Subject: Network Namespace status Posted by ebiederm on Thu, 13 Sep 2007 19:12:08 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Now that the network namespace work is partly merged I figure a short status summary of where everything is at is in order.

David Miller has merged the core of the network namespace work and that probably needs to sit just a little while to make certain we don't have unexpected breakage.

Before enabling multiple instances of the network namespace it is necessary to sort through a few last user interface issues.

In Greg KH's tree there is work from Tejun and myself that decouples the sysfs dentry tree from the kobject tree, and Tejun is actively working on completing that decoupling. From the current sysfs state it takes just a handful of patches to support multiple super_blocks each displaying the network devices for a different network namespace. And the last round of patches that did that Tejun and I almost agree upon. That support is needed before we can allow network devices to exist in anything except the initial network namespace.

In Andrew's tree there is the start of my sysctl cleanup. Basically just an additional sanity check in register_sysctl_table and a bunch of fixes to avoid the errors that sanity check has found. Pending I have a few more general cleanups and code to support multiple network namespaces. Last we talked Andrew said I have sent him enough sysctl changes for now, and to wait until after the merge window before sending more.

The proc support in the net-2.6.24 tree is reasonable from the direction of the networking code. Currently I am looking at "current->net_ns" and resolving /proc/net based upon that. Long term we want to refactor that code so that "current->net_ns" is captured when we mount /proc. So the network namespace state can be monitored from outside applications, and so that we aren't playing dangerous games with the vfs dentry trees.

The final blocker to having multiple useful instances of network namespaces is the loopback device. We recognize the network namespace of incoming packets by looking at dev->nd_net. Which means for packets to properly loopback within a network namespace we need a loopback device per network namespace. There were some concerns expressed when we posted the cleanup part of the patches that allowed for multiple loopback devices a few weeks ago so resolving this one may be tricky.

Looking into my patch queue I have:

5 patches for cleaning up and making a per network namespace loopback device.

- 4 patches for making rtnetlink message processing per network namespace
- 1 patch for making AF_UNIX per network namespace
- 1 patch for making AF_PACKET per network namespace

The ipv4 part of my patchset is currently working but it needs some more cleanup and reordering of patches before it is ready to go anywhere. Nothing has been done for ipv6, but the changes should very much parallel ipv4.

The other protocols I haven't even looked at yet.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Network Namespace status
Posted by Oliver Hartkopp on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 06:03:56 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

- > Looking into my patch queue I have:
- > 5 patches for cleaning up and making a per network namespace loopback device.
- > 4 patches for making rtnetlink message processing per network namespace
- > 1 patch for making AF UNIX per network namespace
- > 1 patch for making AF_PACKET per network namespace

>

- > The ipv4 part of my patchset is currently working but it needs some
- > more cleanup and reordering of patches before it is ready to go anywhere.
- > Nothing has been done for ipv6, but the changes should very much parallel
- > ipv4.

>

> The other protocols I haven't even looked at yet.

>

Hi Eric,

can you send me your current AF_PACKET patch? I just want to update our recent post of the CAN (controller area network) subsystem (AF_CAN) which is (in some parts) similar to AF_PACKET. So i can take a look on it to provide the latest technique in the next post ...

Thanks.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Network Namespace status Posted by davem on Sun, 16 Sep 2007 22:36:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: ebiederm@xmission.com (Eric W. Biederman)

Date: Thu, 13 Sep 2007 13:12:08 -0600

- > The final blocker to having multiple useful instances of network
- > namespaces is the loopback device. We recognize the network namespace
- > of incoming packets by looking at dev->nd_net. Which means for
- > packets to properly loopback within a network namespace we need a
- > loopback device per network namespace. There were some concerns
- > expressed when we posted the cleanup part of the patches that allowed
- > for multiple loopback devices a few weeks ago so resolving this one
- > may be tricky.

There was a change posted recently to dynamically allocate the loopback device. I like that (sorry I don't have a reference to the patch handy), and you can build on top of that to get the namespace local loopback objects you want.

```
static struct net_device *loopback_dev(struct net_namespace *net)
{
...
}
```

You get the idea.

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Subject: Re: Network Namespace status Posted by ebiederm on Sun, 16 Sep 2007 23:47:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Miller <davem@davemloft.net> writes:

```
> From: ebiederm@xmission.com (Eric W. Biederman)
> Date: Thu, 13 Esp 2007 13:12:08 -0600
>
>> The final blocker to having multiple useful instances of network
>> namespaces is the loopback device. We recognize the network namespace
>> of incoming packets by looking at dev->nd net. Which means for
>> packets to properly loopback within a network namespace we need a
>> loopback device per network namespace. There were some concerns
>> expressed when we posted the cleanup part of the patches that allowed
>> for multiple loopback devices a few weeks ago so resolving this one
>> may be tricky.
>
> There was a change posted recently to dynamically allocate the
> loopback device. I like that (sorry I don't have a reference
> to the patch handy), and you can build on top of that to get
> the namespace local loopback objects you want.
> static struct net device *loopback dev(struct net namespace *net)
> {
> ...
> }
> You get the idea.
```

Sure. Thanks.

Since the change got dropped I figured it for a rejection, and that I would have to rework that patch.

On a similar note. It recently occurred to me that I can make creating multiple network namespaces depend on !CONFIG_SYSFS. Which will allow most of the rest of the patches I am sure of to be merged now. And give me just a little more time to work with Tejun and finish up the sysfs support.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers