
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [akpm](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:22:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, 10 Sep 2007 22:53:34 +0530 Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

```
> On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 07:05:00PM +0200, Jan Engelhardt wrote:  
> > On Sep 10 2007 22:40, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:  
> > >+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED  
> > >+SUBSYS(cpuctlr)  
> > >+endif  
>  
> > cpuctl, cpuctrl, cpu_controller?  
>  
> *shrug* .. I used "cpuctlr" to mean "CPU Controller". Any other short names  
> would do. From your list, cpuctl or cpuctrl both qualifies IMO!  
>  
> Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.  
>
```

objection ;)"cptctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Jan Engelhardt](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:41:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sep 10 2007 10:22, Andrew Morton wrote:

```
>> Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.  
>  
>Kernel code is write-rarely, read-often.
```

I think you mean __read_mostly. :-)

Jan

--

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 17:46:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:22:59AM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:
> objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
> read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)

Ok! Here's the modified patch (against 2.6.23-rc4-mm1).

Signed-off-by : Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Signed-off-by : Dhaval Giani <dhaval@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

```
---  
include/linux/container_subsys.h |  5  
init/Kconfig                   |  9 +  
kernel/sched.c                 | 311 ++++++  
kernel/sched_fair.c            |   3  
4 files changed, 313 insertions(+), 15 deletions(-)  
  
Index: current/include/linux/container_subsys.h  
=====--- current.orig/include/linux/container_subsys.h  
+++ current/include/linux/container_subsys.h  
@@ -36,3 +36,8 @@ SUBSYS(mem_container)  
#endif  
  
/* */  
+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED  
+SUBSYS(cpu_controller)  
+#endif  
+  
+/* */  
Index: current/init/Kconfig  
=====--- current.orig/init/Kconfig  
+++ current/init/Kconfig  
@@ -326,6 +326,15 @@ config RESOURCE_COUNTERS  
    infrastructure that works with containers  
    depends on CONTAINERS  
  
+config FAIR_GROUP_SCHED  
+ bool "Fair group scheduler"  
+ depends on EXPERIMENTAL && CONTAINERS  
+ help  
+ This option enables you to group tasks and control CPU resource  
+ allocation to such groups.  
+  
+ Say N if unsure.
```

```

+
config SYSFS_DEPRECATED
    bool "Create deprecated sysfs files"
    default y
Index: current/kernel/sched.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched.c
+++ current/kernel/sched.c
@@ -179,6 +179,58 @@ struct load_stat {
    unsigned long delta_fair, delta_exec, delta_stat;
};

+ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+include <linux/container.h>
+
+struct cfs_rq;
+
+/* task group related information */
+struct task_grp {
+    struct container_subsys_state css;
+    /* schedulable entities of this group on each cpu */
+    struct sched_entity **se;
+    /* runqueue "owned" by this group on each cpu */
+    struct cfs_rq **cfs_rq;
+    unsigned long shares;
+};
+
+/* Default task group's sched entity on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct sched_entity, init_sched_entity);
+/* Default task group's cfs_rq on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct cfs_rq, init_cfs_rq) ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
+
+static struct sched_entity *init_sched_entity_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+static struct cfs_rq *init_cfs_rq_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+
+/* Default task group.
+ * Every task in system belong to this group at bootup.
+ */
+static struct task_grp init_task_grp = {
+    .se    = init_sched_entity_p,
+    .cfs_rq = init_cfs_rq_p,
+};
+
+/* return group to which a task belongs */
+static inline struct task_grp *task_grp(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    return container_of(task_subsys_state(p, cpu_controller_subsys_id),

```

```

+   struct task_grp, css);
+
+/* Change a task's cfs_rq and parent entity if it moves across CPUs/groups */
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+ p->se.cfs_rq = task_grp(p)->cfs_rq[task_cpu(p)];
+ p->se.parent = task_grp(p)->se[task_cpu(p)];
+}
+
+#
+else
+
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p) { }
+
+#
/* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
+
/* CFS-related fields in a runqueue */
struct cfs_rq {
    struct load_weight load;
@@ -208,6 +260,7 @@ struct cfs_rq {
    * list is used during load balance.
    */
    struct list_head leaf_cfs_rq_list; /* Better name : task_cfs_rq_list? */
+    struct task_grp *tg; /* group that "owns" this runqueue */
#endif
};

@@ -405,18 +458,6 @@ unsigned long long cpu_clock(int cpu)

EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(cpu_clock);

#endif CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
/* Change a task's ->cfs_rq if it moves across CPUs */
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
- p->se.cfs_rq = &task_rq(p)->cfs;
-}
-#
-#else
-
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
-}
-#
#endif
-
#ifndef prepare_arch_switch
#define prepare_arch_switch(next) do { } while (0)
#endif
@@ -6567,7 +6608,25 @@ void __init sched_init(void)
    init_cfs_rq(&rq->cfs, rq);

```

```

#endif CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
    INIT_LIST_HEAD(&rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
- list_add(&rq->cfs.leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ {
+   struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = &per_cpu(init_cfs_rq, i);
+   struct sched_entity *se =
+     &per_cpu(init_sched_entity, i);
+
+   init_cfs_rq_p[i] = cfs_rq;
+   init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+   cfs_rq->tg = &init_task_grp;
+   list_add(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list,
+           &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+   init_sched_entity_p[i] = se;
+   se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+   se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+   se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+   se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+   se->parent = NULL;
+ }
+ init_task_grp.shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
#endif
rq->ls.load_update_last = now;
rq->ls.load_update_start = now;
@@ -6764,3 +6823,229 @@ void set_curr_task(int cpu, struct task_
}

#endif
+
+/* return corresponding task_grp object of a container */
+static inline struct task_grp *container_tg(struct container *cont)
+{
+ return container_of(container_subsys_state(cont,
+   cpu_controller_subsys_id), struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* allocate runqueue etc for a new task group */
+static struct container_subsys_state *
+sched_create_group(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg;
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+ struct sched_entity *se;
+ int i;
+

```

```

+ if (!cont->parent) {
+ /* This is early initialization for the top container */
+ init_task_grp.css.container = cont;
+ return &init_task_grp.css;
+ }
+
+ /* we support only 1-level deep hierarchical scheduler atm */
+ if (cont->parent->parent)
+ return ERR_PTR(-EINVAL);
+
+ tg = kzalloc(sizeof(*tg), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg)
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
+ tg->cfs_rq = kzalloc(sizeof(cfs_rq) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg->cfs_rq)
+ goto err;
+ tg->se = kzalloc(sizeof(se) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg->se)
+ goto err;
+
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ struct rq *rq = cpu_rq(i);
+
+ cfs_rq = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct cfs_rq), GFP_KERNEL,
+ cpu_to_node(i));
+ if (!cfs_rq)
+ goto err;
+
+ se = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct sched_entity), GFP_KERNEL,
+ cpu_to_node(i));
+ if (!se)
+ goto err;
+
+ memset(cfs_rq, 0, sizeof(struct cfs_rq));
+ memset(se, 0, sizeof(struct sched_entity));
+
+ tg->cfs_rq[i] = cfs_rq;
+ init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+ cfs_rq->tg = tg;
+ list_add_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+ tg->se[i] = se;
+ se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+ se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+ se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+ se->parent = NULL;

```

```

+ }
+
+ tg->shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
+
+ /* Bind the container to task_grp object we just created */
+ tg->css.container = cont;
+
+ return &tg->css;
+
+err:
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ if (tg->cfs_rq && tg->cfs_rq[i])
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq[i]);
+ if (tg->se && tg->se[i])
+ kfree(tg->se[i]);
+
+ if (tg->cfs_rq)
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq);
+ if (tg->se)
+ kfree(tg->se);
+ if (tg)
+ kfree(tg);
+
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+}
+
+/* destroy runqueue etc associated with a task group */
+static void sched_destroy_group(struct container_subsys *ss,
+ struct container *cont)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+ struct sched_entity *se;
+ int i;
+
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+ list_del_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ }
+
+ /* wait for possible concurrent references to cfs_rqs complete */
+ synchronize_sched();
+
+ /* now it should be safe to free those cfs_rqs */
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+ kfree(cfs_rq);

```

```

+
+ se = tg->se[i];
+ kfree(se);
+
+ }
+
+ kfree(tg);
+}
+
+/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
+static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
+    struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ int on_rq;
+ unsigned long flags;
+ struct rq *rq;
+
+ rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
+
+ on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+     deactivate_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+
+ if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
+     tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
+
+ set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+     activate_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+
+ if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
+     tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
+
+ task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
+}
+
+static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)
+{
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
+ struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
+ int on_rq;
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
+
+ on_rq = se->on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+     __dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+

```

```

+ se->load.weight = shares;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+ __enqueue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+
+ spin_unlock_irq(&rq->lock);
+}
+
+static ssize_t cpu_shares_write(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cftype,
+ struct file *file, const char __user *userbuf,
+ size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ int i;
+ unsigned long shareval;
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ char buffer[2*sizeof(unsigned long)];
+
+ if (nbytes > 2*sizeof(unsigned long)) /* safety check */
+ return -E2BIG;
+
+ if (copy_from_user(buffer, userbuf, nbytes))
+ return -EFAULT;
+
+ buffer[nbytes] = 0; /* nul-terminate */
+ shareval = simple_strtoul(buffer, NULL, 10);
+
+ tg->shares = shareval;
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i)
+ set_se_shares(tg->se[i], shareval);
+
+ return nbytes;
+}
+
+static u64 cpu_shares_read_uint(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cft)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+
+ return (u64) tg->shares;
+}
+
+struct cftype cpuctl_share = {
+ .name = "shares",
+ .read_uint = cpu_shares_read_uint,
+ .write = cpu_shares_write,
+};
+
+static int sched_populate(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)

```

```

+{
+ return container_add_file(cont, ss, &cpuctl_share);
+}
+
+struct container_subsys cpu_controller_subsys = {
+ .name = "cpu",
+ .create = sched_create_group,
+ .destroy = sched_destroy_group,
+ .attach = sched_move_task,
+ .populate = sched_populate,
+ .subsys_id = cpu_controller_subsys_id,
+ .early_init = 1,
+};
+
+#
+/* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
Index: current/kernel/sched_fair.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_fair.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_fair.c
@@ -798,8 +798,7 @@ static inline struct cfs_rq *group_cfs_r
 */
static inline struct cfs_rq *cpu_cfs_rq(struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq, int this_cpu)
{
- /* A later patch will take group into account */
- return &cpu_rq(this_cpu)->cfs;
+ return cfs_rq->tg->cfs_rq[this_cpu];
}

/* Iterate thr' all leaf cfs_rq's on a runqueue */

--
```

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
 Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 18:38:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/10/07, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>
 > Unless folks have strong objection to it, I prefer "cptctlr", the way it is.

>

By definition any container (about to be renamed control group) subsystem is some kind of "controller" so that bit seems a bit redundant.

Any reason not to just call it "cpu" or "cpu_sched"

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Dmitry Adamushko](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 22:28:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/09/2007, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:22:59AM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:
> > objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
> > read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)
>
> Ok! Here's the modified patch (against 2.6.23-rc4-mm1).
>
> [...]
>
> /* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
> +static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
> + struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
> +{
> + int on_rq;
> + unsigned long flags;
> + struct rq *rq;
> +
> + rq = task_rq_lock tsk, &flags);
> +

I guess, update_rq_clock(rq) should be placed here.

hummm... do you really need deactivate/activate_task() here? 'rq' and p->se.load.weight stay unchanged so enqueue/dequeue_task() would do a job, no?

Although, I might be missing (definitely, as this part is not completely clear to me yet) something important at this late hour :)

```
> +     on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
> +     if (on_rq)
> +         deactivate_task(rq, tsk, 0);
> +
> +     if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
> +         tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
> +
> +     set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);
> +
> +     if (on_rq)
> +         activate_task(rq, tsk, 0);
> +
> +     if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
> +         tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
> +
> +     task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
> +}
> +
```

--
Best regards,
Dmitry Adamushko

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Dmitry Adamushko](#) on Mon, 10 Sep 2007 22:37:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/09/2007, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
> On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 10:22:59AM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:
> > objection ;) "cpuctlr" isn't memorable. Kernel code is write-rarely,
> > read-often. "cpu_controller", please. The extra typing is worth it ;)
>
> Ok! Here's the modified patch (against 2.6.23-rc4-mm1).

as everyone seems to be in a quest for a better name... I think, the
obvious one would be just 'group_sched'.

--
Best regards,
Dmitry Adamushko

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 04:41:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, Sep 11, 2007 at 12:28:51AM +0200, Dmitry Adamushko wrote:

```
> > +    rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
> > +
>
> I guess, update_rq_clock(rq) should be placed here.
>
> humm... do you really need deactivate/activate_task() here? 'rq' and
> p->se.load.weight stay unchanged so enqueue/dequeue_task() would do a
> job, no?
```

Good catch. Here's the updated patch.

Signed-off-by : Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

Signed-off-by : Dhaval Giani <dhaval@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

```
---
include/linux/container_subsys.h |  6
init/Kconfig                   |  9 +
kernel/sched.c                | 312 ++++++=====
kernel/sched_fair.c           |   3
4 files changed, 315 insertions(+), 15 deletions(-)
```

Index: current/include/linux/container_subsys.h

```
=====
--- current.orig/include/linux/container_subsys.h
+++ current/include/linux/container_subsys.h
@@ -36,3 +36,9 @@ SUBSYS(mem_container)
#endif
```

```
/* */
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+SUBSYS(cpu)
+#endif
+
+/* */
Index: current/init/Kconfig
```

```
=====
--- current.orig/init/Kconfig
+++ current/init/Kconfig
@@ -326,6 +326,15 @@ config RESOURCE_COUNTERS
    infrastructure that works with containers
    depends on CONTAINERS

+config FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+ bool "Fair group scheduler"
+ depends on EXPERIMENTAL && CONTAINERS
+ help
+   This option enables you to group tasks and control CPU resource
+   allocation to such groups.
+
+   Say N if unsure.
+
config SYSFS_DEPRECATED
    bool "Create deprecated sysfs files"
    default y
Index: current/kernel/sched.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched.c
+++ current/kernel/sched.c
@@ -179,6 +179,58 @@ struct load_stat {
    unsigned long delta_fair, delta_exec, delta_stat;
};

+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+#include <linux/container.h>
+
+struct cfs_rq;
+
+/* task group related information */
+struct task_grp {
+    struct container_subsys_state css;
+    /* schedulable entities of this group on each cpu */
+    struct sched_entity **se;
+    /* runqueue "owned" by this group on each cpu */
+    struct cfs_rq **cfs_rq;
+    unsigned long shares;
+};
+
+/* Default task group's sched entity on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct sched_entity, init_sched_entity);
+/* Default task group's cfs_rq on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct cfs_rq, init_cfs_rq) ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
+
```

```

+static struct sched_entity *init_sched_entity_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+static struct cfs_rq *init_cfs_rq_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+
+/* Default task group.
+ * Every task in system belong to this group at bootup.
+ */
+static struct task_grp init_task_grp = {
+    .se    = init_sched_entity_p,
+    .cfs_rq = init_cfs_rq_p,
+};
+
+/* return group to which a task belongs */
+static inline struct task_grp *task_grp(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    return container_of(task_subsys_state(p, cpu_subsys_id),
+                        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* Change a task's cfs_rq and parent entity if it moves across CPUs/groups */
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    p->se.cfs_rq = task_grp(p)->cfs_rq[task_cpu(p)];
+    p->se.parent = task_grp(p)->se[task_cpu(p)];
+}
+
+/*#else
+
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p) { }
+
+/*endif /* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
+
/* CFS-related fields in a runqueue */
struct cfs_rq {
    struct load_weight load;
@@ -208,6 +260,7 @@ struct cfs_rq {
    * list is used during load balance.
    */
    struct list_head leaf_cfs_rq_list; /* Better name : task_cfs_rq_list? */
+    struct task_grp *tg; /* group that "owns" this runqueue */
#endif
};

@@ -405,18 +458,6 @@ unsigned long long cpu_clock(int cpu)

EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(cpu_clock);

#ifndef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
/* Change a task's ->cfs_rq if it moves across CPUs */

```

```

-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
- p->se.cfs_rq = &task_rq(p)->cfs;
-}
-#else
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
-}
-#endif
-
#ifndef prepare_arch_switch
#define prepare_arch_switch(next) do { } while (0)
#endif
@@ -6567,7 +6608,25 @@ void __init sched_init(void)
    init_cfs_rq(&rq->cfs, rq);
#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
    INIT_LIST_HEAD(&rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
- list_add(&rq->cfs.leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ {
+     struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = &per_cpu(init_cfs_rq, i);
+     struct sched_entity *se =
+         &per_cpu(init_sched_entity, i);
+     +
+     init_cfs_rq_p[i] = cfs_rq;
+     init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+     cfs_rq->tg = &init_task_grp;
+     list_add(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list,
+             &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+     +
+     init_sched_entity_p[i] = se;
+     se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+     se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+     se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+     se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+     se->parent = NULL;
+ }
+ init_task_grp.shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
#endif
rq->ls.load_update_last = now;
rq->ls.load_update_start = now;
@@ -6764,3 +6823,230 @@ void set_curr_task(int cpu, struct task_
}

#endif
+
+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+/* return corresponding task_grp object of a container */

```

```
+static inline struct task_grp *container_tg(struct container *cont)
+{
+    return container_of(container_subsys_state(cont, cpu_subsys_id),
+        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* allocate runqueue etc for a new task group */
+static struct container_subsys_state *
+sched_create_group(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+    struct task_grp *tg;
+    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+    struct sched_entity *se;
+    int i;
+
+    if (!cont->parent) {
+        /* This is early initialization for the top container */
+        init_task_grp.css.container = cont;
+        return &init_task_grp.css;
+    }
+
+    /* we support only 1-level deep hierarchical scheduler atm */
+    if (cont->parent->parent)
+        return ERR_PTR(-EINVAL);
+
+    tg = kzalloc(sizeof(*tg), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg)
+        return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
+    tg->cfs_rq = kzalloc(sizeof(cfs_rq) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg->cfs_rq)
+        goto err;
+    tg->se = kzalloc(sizeof(se) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg->se)
+        goto err;
+
+    for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+        struct rq *rq = cpu_rq(i);
+
+        cfs_rq = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct cfs_rq), GFP_KERNEL,
+            cpu_to_node(i));
+        if (!cfs_rq)
+            goto err;
+
+        se = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct sched_entity), GFP_KERNEL,
+            cpu_to_node(i));
+        if (!se)
+            goto err;
```

```

+
+ memset(cfs_rq, 0, sizeof(struct cfs_rq));
+ memset(se, 0, sizeof(struct sched_entity));
+
+ tg->cfs_rq[i] = cfs_rq;
+ init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+ cfs_rq->tg = tg;
+ list_add_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+ tg->se[i] = se;
+ se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+ se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+ se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+ se->parent = NULL;
+
+ tg->shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
+
+ /* Bind the container to task_grp object we just created */
+ tg->css.container = cont;
+
+ return &tg->css;
+
+err:
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+     if (tg->cfs_rq && tg->cfs_rq[i])
+         kfree(tg->cfs_rq[i]);
+     if (tg->se && tg->se[i])
+         kfree(tg->se[i]);
+
+     if (tg->cfs_rq)
+         kfree(tg->cfs_rq);
+     if (tg->se)
+         kfree(tg->se);
+     if (tg)
+         kfree(tg);
+
+     return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+ }
+
+ /* destroy runqueue etc associated with a task group */
+static void sched_destroy_group(struct container_subsys *ss,
+    struct container *cont)
+{
+    struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;

```

```

+ struct sched_entity *se;
+ int i;
+
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+   cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+   list_del_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ }
+
+ /* wait for possible concurrent references to cfs_rqs complete */
+ synchronize_sched();
+
+ /* now it should be safe to free those cfs_rqs */
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+   cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+   kfree(cfs_rq);
+
+   se = tg->se[i];
+   kfree(se);
+ }
+
+ kfree(tg);
+}
+
/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
+static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
+  struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ int on_rq;
+ unsigned long flags;
+ struct rq *rq;
+
+ rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
+ update_rq_clock(rq);
+
+ on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+   dequeue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+
+ if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
+   tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
+
+ set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+   enqueue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+
+ if (unlikely(rq->curr == tsk) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
+   tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);

```

```

+
+ task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
+}
+
+static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)
+{
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
+ struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
+ int on_rq;
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
+
+ on_rq = se->on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+ __dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+
+ se->load.weight = shares;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+ __enqueue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+
+ spin_unlock_irq(&rq->lock);
+}
+
+static ssize_t cpu_shares_write(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cftype,
+ struct file *file, const char __user *userbuf,
+ size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ int i;
+ unsigned long shareval;
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ char buffer[2*sizeof(unsigned long) + 1];
+
+ if (nbytes > 2*sizeof(unsigned long)) /* safety check */
+ return -E2BIG;
+
+ if (copy_from_user(buffer, userbuf, nbytes))
+ return -EFAULT;
+
+ buffer[nbytes] = 0; /* nul-terminate */
+ shareval = simple_strtoul(buffer, NULL, 10);
+
+ tg->shares = shareval;
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i)
+ set_se_shares(tg->se[i], shareval);
+
+ return nbytes;

```

```

+}
+
+static u64 cpu_shares_read_uint(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cft)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+
+ return (u64) tg->shares;
+}
+
+struct cftype cpuctl_share = {
+ .name = "shares",
+ .read_uint = cpu_shares_read_uint,
+ .write = cpu_shares_write,
+};
+
+static int sched_populate(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+ return container_add_file(cont, ss, &cpuctl_share);
+}
+
+struct container_subsys cpu_subsys = {
+ .name = "cpu",
+ .create = sched_create_group,
+ .destroy = sched_destroy_group,
+ .attach = sched_move_task,
+ .populate = sched_populate,
+ .subsys_id = cpu_subsys_id,
+ .early_init = 1,
+};
+
+#endif /* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
Index: current/kernel/sched_fair.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_fair.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_fair.c
@@ -798,8 +798,7 @@ static inline struct cfs_rq *group_cfs_r
 */
static inline struct cfs_rq *cpu_cfs_rq(struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq, int this_cpu)
{
- /* A later patch will take group into account */
- return &cpu_rq(this_cpu)->cfs;
+ return cfs_rq->tg->cfs_rq[this_cpu];
}

/* Iterate thr' all leaf cfs_rq's on a runqueue */
--
Regards,
vatsa

```

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 04:44:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 10, 2007 at 11:38:10AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:
> By definition any container (about to be renamed control group)
> subsystem is some kind of "controller" so that bit seems a bit
> redundant.
>
> Any reason not to just call it "cpu" or "cpu_sched"

Done (in the latest patch I sent a while back)!

--
Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Dmitry Adamushko](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 09:53:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11/09/2007, Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> [...]

I guess, 'rq->curr == tsk' implies a task was on the 'rq' (before dequeuing) in this particular case. What's about a minor optimization like below (plus, let's make use of task_running()):

[btw., real-time task can be also added to a container, right? I guess, it can be used at least for group-aware load-balancing of rt_tasks... otherwise, I guess, cpu-resource controlling is not that applicable to, say, SCHED_FIFO :-) Anyway, to this goal rt_task should become aware of group-related bits of the 'struct sched_entity'... and at the moment, the code below is

effectively just a 'nop' for them.. right?]

```
/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
                           struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
{
    int on_rq, running;
    unsigned long flags;
    struct rq *rq;

    rq = task_rq_lock	tsk, &flags);
    update_rq_clock(rq);

    running = task_running(rq, tsk);
    on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
    if (on_rq) {
        dequeue_task(rq, tsk, 0);

        if (unlikely(running) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
            tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
    }

    set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);

    if (on_rq) {
        enqueue_task(rq, tsk, 0);

        if (unlikely(running) && tsk->sched_class == &fair_sched_class)
            tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
    }

    task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
}
```

> --

> Regards,

> vatsa

>

--

Best regards,

Dmitry Adamushko

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2007 11:33:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, Sep 11, 2007 at 11:53:51AM +0200, Dmitry Adamushko wrote:

> I guess, 'rq->curr == tsk' implies a task was on the 'rq' (before
> dequeuing) in this particular case. What's about a minor optimization
> like below (plus, let's make use of task_running()):

Hi Dmitry,

The modified code looks very good to me (and neater too!). Updated patch below.

> [btw., real-time task can be also added to a container, right?

I am not sure whether we want to allow that. I have been assuming that only SCHED_NORMAL tasks will be in containers.

Imagine two SCHED_FIFO tasks being put in two different containers -and-controlling cpu cycle allocation to both containers. Do we actually timeslice the two SCHED_FIFO tasks now that are across containers? Also we need a mechanism to choose a container first to schedule and then the real-time task in it (similar to how its being done in SCHED_NORMAL case). Which means we need one rt_rq per container per cpu.

Kirill, do you require real-time tasks to be present in containers?

> I guess, it can be used at least for group-aware load-balancing of
> rt_tasks... otherwise, I guess, cpu-resource controlling is not that
> applicable to, say, SCHED_FIFO :-)

Yes, if we were to allow SCHED_FIFO/RR tasks in containers, then the load balancer would need to spread rt-tasks of a container across CPUs to ensure low scheduling latencies for them.

> Anyway, to this goal rt_task should become aware of group-related bits
> of the 'struct sched_entity'... and at the moment, the code below is
> effectively just a 'nop' for them.. right?]

Yes. To emphasise this code doesn't support real-time tasks in a container, I am returning -ENOTSUP when trying to move a rt-task into a container.

Thanks for all your review!

Add interface to control cpu bandwidth allocation to task-groups.

Signed-off-by : Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Signed-off-by : Dhaval Giani <dhaval@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

```
---  
include/linux/container_subsys.h |  6  
init/Kconfig                   |  9 +  
kernel/sched.c                | 330 ++++++  
kernel/sched_fair.c           |   3  
4 files changed, 333 insertions(+), 15 deletions(-)
```

Index: current/include/linux/container_subsys.h

```
=====--- current.orig/include/linux/container_subsys.h  
+++ current/include/linux/container_subsys.h  
@@ -36,3 +36,9 @@ SUBSYS(mem_container)  
#endif
```

```
/* */  
+  
+#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED  
+SUBSYS(cpu)  
+#endif  
+  
+/* */
```

Index: current/init/Kconfig

```
=====--- current.orig/init/Kconfig  
+++ current/init/Kconfig  
@@ -326,6 +326,15 @@ config RESOURCE_COUNTERS  
    infrastructure that works with containers  
    depends on CONTAINERS
```

```
+config FAIR_GROUP_SCHED  
+ bool "Fair group scheduler"  
+ depends on EXPERIMENTAL && CONTAINERS  
+ help  
+ This option enables you to group tasks and control CPU resource  
+ allocation to such groups.  
+  
+ Say N if unsure.  
+
```

```
config SYSFS_DEPRECATED  
bool "Create deprecated sysfs files"  
default y
```

Index: current/kernel/sched.c

```
=====--- current.orig/kernel/sched.c
```

```

+++ current/kernel/sched.c
@@ -179,6 +179,58 @@ struct load_stat {
    unsigned long delta_fair, delta_exec, delta_stat;
};

+ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+include <linux/container.h>
+
+struct cfs_rq;
+
+/* task group related information */
+struct task_grp {
+    struct container_subsys_state css;
+    /* schedulable entities of this group on each cpu */
+    struct sched_entity **se;
+    /* runqueue "owned" by this group on each cpu */
+    struct cfs_rq **cfs_rq;
+    unsigned long shares;
+};
+
+/* Default task group's sched entity on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct sched_entity, init_sched_entity);
+/* Default task group's cfs_rq on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct cfs_rq, init_cfs_rq) ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
+
+static struct sched_entity *init_sched_entity_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+static struct cfs_rq *init_cfs_rq_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+
+/* Default task group.
+ * Every task in system belong to this group at bootup.
+ */
+static struct task_grp init_task_grp = {
+    .se    = init_sched_entity_p,
+    .cfs_rq = init_cfs_rq_p,
+};
+
+/* return group to which a task belongs */
+static inline struct task_grp *task_grp(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    return container_of(task_subsys_state(p, cpu_subsys_id),
+        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* Change a task's cfs_rq and parent entity if it moves across CPUs/groups */
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    p->se.cfs_rq = task_grp(p)->cfs_rq[task_cpu(p)];
}

```

```

+ p->se.parent = task_grp(p)->se[task_cpu(p)];
+}
+
+#
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p) { }
+
+#
+/* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
+
/* CFS-related fields in a runqueue */
struct cfs_rq {
    struct load_weight load;
@@ -208,6 +260,7 @@ struct cfs_rq {
    * list is used during load balance.
    */
    struct list_head leaf_cfs_rq_list; /* Better name : task_cfs_rq_list? */
+ struct task_grp *tg; /* group that "owns" this runqueue */
#endif
};

@@ -405,18 +458,6 @@ unsigned long long cpu_clock(int cpu)

EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(cpu_clock);

#ifndef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
/* Change a task's ->cfs_rq if it moves across CPUs */
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
-    p->se.cfs_rq = &task_rq(p)->cfs;
-}
#else
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
-}
#endif
-
#ifndef prepare_arch_switch
#define prepare_arch_switch(next) do { } while (0)
#endif
@@ -6567,7 +6608,25 @@ void __init sched_init(void)
    init_cfs_rq(&rq->cfs, rq);
#endif CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
    INIT_LIST_HEAD(&rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
-    list_add(&rq->cfs.leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+    {
+        struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = &per_cpu(init_cfs_rq, i);
+        struct sched_entity *se =
+            &per_cpu(init_sched_entity, i);

```

```

+
+    init_cfs_rq_p[i] = cfs_rq;
+    init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+    cfs_rq->tg = &init_task_grp;
+    list_add(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list,
+             &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+    init_sched_entity_p[i] = se;
+    se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+    se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+    se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+    se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+    se->parent = NULL;
+ }
+ init_task_grp.shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
#endif

rq->ls.load_update_last = now;
rq->ls.load_update_start = now;
@@ -6764,3 +6823,248 @@ void set_curr_task(int cpu, struct task_
}

#endif
+
+/#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+/* return corresponding task_grp object of a container */
+static inline struct task_grp *container_tg(struct container *cont)
+{
+    return container_of(container_subsys_state(cont, cpu_subsys_id),
+                        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* allocate runqueue etc for a new task group */
+static struct container_subsys_state *
+sched_create_group(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+    struct task_grp *tg;
+    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+    struct sched_entity *se;
+    int i;
+
+    if (!cont->parent) {
+        /* This is early initialization for the top container */
+        init_task_grp.css.container = cont;
+        return &init_task_grp.css;
+    }
+
+    /* we support only 1-level deep hierarchical scheduler atm */

```

```

+ if (cont->parent->parent)
+ return ERR_PTR(-EINVAL);
+
+ tg = kzalloc(sizeof(*tg), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg)
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
+ tg->cfs_rq = kzalloc(sizeof(cfs_rq) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg->cfs_rq)
+ goto err;
+ tg->se = kzalloc(sizeof(se) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+ if (!tg->se)
+ goto err;
+
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ struct rq *rq = cpu_rq(i);
+
+ cfs_rq = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct cfs_rq), GFP_KERNEL,
+ cpu_to_node(i));
+ if (!cfs_rq)
+ goto err;
+
+ se = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct sched_entity), GFP_KERNEL,
+ cpu_to_node(i));
+ if (!se)
+ goto err;
+
+ memset(cfs_rq, 0, sizeof(struct cfs_rq));
+ memset(se, 0, sizeof(struct sched_entity));
+
+ tg->cfs_rq[i] = cfs_rq;
+ init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+ cfs_rq->tg = tg;
+ list_add_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+ tg->se[i] = se;
+ se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+ se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+ se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+ se->parent = NULL;
+ }
+
+ tg->shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
+
+ /* Bind the container to task_grp object we just created */
+ tg->css.container = cont;
+

```

```

+ return &tg->css;
+
+err:
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ if (tg->cfs_rq && tg->cfs_rq[i])
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq[i]);
+ if (tg->se && tg->se[i])
+ kfree(tg->se[i]);
+ }
+ if (tg->cfs_rq)
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq);
+ if (tg->se)
+ kfree(tg->se);
+ if (tg)
+ kfree(tg);
+
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+}
+
+/*
+ * destroy runqueue etc associated with a task group */
+static void sched_destroy_group(struct container_subsys *ss,
+ struct container *cont)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+ struct sched_entity *se;
+ int i;
+
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+ list_del_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ }
+
+ /* wait for possible concurrent references to cfs_rqs complete */
+ synchronize_sched();
+
+ /* now it should be safe to free those cfs_rqs */
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+ kfree(cfs_rq);
+
+ se = tg->se[i];
+ kfree(se);
+ }
+
+ kfree(tg);
+}

```

```

+
+static int sched_can_attach(struct container_subsys *ss,
+    struct container *cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ /* We don't support RT-tasks being in separate groups */
+ if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
+ return -EINVAL;
+
+ return 0;
+}
+
+/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
+static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
+    struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+ int on_rq, running;
+ unsigned long flags;
+ struct rq *rq;
+
+ rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
+
+ if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
+ goto done;
+
+ update_rq_clock(rq);
+
+ running = task_running(rq, tsk);
+ on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
+
+ if (on_rq) {
+ dequeue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+ if (unlikely(running))
+ tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
+ }
+
+ set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);
+
+ if (on_rq) {
+ enqueue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+ if (unlikely(running))
+ tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
+ }
+
+done:
+ task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
+}
+
+static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)

```

```

+{
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
+ struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
+ int on_rq;
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
+
+ on_rq = se->on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+ __dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+
+ se->load.weight = shares;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+ __enqueue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
+
+ spin_unlock_irq(&rq->lock);
+}
+
+static ssize_t cpu_shares_write(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cftype,
+ struct file *file, const char __user *userbuf,
+ size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ int i;
+ unsigned long shareval;
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ char buffer[2*sizeof(unsigned long) + 1];
+
+ if (nbytes > 2*sizeof(unsigned long)) /* safety check */
+ return -E2BIG;
+
+ if (copy_from_user(buffer, userbuf, nbytes))
+ return -EFAULT;
+
+ buffer[nbytes] = 0; /* nul-terminate */
+ shareval = simple_strtoul(buffer, NULL, 10);
+
+ tg->shares = shareval;
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i)
+ set_se_shares(tg->se[i], shareval);
+
+ return nbytes;
+}
+
+static u64 cpu_shares_read_uint(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cft)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);

```

```

+
+ return (u64) tg->shares;
+}
+
+struct cftype cpuctl_share = {
+ .name = "shares",
+ .read_uint = cpu_shares_read_uint,
+ .write = cpu_shares_write,
+};
+
+static int sched_populate(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+ return container_add_file(cont, ss, &cpuctl_share);
+}
+
+struct container_subsys cpu_subsys = {
+ .name = "cpu",
+ .create = sched_create_group,
+ .destroy = sched_destroy_group,
+ .can_attach = sched_can_attach,
+ .attach = sched_move_task,
+ .populate = sched_populate,
+ .subsys_id = cpu_subsys_id,
+ .early_init = 1,
+};
+
+#
+/* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
Index: current/kernel/sched_fair.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_fair.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_fair.c
@@ -798,8 +798,7 @@ static inline struct cfs_rq *group_cfs_r
 */
static inline struct cfs_rq *cpu_cfs_rq(struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq, int this_cpu)
{
- /* A later patch will take group into account */
- return &cpu_rq(this_cpu)->cfs;
+ return cfs_rq->tg->cfs_rq[this_cpu];
}

/* Iterate thr' all leaf cfs_rq's on a runqueue */

--
```

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2007 12:05:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Sep 12, 2007 at 05:12:02PM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:
> Yes. To emphasise this code doesn't support real-time tasks in a container, I
> am returning -ENOTSUP when trying to move a rt-task into a container.

s/ENOTSUP/EINVAL

--
Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Dmitry Adamushko](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2007 16:25:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Srivatsa,

please find a few more minor comments below.

```
> [ ... ]  
> +  
> /* destroy runqueue etc associated with a task group */  
> +static void sched_destroy_group(struct container_subsys *ss,  
> +                                struct container *cont)  
> +{  
> +    struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);  
> +    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;  
> +    struct sched_entity *se;  
> +    int i;  
> +  
> +    for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
```

```

> +         cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
> +         list_del_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
> +
> +
> +     /* wait for possible concurrent references to cfs_rqs complete */
> +     synchronize_sched();
> +
> +     /* now it should be safe to free those cfs_rqs */
> +     for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
> +         cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
> +         kfree(cfs_rq);
> +
> +         se = tg->se[i];
> +         kfree(se);
> +     }
> +
> +     kfree(tg);
> +

```

kfree(tg->cfs_rq) && kfree(tg->se) ?

```

> +
> +/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
> +static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
> +                           struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
> +{
> +    int on_rq, running;
> +    unsigned long flags;
> +    struct rq *rq;
> +
> +    rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
> +
> +    if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
> +        goto done;

```

this check should be redundant now with sched_can_attach() in place.

```

> +static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)
> +{
> +    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
> +    struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
> +    int on_rq;
> +
> +    spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
> +
> +    on_rq = se->on_rq;

```

```
> +     if (on_rq)
> +         __dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
> +
> +     se->load.weight = shares;
> +     se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
```

A bit of nit-picking... are you sure, there is no need in non '__' versions of dequeue/enqueue() here (at least, for the sake of update_curr())? Although, I don't have -mm at hand at this very moment and original -rc4 (that I have at hand) doesn't already have 'se->load' at all... so will look later.

```
>
> --
> Regards,
> vatsa
>
```

--
Best regards,
Dmitry Adamushko

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Thu, 13 Sep 2007 12:27:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Sep 12, 2007 at 06:25:37PM +0200, Dmitry Adamushko wrote:

```
> > +     kfree(tg);
> > +}
>
> kfree(tg->cfs_rq) && kfree(tg->se) ?
```

oops, yes!

```
> > +     if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
> > +         goto done;
>
> this check should be redundant now with sched_can_attach() in place.
```

well, there is remote possibility that task could have changed policies between sched_can_attach() and sched_move_task()! In the updated patch below, I have put some hooks to deal with that scenario elsewhere also

(in rt_mutex_setprio and __setscheduler). Pls let me know if you see any issues with that.

```
> > +static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)
> > +{
> > +    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
> > +    struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
> > +    int on_rq;
> > +
> > +    spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
> > +
> > +    on_rq = se->on_rq;
> > +    if (on_rq)
> > +        __dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se);
> > +
> > +    se->load.weight = shares;
> > +    se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
>
> A bit of nit-picking... are you sure, there is no need in non '__'
> versions of dequeue/enqueue() here (at least, for the sake of
```

You are right, we need the dequeue/enqueue_entity here, as the sched entity load is changing in between the two function calls.

How does the updated patch look? (Thanks again for all your review so far!)

--

Add interface to control cpu bandwidth allocation to task-groups.

Signed-off-by : Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com>
Signed-off-by : Dhaval Giani <dhaval@linux.vnet.ibm.com>

```
---
include/linux/container_subsys.h |  6
init/Kconfig                   |  9 +
kernel/sched.c                | 344 ++++++=====
kernel/sched_fair.c           |   3
kernel/sched_idletask.c       |   5
kernel/sched_rt.c             |   5
6 files changed, 355 insertions(+), 17 deletions(-)
```

Index: current/include/linux/container_subsys.h

```
=====
--- current.orig/include/linux/container_subsys.h
```

```

+++ current/include/linux/container_subsys.h
@@ -36,3 +36,9 @@ SUBSYS(mem_container)
#endif

/*
+
+ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+SUBSYS(cpu)
+endif
+
+*/
Index: current/init/Kconfig
=====
--- current.orig/init/Kconfig
+++ current/init/Kconfig
@@ -326,6 +326,15 @@ config RESOURCE_COUNTERS
    infrastructure that works with containers
depends on CONTAINERS

+config FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+ bool "Fair group scheduler"
+ depends on EXPERIMENTAL && CONTAINERS
+ help
+   This option enables you to group tasks and control CPU resource
+   allocation to such groups.
+
+   Say N if unsure.
+
config SYSFS_DEPRECATED
    bool "Create deprecated sysfs files"
    default y
Index: current/kernel/sched.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched.c
+++ current/kernel/sched.c
@@ -179,6 +179,58 @@ struct load_stat {
    unsigned long delta_fair, delta_exec, delta_stat;
};

+ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+include <linux/container.h>
+
+struct cfs_rq;
+
+/* task group related information */
+struct task_grp {
+    struct container_subsys_state css;

```

```

+ /* schedulable entities of this group on each cpu */
+ struct sched_entity **se;
+ /* runqueue "owned" by this group on each cpu */
+ struct cfs_rq **cfs_rq;
+ unsigned long shares;
+};

+
+/* Default task group's sched entity on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct sched_entity, init_sched_entity);
+/* Default task group's cfs_rq on each cpu */
+static DEFINE_PER_CPU(struct cfs_rq, init_cfs_rq) ____cacheline_aligned_in_smp;
+
+static struct sched_entity *init_sched_entity_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+static struct cfs_rq *init_cfs_rq_p[CONFIG_NR_CPUS];
+
+/* Default task group.
+ * Every task in system belong to this group at bootup.
+ */
+static struct task_grp init_task_grp = {
+    .se    = init_sched_entity_p,
+    .cfs_rq = init_cfs_rq_p,
+};
+
+/* return group to which a task belongs */
+static inline struct task_grp *task_grp(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    return container_of(task_subsys_state(p, cpu_subsys_id),
+        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* Change a task's cfs_rq and parent entity if it moves across CPUs/groups */
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
+{
+    p->se.cfs_rq = task_grp(p)->cfs_rq[task_cpu(p)];
+    p->se.parent = task_grp(p)->se[task_cpu(p)];
+}
+
+/#else
+
+static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p) { }
+
+/#endif /* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
+
/* CFS-related fields in a runqueue */
struct cfs_rq {
    struct load_weight load;
@@ -208,6 +260,7 @@ struct cfs_rq {
    * list is used during load balance.

```

```

*/
struct list_head leaf_cfs_rq_list; /* Better name : task_cfs_rq_list? */
+ struct task_grp *tg; /* group that "owns" this runqueue */
#endif
};

@@ -405,18 +458,6 @@ unsigned long long cpu_clock(int cpu)

EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(cpu_clock);

#ifndef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
/* Change a task's ->cfs_rq if it moves across CPUs */
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
- p->se.cfs_rq = &task_rq(p)->cfs;
-}
#ifndef
-static inline void set_task_cfs_rq(struct task_struct *p)
-{
-}
#endif
-
#ifndef prepare_arch_switch
# define prepare_arch_switch(next) do { } while (0)
#endif
@@ -3987,8 +4028,11 @@ void rt_mutex_setprio(struct task_struct

oldprio = p->prio;
on_rq = p->se.on_rq;
- if (on_rq)
+ if (on_rq) {
    dequeue_task(rq, p, 0);
+ if (task_running(rq, p))
+ p->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, p);
+ }

if (rt_prio(prio))
 p->sched_class = &rt_sched_class;
@@ -4007,6 +4051,7 @@ void rt_mutex_setprio(struct task_struct
 if (task_running(rq, p)) {
 if (p->prio > oldprio)
 resched_task(rq->curr);
+ p->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
 } else {
 check_preempt_curr(rq, p);
 }
@@ -4293,8 +4338,11 @@ recheck:
}

```

```

update_rq_clock(rq);
on_rq = p->se.on_rq;
- if (on_rq)
+ if (on_rq) {
    deactivate_task(rq, p, 0);
+ if (task_running(rq, p))
+ p->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, p);
+ }
oldprio = p->prio;
__setscheduler(rq, p, policy, param->sched_priority);
if (on_rq) {
@@ -4307,6 +4355,7 @@ recheck:
if (task_running(rq, p)) {
    if (p->prio > oldprio)
        resched_task(rq->curr);
+ p->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
} else {
    check_preempt_curr(rq, p);
}
@@ -6567,7 +6616,25 @@ void __init sched_init(void)
    init_cfs_rq(&rq->cfs, rq);
#endif CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
INIT_LIST_HEAD(&rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
- list_add(&rq->cfs.leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+ {
+     struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = &per_cpu(init_cfs_rq, i);
+     struct sched_entity *se =
+         &per_cpu(init_sched_entity, i);
+
+     init_cfs_rq_p[i] = cfs_rq;
+     init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+     cfs_rq->tg = &init_task_grp;
+     list_add(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list,
+             &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+     init_sched_entity_p[i] = se;
+     se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+     se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+     se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+     se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+     se->parent = NULL;
+ }
+ init_task_grp.shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
#endif
rq->ls.load_update_last = now;
rq->ls.load_update_start = now;
@@ -6764,3 +6831,250 @@ void set_curr_task(int cpu, struct task_
}

```

```

#endif
+
+">#ifdef CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED
+
+/* return corresponding task_grp object of a container */
+static inline struct task_grp *container_tg(struct container *cont)
+{
+    return container_of(container_subsys_state(cont, cpu_subsys_id),
+                        struct task_grp, css);
+}
+
+/* allocate runqueue etc for a new task group */
+static struct container_subsys_state *
+sched_create_group(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+    struct task_grp *tg;
+    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+    struct sched_entity *se;
+    int i;
+
+    if (!cont->parent) {
+        /* This is early initialization for the top container */
+        init_task_grp.css.container = cont;
+        return &init_task_grp.css;
+    }
+
+    /* we support only 1-level deep hierarchical scheduler atm */
+    if (cont->parent->parent)
+        return ERR_PTR(-EINVAL);
+
+    tg = kzalloc(sizeof(*tg), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg)
+        return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+
+    tg->cfs_rq = kzalloc(sizeof(cfs_rq) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg->cfs_rq)
+        goto err;
+    tg->se = kzalloc(sizeof(se) * num_possible_cpus(), GFP_KERNEL);
+    if (!tg->se)
+        goto err;
+
+    for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+        struct rq *rq = cpu_rq(i);
+
+        cfs_rq = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct cfs_rq), GFP_KERNEL,
+                             cpu_to_node(i));
+        if (!cfs_rq)

```

```

+ goto err;
+
+ se = kmalloc_node(sizeof(struct sched_entity), GFP_KERNEL,
+ cpu_to_node(i));
+ if (!se)
+ goto err;
+
+ memset(cfs_rq, 0, sizeof(struct cfs_rq));
+ memset(se, 0, sizeof(struct sched_entity));
+
+ tg->cfs_rq[i] = cfs_rq;
+ init_cfs_rq(cfs_rq, rq);
+ cfs_rq->tg = tg;
+ list_add_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list, &rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+
+ tg->se[i] = se;
+ se->cfs_rq = &rq->cfs;
+ se->my_q = cfs_rq;
+ se->load.weight = NICE_0_LOAD;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64(1ULL<<32, NICE_0_LOAD);
+ se->parent = NULL;
+
+ tg->shares = NICE_0_LOAD;
+
+ /* Bind the container to task_grp object we just created */
+ tg->css.container = cont;
+
+ return &tg->css;
+
+err:
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+ if (tg->cfs_rq && tg->cfs_rq[i])
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq[i]);
+ if (tg->se && tg->se[i])
+ kfree(tg->se[i]);
+
+ if (tg->cfs_rq)
+ kfree(tg->cfs_rq);
+ if (tg->se)
+ kfree(tg->se);
+ if (tg)
+ kfree(tg);
+
+ return ERR_PTR(-ENOMEM);
+}
+
+

```

```

+/* destroy runqueue etc associated with a task group */
+static void sched_destroy_group(struct container_subsys *ss,
+    struct container *cont)
+{
+    struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+    struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq;
+    struct sched_entity *se;
+    int i;
+
+    for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+        cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+        list_del_rcu(&cfs_rq->leaf_cfs_rq_list);
+    }
+
+    /* wait for possible concurrent references to cfs_rqs complete */
+    synchronize_sched();
+
+    /* now it should be safe to free those cfs_rqs */
+    for_each_possible_cpu(i) {
+        cfs_rq = tg->cfs_rq[i];
+        kfree(cfs_rq);
+
+        se = tg->se[i];
+        kfree(se);
+    }
+
+    kfree(tg->cfs_rq);
+    kfree(tg->se);
+    kfree(tg);
+}
+
+static int sched_can_attach(struct container_subsys *ss,
+    struct container *cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+    /* We don't support RT-tasks being in separate groups */
+    if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
+        return -EINVAL;
+
+    return 0;
+}
+
+/* change task's runqueue when it moves between groups */
+static void sched_move_task(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont,
+    struct container *old_cont, struct task_struct *tsk)
+{
+    int on_rq, running;
+    unsigned long flags;
+    struct rq *rq;

```

```

+
+ rq = task_rq_lock(tsk, &flags);
+
+ if (tsk->sched_class != &fair_sched_class)
+   goto done;
+
+ update_rq_clock(rq);
+
+ running = task_running(rq, tsk);
+ on_rq = tsk->se.on_rq;
+
+ if (on_rq) {
+   dequeue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+   if (unlikely(running))
+     tsk->sched_class->put_prev_task(rq, tsk);
+ }
+
+ set_task_cfs_rq(tsk);
+
+ if (on_rq) {
+   enqueue_task(rq, tsk, 0);
+   if (unlikely(running))
+     tsk->sched_class->set_curr_task(rq);
+ }
+
+done:
+ task_rq_unlock(rq, &flags);
+}
+
+static void set_se_shares(struct sched_entity *se, unsigned long shares)
+{
+ struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq = se->cfs_rq;
+ struct rq *rq = cfs_rq->rq;
+ int on_rq;
+
+ spin_lock_irq(&rq->lock);
+
+ on_rq = se->on_rq;
+ if (on_rq)
+   dequeue_entity(cfs_rq, se, 0);
+
+ se->load.weight = shares;
+ se->load.inv_weight = div64_64((1ULL<<32), shares);
+
+ if (on_rq)
+   enqueue_entity(cfs_rq, se, 0);
+
+ spin_unlock_irq(&rq->lock);

```

```

+}
+
+static ssize_t cpu_shares_write(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cftype,
+    struct file *file, const char __user *userbuf,
+    size_t nbytes, loff_t *ppos)
+{
+ int i;
+ unsigned long shareval;
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+ char buffer[2*sizeof(unsigned long) + 1];
+
+ if (nbytes > 2*sizeof(unsigned long)) /* safety check */
+ return -E2BIG;
+
+ if (copy_from_user(buffer, userbuf, nbytes))
+ return -EFAULT;
+
+ buffer[nbytes] = 0; /* nul-terminate */
+ shareval = simple strtoul(buffer, NULL, 10);
+
+ tg->shares = shareval;
+ for_each_possible_cpu(i)
+ set_se_shares(tg->se[i], shareval);
+
+ return nbytes;
+}
+
+static u64 cpu_shares_read_uint(struct container *cont, struct cftype *cft)
+{
+ struct task_grp *tg = container_tg(cont);
+
+ return (u64) tg->shares;
+}
+
+struct cftype cpuctl_share = {
+ .name = "shares",
+ .read_uint = cpu_shares_read_uint,
+ .write = cpu_shares_write,
+};
+
+static int sched_populate(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)
+{
+ return container_add_file(cont, ss, &cpuctl_share);
+}
+
+struct container_subsys cpu_subsys = {
+ .name = "cpu",
+ .create = sched_create_group,

```

```

+ .destroy = sched_destroy_group,
+ .can_attach = sched_can_attach,
+ .attach = sched_move_task,
+ .populate = sched_populate,
+ .subsys_id = cpu_subsys_id,
+ .early_init = 1,
+};
+
+#
+/* CONFIG_FAIR_GROUP_SCHED */
Index: current/kernel/sched_fair.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_fair.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_fair.c
@@ -798,8 +798,7 @@ static inline struct cfs_rq *group_cfs_r
 */
static inline struct cfs_rq *cpu_cfs_rq(struct cfs_rq *cfs_rq, int this_cpu)
{
- /* A later patch will take group into account */
- return &cpu_rq(this_cpu)->cfs;
+ return cfs_rq->tg->cfs_rq[this_cpu];
}

/* Iterate thr' all leaf cfs_rq's on a runqueue */
Index: current/kernel/sched_idletask.c
=====
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_idletask.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_idletask.c
@@ -50,6 +50,10 @@ static void task_tick_idle(struct rq *rq
{
}

+static void set_curr_task_idle(struct rq *rq)
+{
+}
+
/* 
 * Simple, special scheduling class for the per-CPU idle tasks:
 */
@@ -66,6 +70,7 @@ static struct sched_class idle_sched_cla

.load_balance = load_balance_idle,

+.set_curr_task      = set_curr_task_idle,
.task_tick = task_tick_idle,
/* no .task_new for idle tasks */
};

Index: current/kernel/sched_rt.c
=====
```

```
--- current.orig/kernel/sched_rt.c
+++ current/kernel/sched_rt.c
@@ -218,6 +218,10 @@ static void task_tick_rt(struct rq *rq,
 }
 }

+static void set_curr_task_rt(struct rq *rq)
+{
+}
+
 static struct sched_class rt_sched_class __read_mostly = {
 .enqueue_task = enqueue_task_rt,
 .dequeue_task = dequeue_task_rt,
@@ -230,5 +234,6 @@ static struct sched_class rt_sched_class

 .load_balance = load_balance_rt,

+.set_curr_task = set_curr_task_rt,
 .task_tick = task_tick_rt,
};

--
```

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Ingo Molnar](#) on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 09:41:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

* Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:

> Add interface to control cpu bandwidth allocation to task-groups.

btw., just in case it was not obvious, i'll repeat my older assessment
of your patch: the general picture approach looks good to me and the
code is upstream-worthy.

(suggestion: if you want more people to test it then you might want to
do some add-on "put all users into separate groups" patch and .config
option - which could be tried without people having to know anything
about container setup.)

Ingo

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 15:51:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Sep 14, 2007 at 11:41:58AM +0200, Ingo Molnar wrote:
> * Srivatsa Vaddagiri <vatsa@linux.vnet.ibm.com> wrote:
> > Add interface to control cpu bandwidth allocation to task-groups.
>
> btw., just in case it was not obvious, i'll repeat my older assessment
> of your patch: the general picture approach looks good to me and the
> code is upstream-worthy.

Thanks for the feedback!

> (suggestion: if you want more people to test it then you might want to
> do some add-on "put all users into separate groups" patch and .config
> option - which could be tried without people having to know anything
> about container setup.)

I do want more people to test it and I agree that hooking onto user-id based groups is the best way to get that done. How do we implement that? I have two choices:

1. Do a kernel patch, as you suggest above, which defines task-groups based on user-id and hook that group definition with group scheduler. We need to provide some means for the admin to tune relative nice-value of each user (perhaps thr' sysctl?).

This user-id based grouping will have to be mutually exclusive with task-container based grouping. Hence we need to ensure that only one form of grouping is selected and not both at compile time.

2. Enable only one form of grouping, which is task-container based. Provide a user-space daemon (attached) which can automatically put tasks of different users in different task-containers. The daemon will need to be started at early boot-time. It can also be extended to support a configuration file (ex: inittab) where cpu allocation for different users are specified. The fact that daemon is managing to provide fair allocation to users should be transparent.

I hope that task-containers (aka cgroups) will go into 2.6.24, in which case the second option seems to be more attractive to me.

I will nevertheless try to work out Option 1, just to see how it looks.

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [PATCH] Hookup group-scheduler with task container infrastructure
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Fri, 14 Sep 2007 16:13:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Sep 14, 2007 at 09:29:13PM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> 2. Enable only one form of grouping, which is task-container based. Provide a
> user-space daemon (attached) which can automatically put tasks of different
Oops ..forgot to attach. But I realize that I had already sent the
source for the daemon here:

<http://article.gmane.org/gmane.linux.kernel/553267>

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
