
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Jan Engelhardt](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:05:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sep 10 2007 22:40, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

```
>+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
>+SUBSYS(cpuctlr)
>+#endif
```

cpuctl, cpuctrl, cpu_controller?

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:23:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 07:05:00PM +0200, Jan Engelhardt wrote:

> On Sep 10 2007 22:40, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

```
> >+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
> >+SUBSYS(cpuctlr)
> >+#endif
>
> cpuctl, cpuctrl, cpu_controller?
```

shrug .. I used "cpuctlr" to mean "CPU Controller". Any other short names would do. From your list, cpuctl or cpuctrl both qualifies IMO!

Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:28:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:53:34PM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> > cpuctl, cpuctrl, cpu_controller?
>
> *shrug* .. I used "cpuctlr" to mean "CPU Controller". Any other short names
> would do. From your list, cpuctl or cpuctrl both qualifies IMO!
>
> Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.

s/cptctlr/cpuctlr !

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
