
Subject: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2007 07:57:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The `__mandatory_lock(inode)` macro makes the same check, but makes the code more readable.

Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
Cc: Trond Myklebust <trond.myklebust@fys.uio.no>

fs/nfs/file.c | 3 +--

1 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 2 deletions(-)

diff --git a/fs/nfs/file.c b/fs/nfs/file.c

index 73ddd2e..7a07be1 100644

--- a/fs/nfs/file.c

+++ b/fs/nfs/file.c

@@ -605,8 +605,7 @@ static int nfs_lock(struct file *filp, i
nfs_inc_stats(inode, NFSIOS_VFSLOCK);

/* No mandatory locks over NFS */

- if ((inode->i_mode & (S_ISGID | S_IXGRP)) == S_ISGID &&

- fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)

+ if (__mandatory_lock(inode) && fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
return -ENOLCK;

if (IS_GETLK(cmd))

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks
Posted by [Trond Myklebust](#) on Mon, 17 Sep 2007 17:03:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 2007-09-17 at 11:57 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> The `__mandatory_lock(inode)` macro makes the same check, but
> makes the code more readable.

Could we please avoid using underscores in macros. Also, why are we breaking the usual convention of capitalising macro names?

Cheers
Trond

> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
> Cc: Trond Myklebust <trond.myklebust@fys.uio.no>
>

```
> ---
>
> fs/nfs/file.c | 3 +--
> 1 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 2 deletions(-)
>
> diff --git a/fs/nfs/file.c b/fs/nfs/file.c
> index 73ddd2e..7a07be1 100644
> --- a/fs/nfs/file.c
> +++ b/fs/nfs/file.c
> @@ -605,8 +605,7 @@ static int nfs_lock(struct file *filp, i
>  nfs_inc_stats(inode, NFSIOS_VFSLOCK);
>
> /* No mandatory locks over NFS */
> - if ((inode->i_mode & (S_ISGID | S_IXGRP)) == S_ISGID &&
> -    fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
> + if (__mandatory_lock(inode) && fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
>     return -ENOLCK;
>
> if (IS_GETLK(cmd))
>
```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Tue, 18 Sep 2007 06:20:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Trond Myklebust wrote:

```
> On Mon, 2007-09-17 at 11:57 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:
>> The __mandatory_lock(inode) macro makes the same check, but
>> makes the code more readable.
>
> Could we please avoid using underscores in macros. Also, why are we
> breaking the usual convention of capitalising macro names?
```

Sorry, I've forgot to change all the log - this is not a macro, but a static inline function. The underscores are here, because the mandatory_lock() one already exists and additionally checks for "if (IS_MANDLOCK(inode))"

Thanks,
Pavel

```
> Cheers
> Trond
>
>> Signed-off-by: Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org>
>> Cc: Trond Myklebust <trond.myklebust@fys.uio.no>
>>
```

```

>> ---
>>
>> fs/nfs/file.c | 3 +--
>> 1 files changed, 1 insertion(+), 2 deletions(-)
>>
>> diff --git a/fs/nfs/file.c b/fs/nfs/file.c
>> index 73ddd2e..7a07be1 100644
>> --- a/fs/nfs/file.c
>> +++ b/fs/nfs/file.c
>> @@ -605,8 +605,7 @@ static int nfs_lock(struct file *filp, i
>>  nfs_inc_stats(inode, NFSIOS_VFSLOCK);
>>
>> /* No mandatory locks over NFS */
>> - if ((inode->i_mode & (S_ISGID | S_IXGRP)) == S_ISGID &&
>> -    fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
>> + if (__mandatory_lock(inode) && fl->fl_type != F_UNLCK)
>>     return -ENOLCK;
>>
>> if (IS_GETLK(cmd))
>>
>
>

```

Subject: Re: [PATCH 5/5][NFS] Cleanup explicit check for mandatory locks
 Posted by [Trond Myklebust](#) on Tue, 18 Sep 2007 13:27:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2007-09-18 at 10:20 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Trond Myklebust wrote:

> > On Mon, 2007-09-17 at 11:57 +0400, Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> >> The __mandatory_lock(inode) macro makes the same check, but

> >> makes the code more readable.

> >

> > Could we please avoid using underscores in macros. Also, why are we

> > breaking the usual convention of capitalising macro names?

>

> Sorry, I've forgot to change all the log - this is not a macro,

> but a static inline function. The underscores are here, because

> the mandatory_lock() one already exists and additionally checks

> for "if (IS_MANDLOCK(inode))"

OK. I withdraw my objection then.

Cheers
 Trond
