 +408,10 @@ noreclaim:
  atomic_set(&pc->ref_cnt, 1);
  pc->mem_cgroup = mem;
  pc->page = page;
+ if (is_cache)
+  pc->flags = PCGF_PAGECACHE;
+ else
+  pc->flags = 0;
  if (page_cgroup_assign_new_page_cgroup(page, pc)) {
   /*
    * an another charge is added to this page already.
@@ -431,6 +437,12 @@ err:
  return -ENOMEM;
 }

+int mem_cgroup_charge(struct page *page, struct mm_struct *mm,
+   gfp_t gfp_mask)
+{
+ return mem_cgroup_charge_common(page, mm, gfp_mask, 0);
+}
+
 /*
  * See if the cached pages should be charged at all?
  */
@@ -443,7 +455,7 @@ int mem_cgroup_cache_charge(struct page

  mem = rcu_dereference(mm->mem_cgroup);
  if (mem->control_type == MEM_CGROUP_TYPE_ALL)
-  return mem_cgroup_charge(page, mm, gfp_mask);
+  return mem_cgroup_charge_common(page, mm, gfp_mask, 1);
  else
   return 0;
 }
```

---

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [3/5] remember on which list patch
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:52:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Remember page_cgroup is on active_list or not in page_cgroup->flags.

Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
Signed-off-by: YAMAMOTO Takashi <yamamoto@valinux.co.jp>

```
 mm/memcontrol.c |   12 ++++++++----
 1 file changed, 8 insertions(+), 4 deletions(-)

Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
===================================================================
--- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -85,6 +85,7 @@ struct page_cgroup {
      /* mapped and cached states     */
  int  flags;
 #define PCGF_PAGECACHE  (0x1) /* charged as page-cache */
+#define PCGF_ACTIVE  (0x2) /* this is on cgroup's active list */
 };

 enum {
@@ -208,10 +209,13 @@ clear_page_cgroup(struct page *page, str

 static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active)
 {
- if (active)
+ if (active) {
+  pc->flags |= PCGF_ACTIVE;
   list_move(&pc->lru, &pc->mem_cgroup->active_list);
- else
+ } else {
+  pc->flags &= ~PCGF_ACTIVE;
   list_move(&pc->lru, &pc->mem_cgroup->inactive_list);
+ }
 }

 /*
@@ -409,9 +413,9 @@ noreclaim:
  pc->mem_cgroup = mem;
  pc->page = page;
  if (is_cache)
-  pc->flags = PCGF_PAGECACHE;
+  pc->flags = PCGF_PAGECACHE | PCGF_ACTIVE;
  else
-  pc->flags = 0;
```

```
+  pc->flags = PCGF_ACTIVE;
  if (page_cgroup_assign_new_page_cgroup(page, pc)) {
   /*
    * an another charge is added to this page already.
```

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

---

## Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup

Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:54:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Add statistics account infrastructure for memory controller.

Changes from original:
 - divided into 2 patch (this one and show info)
 - changed from u64 to s64
 - added mem_cgroup_stat_add() and batched statistics modification logic.
 - removed stat init code because mem_cgroup is allocated by kzalloc().

Problem:
 - charge/uncharge count can be overflow. But they are unnecessary ?

Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
Signed-off-by: YAMAMOTO Takashi <yamamoto@valinux.co.jp>

```
 mm/memcontrol.c |  120
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++--
 1 file changed, 116 insertions(+), 4 deletions(-)

Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
===================================================================
--- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -35,6 +35,63 @@ struct cgroup_subsys mem_cgroup_subsys;
 static const int MEM_CGROUP_RECLAIM_RETRIES = 5;

 /*
+ * Statistics for memory cgroup.
+ */
+enum mem_cgroup_stat_index {
+ /*
+  * For MEM_CONTAINER_TYPE_ALL, usage = pagecache + rss.
+  */
```

```
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_PAGECACHE, /* # of pages charged as cache */
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_RSS,    /* # of pages charged as rss */
+
+ /*
+  * usage = charge - uncharge.
+  */
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_CHARGE,    /* # of pages charged */
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_UNCHARGE,  /* # of pages uncharged */
+
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE,    /* # of pages in active list */
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE,  /* # of pages on inactive list */
+
+ MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS,
+};
+
+struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu {
+ s64 count[MEM_CGROUP_STAT_NSTATS];
+} ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
+
+struct mem_cgroup_stat {
+ struct mem_cgroup_stat_cpu cpustat[NR_CPUS];
+};
+
+/*
+ * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
+ */
+static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
+                enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
+{
+ int cpu = smp_processor_id();
+ stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
+}
+
+static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_inc(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
+  enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx)
+{
+ preempt_disable();
+ mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, 1);
+ preempt_enable();
+}
+
+static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_dec(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
+  enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx)
+{
+ preempt_disable();
+ mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, idx, -1);
+ preempt_enable();
+}
```

```
+
+
+/*
  * The memory controller data structure. The memory controller controls both
  * page cache and RSS per cgroup. We would eventually like to provide
  * statistics based on the statistics developed by Rik Van Riel for clock-pro,
@@ -63,6 +120,10 @@ struct mem_cgroup {
   */
  spinlock_t lru_lock;
  unsigned long control_type; /* control RSS or RSS+Pagecache */
+ /*
+  * statistics.
+  */
+ struct mem_cgroup_stat stat;
 };

 /*
@@ -96,6 +157,37 @@ enum {
  MEM_CGROUP_TYPE_MAX,
 };

+/*
+ * Batched statistics modification.
+ * We have to modify several values at charge/uncharge..
+ */
+static inline void
+mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(struct mem_cgroup *mem, int flags, bool charge)
+{
+ int val = (charge)? 1 : -1;
+ preempt_disable();
+
+ if (flags & PCGF_PAGECACHE)
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_PAGECACHE, val);
+ else
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_RSS, val);
+
+ if (flags & PCGF_ACTIVE)
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE, val);
+ else
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE, val);
+
+ if(charge)
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_CHARGE, 1);
+ else
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(&mem->stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_UNCHARGE, 1);
+
+ preempt_enable();
+}
```

```
+
+
+
+
 static struct mem_cgroup init_mem_cgroup;

 static inline
@@ -207,8 +299,23 @@ clear_page_cgroup(struct page *page, str
 }


-static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active)
+static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active,
+        struct mem_cgroup *mem)
 {
+ int moved = 0;
+
+ if (active && (pc->flags & PCGF_ACTIVE) == 0)
+  moved = 1; /* Move from inactive to active */
+ else if (!active && (pc->flags & PCGF_ACTIVE))
+  moved = -1; /* Move from active to inactive */
+
+ if (moved) {
+  struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat = &mem->stat;
+  preempt_disable();
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE, moved);
+  mem_cgroup_stat_add(stat, MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE, -moved);
+  preempt_enable();
+ }
  if (active) {
   pc->flags |= PCGF_ACTIVE;
   list_move(&pc->lru, &pc->mem_cgroup->active_list);
@@ -230,7 +337,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_c
  mem = pc->mem_cgroup;

  spin_lock(&mem->lru_lock);
- __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, active);
+ __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, active, mem);
  spin_unlock(&mem->lru_lock);
 }

@@ -267,12 +374,12 @@ unsigned long mem_cgroup_isolate_pages(u
   }

   if (PageActive(page) && !active) {
-   __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, true);
+   __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, true, pc->mem_cgroup);
    scan--;
```

```
    continue;
   }
   if (!PageActive(page) && active) {
-   __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, false);
+   __mem_cgroup_move_lists(pc, false, pc->mem_cgroup);
    scan--;
    continue;
   }
@@ -428,6 +535,9 @@ noreclaim:
   goto retry;
  }

+ /* Update statistics vector */
+ mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, true);
+
  spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
  list_add(&pc->lru, &mem->active_list);
  spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
@@ -494,6 +604,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
    spin_lock_irqsave(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
    list_del_init(&pc->lru);
    spin_unlock_irqrestore(&mem->lru_lock, flags);
+   mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, false);
    kfree(pc);
   }
  }
@@ -566,6 +677,7 @@ mem_cgroup_force_empty_list(struct mem_c
    css_put(&mem->css);
    res_counter_uncharge(&mem->res, PAGE_SIZE);
    list_del_init(&pc->lru);
+   mem_cgroup_charge_statistics(mem, pc->flags, false);
    kfree(pc);
   } else
    count = 1; /* being uncharged ? ...do relax */
```



---

Subject: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [5/5] memory.stat file
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Thu, 11 Oct 2007 09:54:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Show accounted information of memory cgroup by memory.stat file

```
Signed-off-by: YAMAMOTO Takashi <yamamoto@valinux.co.jp>
Signed-off-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

 mm/memcontrol.c |   54 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
 1 file changed, 54 insertions(+)

Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
===================================================================
--- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -28,6 +28,7 @@
 #include <linux/swap.h>
 #include <linux/spinlock.h>
 #include <linux/fs.h>
+#include <linux/seq_file.h>

 #include <asm/uaccess.h>

@@ -828,6 +829,55 @@ static ssize_t mem_force_empty_read(stru
 }


+static const struct mem_cgroup_stat_desc {
+ const char *msg;
+ u64 unit;
+} mem_cgroup_stat_desc[] = {
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_PAGECACHE] = { "page_cache", PAGE_SIZE, },
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_RSS] = { "rss", PAGE_SIZE, },
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_CHARGE] = { "charge", PAGE_SIZE },
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_UNCHARGE] = { "uncharge", PAGE_SIZE, },
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_ACTIVE] = { "active", PAGE_SIZE, },
+ [MEM_CGROUP_STAT_INACTIVE] = { "inactive", PAGE_SIZE, },
+};
+
+static int mem_control_stat_show(struct seq_file *m, void *arg)
+{
+ struct cgroup *cont = m->private;
+ struct mem_cgroup *mem_cont = mem_cgroup_from_cont(cont);
+ struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat = &mem_cont->stat;
+ int i;
+
+ for (i = 0; i < ARRAY_SIZE(stat->cpustat[0].count); i++) {
+  unsigned int cpu;
+  s64 val;
+
+  val = 0;
+  for (cpu = 0; cpu < NR_CPUS; cpu++)
+   val += stat->cpustat[cpu].count[i];
```

```
+  val *= mem_cgroup_stat_desc[i].unit;
+  seq_printf(m, "%s %lld\n", mem_cgroup_stat_desc[i].msg, val);
+ }
+ return 0;
+}
+
+static const struct file_operations mem_control_stat_file_operations = {
+ .read = seq_read,
+ .llseek = seq_lseek,
+ .release = single_release,
+};
+
+static int mem_control_stat_open(struct inode *unused, struct file *file)
+{
+ /* XXX __d_cont */
+ struct cgroup *cont = file->f_dentry->d_parent->d_fsdata;
+
+ file->f_op = &mem_control_stat_file_operations;
+ return single_open(file, mem_control_stat_show, cont);
+}
+
+
+
 static struct cftype mem_cgroup_files[] = {
  {
   .name = "usage_in_bytes",
@@ -855,6 +905,10 @@ static struct cftype mem_cgroup_files[]
   .write = mem_force_empty_write,
   .read = mem_force_empty_read,
  },
+ {
+  .name = "stat",
+  .open = mem_control_stat_open,
+ },
 };

 static struct mem_cgroup init_mem_cgroup;
```



Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by yamamoto on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 06:37:01 GMT

> - changed from u64 to s64

why?

> +/*
> + * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
> + */
> +static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> + enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
> +{
> + int cpu = smp_processor_id();
> + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
> +}

i think the function name should be something which implies batching.

> @@ -207,8 +299,23 @@ clear_page_cgroup(struct page *page, str
> }
>
>
> -static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active)
> +static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active,
> + struct mem_cgroup *mem)
> {

can mem be different from pc->mem_cgroup here?

YAMAMOTO Takashi



Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 06:46:03 GMT


On Mon, 15 Oct 2007 15:37:01 +0900 (JST)
yamamoto@valinux.co.jp (YAMAMOTO Takashi) wrote:

> > - changed from u64 to s64
>
> why?
>

> > +/*
> > + * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
> > + */
> > +static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> > +                enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
> > +{
> > + int cpu = smp_processor_id();
> > + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
> > +}
>
> i think the function name should be something which implies batching.
>
Hm, How about this ?
==
mem_cgroup_stat_add_atomic()
==
and add this
==
VM_BUG_ON(preempt_count() == 0)
==

> > @@ -207,8 +299,23 @@ clear_page_cgroup(struct page *page, str
> >  }
> >
> >
> > -static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active)
> > +static void __mem_cgroup_move_lists(struct page_cgroup *pc, bool active,
> > +        struct mem_cgroup *mem)
> >  {
>
> can mem be different from pc->mem_cgroup here?
>

Ah, always pc->mem_cgroup. ok, I'll remove that.

Thanks,
-Kame

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by yamamoto on Mon, 15 Oct 2007 22:38:23 GMT

> > > +/*
> > > + * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
> > > + */
> > > +static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> > > +                enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
> > > +{
> > > + int cpu = smp_processor_id();
> > > + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
> > > +}
> >
> > i think the function name should be something which implies batching.
> >
> Hm, How about this ?
> ==
> mem_cgroup_stat_add_atomic()
> ==
> and add this
> ==
> VM_BUG_ON(preempt_count() == 0)
> ==

_atomic sounds like a different thing to me.  _nonpreemptible?

YAMAMOTO Takashi



Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [1/5] force empty
Posted by yamamoto on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 00:15:49 GMT


> Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
> ===================================================================
> --- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
> +++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
> @@ -469,6 +469,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
>    page = pc->page;
>    /*
>     * get page->cgroup and clear it under lock.
> +   * force-empty can drop page->cgroup without checking refcnt.

force_empty

> + char buf[2] = "0";

it should be static const unless you want a runtime assignment.

YAMAMOTO Takashi



---

Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 03:03:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 16 Oct 2007 07:38:23 +0900 (JST)
yamamoto@valinux.co.jp (YAMAMOTO Takashi) wrote:

> > > > +/*
> > > > + * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
> > > > + */
> > > > +static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
> > > > +  enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
> > > > +{
> > > > + int cpu = smp_processor_id();
> > > > + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
> > > > +}
> > >
> > > i think the function name should be something which implies batching.
> > >
> > Hm, How about this ?
> > ==
> > mem_cgroup_stat_add_atomic()
> > ==
> > and add this
> > ==
> > VM_BUG_ON(preempt_count() == 0)
> > ==
>
> _atomic sounds like a different thing to me.  _nonpreemptible?
>
Hmm, ok.

Thanks,
-Kame

Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by Balbir Singh on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 03:55:43 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:
> On Tue, 16 Oct 2007 07:38:23 +0900 (JST)
> yamamoto@valinux.co.jp (YAMAMOTO Takashi) wrote:
>
>>>>>> +/*
>>>>>> + * For batching....mem_cgroup_charge_statistics()(see below).
>>>>>> + */
>>>>>> +static inline void mem_cgroup_stat_add(struct mem_cgroup_stat *stat,
>>>>>> + enum mem_cgroup_stat_index idx, int val)
>>>>>> +{
>>>>>> + int cpu = smp_processor_id();
>>>>>> + stat->cpustat[cpu].count[idx] += val;
>>>>>> +}
>>>> i think the function name should be something which implies batching.
>>>>
>>> Hm, How about this ?
>>> ==
>>> mem_cgroup_stat_add_atomic()
>>> ==
>>> and add this
>>> ==
>>> VM_BUG_ON(preempt_count() == 0)
>>> ==
>> _atomic sounds like a different thing to me. _nonpreemptible?
>>
> Hmm, ok.
>
> Thanks,
> -Kame
>

How about we call it __mem_cgroup_add_stat() and add a comment stating
that the routine should be called with pre-emption disabled?

We can also add the VM_BUG_ON() suggested.

--

Warm Regards,
Balbir Singh
Linux Technology Center
IBM, ISTL

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [4/5] statistics for memory cgroup
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 04:33:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 16 Oct 2007 09:25:43 +0530
Balbir Singh <balbir@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> How about we call it __mem_cgroup_add_stat() and add a comment stating
> that the routine should be called with pre-emption disabled?
>
> We can also add the VM_BUG_ON() suggested.
>
Ah, nice suggestion.
I'll think again.

Thanks,
-Kame

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH][ just for review] memory controller enhancements [1/5] force empty
Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Tue, 16 Oct 2007 06:32:11 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 16 Oct 2007 09:15:49 +0900 (JST)
yamamoto@valinux.co.jp (YAMAMOTO Takashi) wrote:

> > Index: devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c
> > ===================================================================
> > --- devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2.orig/mm/memcontrol.c
> > +++ devel-2.6.23-rc8-mm2/mm/memcontrol.c

> > @@ -469,6 +469,7 @@ void mem_cgroup_uncharge(struct page_cgr
> >  page = pc->page;
> >  /*
> >  * get page->cgroup and clear it under lock.
> > +  * force-empty can drop page->cgroup without checking refcnt.
>
> force_empty
>
> > + char buf[2] = "0";
>
> it should be static const unless you want a runtime assignment.
>
Sure, thank you for pointing out.

Regards,
-Kame

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers