
Subject: [PATCH] Uninline fork.c/exit.c

Posted by [Alexey Dobriyan](#) on Mon, 01 Oct 2007 12:15:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Save ~650 bytes here.

add/remove: 4/0 grow/shrink: 0/7 up/down: 430/-1088 (-658)

function	old	new	delta
__copy_fs_struct	-	202	+202
__put_fs_struct	-	112	+112
__exit_fs	-	58	+58
__exit_files	-	58	+58
exit_files	58	2	-56
put_fs_struct	112	5	-107
exit_fs	161	2	-159
sys_unshare	774	590	-184
copy_process	4031	3840	-191
do_exit	1791	1597	-194
copy_fs_struct	202	5	-197

No difference in Imbench lat_proc tests on 2-way Opteron 246.
Smaaaal degradation on UP P4 (within errors).

Signed-off-by: Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru>

```
kernel/exit.c | 6 +++---
kernel/fork.c | 20 ++++++++-----
2 files changed, 13 insertions(+), 13 deletions(-)
```

--- a/kernel/exit.c

+++ b/kernel/exit.c

```
@@ -493,7 +493,7 @@ void reset_files_struct(struct task_struct *tsk, struct files_struct *files)
}
EXPORT_SYMBOL(reset_files_struct);
```

```
-static inline void __exit_files(struct task_struct *tsk)
+static void __exit_files(struct task_struct *tsk)
{
    struct files_struct * files = tsk->files;
```

```
@@ -510,7 +510,7 @@ void exit_files(struct task_struct *tsk)
    __exit_files(tsk);
}
```

```
-static inline void __put_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *fs)
+static void __put_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *fs)
{
```

```

/* No need to hold fs->lock if we are killing it */
if (atomic_dec_and_test(&fs->count)) {
@@ -531,7 +531,7 @@ void put_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *fs)
__put_fs_struct(fs);
}

-static inline void __exit_fs(struct task_struct *tsk)
+static void __exit_fs(struct task_struct *tsk)
{
    struct fs_struct * fs = tsk->fs;

--- a/kernel/fork.c
+++ b/kernel/fork.c
@@ -195,7 +195,7 @@ static struct task_struct *dup_task_struct(struct task_struct *orig)
}

#ifdef CONFIG_MMU
-static inline int dup_mmap(struct mm_struct *mm, struct mm_struct *oldmm)
+static int dup_mmap(struct mm_struct *mm, struct mm_struct *oldmm)
{
    struct vm_area_struct *mpnt, *tmp, **pprev;
    struct rb_node **rb_link, *rb_parent;
@@ -573,7 +573,7 @@ fail_nomem:
    return retval;
}

-static inline struct fs_struct * __copy_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *old)
+static struct fs_struct * __copy_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *old)
{
    struct fs_struct *fs = kmem_cache_alloc(fs_cachep, GFP_KERNEL);
    /* We don't need to lock fs - think why ;-) */
@@ -605,7 +605,7 @@ struct fs_struct *copy_fs_struct(struct fs_struct *old)

EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(copy_fs_struct);

-static inline int copy_fs(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
+static int copy_fs(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
{
    if (clone_flags & CLONE_FS) {
        atomic_inc(&current->fs->count);
@@ -808,7 +808,7 @@ int unshare_files(void)

EXPORT_SYMBOL(unshare_files);

-static inline int copy_sighand(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
+static int copy_sighand(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
{
    struct sighand_struct *sig;

```

```
@@ -831,7 +831,7 @@ void __cleanup_sighand(struct sighand_struct *sighand)
    kmem_cache_free(sighand_cachep, sighand);
}
```

```
-static inline int copy_signal(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
+static int copy_signal(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct * tsk)
{
    struct signal_struct *sig;
    int ret;
@@ -911,7 +911,7 @@ void __cleanup_signal(struct signal_struct *sig)
    kmem_cache_free(signal_cachep, sig);
}
```

```
-static inline void cleanup_signal(struct task_struct *tsk)
+static void cleanup_signal(struct task_struct *tsk)
{
    struct signal_struct *sig = tsk->signal;
```

```
@@ -921,7 +921,7 @@ static inline void cleanup_signal(struct task_struct *tsk)
    __cleanup_signal(sig);
}
```

```
-static inline void copy_flags(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct *p)
+static void copy_flags(unsigned long clone_flags, struct task_struct *p)
{
    unsigned long new_flags = p->flags;
```

```
@@ -939,7 +939,7 @@ asmlinkage long sys_set_tid_address(int __user *tidptr)
    return current->pid;
}
```

```
-static inline void rt_mutex_init_task(struct task_struct *p)
+static void rt_mutex_init_task(struct task_struct *p)
{
    spin_lock_init(&p->pi_lock);
#ifdef CONFIG_RT_MUTEXES
@@ -1338,7 +1338,7 @@ struct task_struct * __cpuinit fork_idle(int cpu)
    return task;
}
```

```
-static inline int fork_traceflag (unsigned clone_flags)
+static int fork_traceflag (unsigned clone_flags)
{
    if (clone_flags & CLONE_UNTRACED)
        return 0;
@@ -1468,7 +1468,7 @@ void __init proc_caches_init(void)
    * Check constraints on flags passed to the unshare system call and
```

```
* force unsharing of additional process context as appropriate.
*/
-static inline void check_unshare_flags(unsigned long *flags_ptr)
+static void check_unshare_flags(unsigned long *flags_ptr)
{
/*
* If unsharing a thread from a thread group, must also
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Uninline fork.c/exit.c
Posted by [Arjan van de Ven](#) on Mon, 01 Oct 2007 18:08:14 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 1 Oct 2007 16:15:53 +0400
Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru> wrote:

```
> Save ~650 bytes here.
>
> add/remove: 4/0 grow/shrink: 0/7 up/down: 430/-1088 (-658)
> function                old    new  delta
> __copy_fs_struct         -    202  +202
> __put_fs_struct          -    112  +112
> __exit_fs                -     58  +58
> __exit_files             -     58  +58
> exit_files               58     2   -56
> put_fs_struct            112     5  -107
> exit_fs                  161     2  -159
> sys_unshare              774    590  -184
> copy_process             4031   3840  -191
> do_exit                  1791   1597  -194
> copy_fs_struct           202     5  -197
>
> No difference in Imbench lat_proc tests on 2-way Opteron 246.
> Smaaaal degradation on UP P4 (within errors).
>
```

is there any impact on stack usage?

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Uninline fork.c/exit.c
Posted by [Alexey Dobriyan](#) on Tue, 02 Oct 2007 06:40:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Oct 01, 2007 at 11:08:14AM -0700, Arjan van de Ven wrote:
> On Mon, 1 Oct 2007 16:15:53 +0400
> Alexey Dobriyan <adobriyan@sw.ru> wrote:
>

```

> > Save ~650 bytes here.
> >
> > add/remove: 4/0 grow/shrink: 0/7 up/down: 430/-1088 (-658)
> > function          old    new  delta
> > __copy_fs_struct      -   202  +202
> > __put_fs_struct       -   112  +112
> > __exit_fs            -    58  +58
> > __exit_files         -    58  +58
> > exit_files           58     2   -56
> > put_fs_struct         112     5  -107
> > exit_fs              161     2  -159
> > sys_unshare           774    590  -184
> > copy_process          4031   3840  -191
> > do_exit              1791   1597  -194
> > copy_fs_struct        202     5   -197
> >
> > No difference in lmbench lat_proc tests on 2-way Opteron 246.
> > Smaaaal degradation on UP P4 (within errors).
> >
>
> is there any impact on stack usage?

```

No differences in checkstack output which threshold is 100 bytes.
