

Hello All,

Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in making this mini-summit happen !

It will be held on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and, if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.

Here's a first try for the Agenda.

Global items

[let's try to defer discussion after presentation]

- * Pavel Emelianov status update
- * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including
 - . task containers (Paul Menage)
 - . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)

Special items

[brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on]

- * building the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)
- * container user space tools
- * container checkpoint/restart

Thanks,

C.

===== Section 1 =====
=Introduction
===== Section 1 =====

We are trying to create a roadmap for the next year of 'container' development, to be reported to the upcoming kernel summit. Containers here is a bit of an ambiguous term, so we are taking it to mean all of:

1. namespaces

kernel resource namespaces to support resource isolation
and virtualization for virtual servers and application
checkpoint/restart.

2. task containers framework

task containers provide a framework for subsystems which associate
state with arbitrary groups of processes, for purposes such as
resource control/monitoring.

3. checkpoint/restart

===== Section 2 =====

=Detailed development plans

===== Section 2 =====

A (still under construction) list of features we expect to be worked on
next year looks like this:

1. completion of ongoing namespaces

pid namespace

push merged patchset upstream

kthread cleanup

especially nfs

autofs

af_unix credentials (stores pid_t?)

net namespace

ro bind mounts

2. continuation with new namespaces

devpts, console, and ttydrivers

user

time

namespace management tools

namespace entering (using one of:)

bind_ns()

ns container subsystem

(vs refuse this functionality)

multiple /sys mounts

break /sys into smaller chunks?

shadow dirs vs namespaces

multiple proc mounts

likely need to extend on the work done for pid namespaces

i.e. other /proc files will need some care

virtualization of statistics for 'top', etc

3. any additional work needed for virtual servers?

i.e. in-kernel keyring usage for cross-namespace permissions, etc

nfs and rpc updates needed?

general security fixes

per-container capabilities?

- device access controls

- e.g. root in container should not have access to /dev/sda by default)

- filesystems access controls

'container object'?

implementation (perhaps largely userspace abstraction)

container enter

container list

container shutdown notification

4. task containers functionality

- base features

hierarchical/virtualized containers

- support vserver mgmnt of sub-containers

- locking cleanup

- control file API simplification

userpace RBCE to provide controls for

- users

- groups

- pgrp

- executable

- specific containers targeted:

- split cpusets into

- cpuset

- memset

- network

- connect/bind/accept controller using iptables

memory controller (see detail below)

cpu controller d (see detail below)

io controller (see detail below)

- network flow id control

- per-container OOM handler (userspace)

per-container swap

per-container disk I/O scheduling

per container memory reclaim

per container dirty page (write throttling) limit.

network rate limiting (outbound) based on container

misc

User level APIS to identify the resource limits that is allowed to a

job, for example, how much physical memory a

process can use. This should seamlessly

integrated with non-container environment as

well (may be with ulimit).

Per container stats, like pages on active list, cpus usage, etc

memory controller

users and requirements:

1. The containers solution would need resource

- management (including memory control and per container swap files).

- Paul Menage, YAMOMOTO Takshi, Peter Zijlstra, Pavel Emelianov have all shown

interest in the memory controller patches.

2. The memory controller can account for page cache as well, all people interested in limiting page cache control, can theoretically put move all page cache hungry applications under the same container.

Planned enhancements to the memory controller

1. Improved shared page accounting
2. Improved statistics
3. Soft-limit memory usage

generic infrastructure work:

1. Enhancing containerstats
 - a. Working on per controller statistics
 - b. Integrating taskstats with containerstats
2. CPU accounting framework
 - a. Migrate the accounting to be more precise

cpu controller

users and requirements:

1. Virtualization solutions like containers and KVM need CPU control. KVM for example would like to have both limits and guarantees supported by a CPU controller, to control CPU allocation to a particular instance.
2. Workload management products would like to exploit this for providing guaranteed cpu bandwidth and also (hard/soft) limiting cpu usage.

work items

1. Fine-grained proportional-share fair-group scheduling.
2. More accurate SMP fairness
3. Hard limit
4. SCHED_FIFO type policy for groups
5. Improved statistics and debug facility for group scheduler

io controller

users and requirements:

1. At a talk presented to the Linux Foundation (OSDL), the attendees showed interest in an IO controller to control IO bandwidth of various filesystem operations (backup, journalling, etc)

work items:

1. Proof of concept IO controller and community discussion/feedback
2. Development and Integration of the IO controller with containers

open issues

1. Automatic tagging/resource classification engine

5. checkpoint/restart

memory c/r

(there are a few designs and prototypes)
(though this may be ironed out by then)

- per-container swapfile?
- overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
 - in-kernel
 - userspace-driven
 - hybrid
- overall restart strategy
- use freezer API
- use suspend-to-disk?
- sysvipc
 - "set identifier" syscall
- pid namespace
 - clone_with_pid()
- live migration

===== Section 3 =====

=Use cases

===== Section 3 =====

1, Namespaces:

The most commonly listed uses for namespaces are virtual servers and checkpoint restart. Other uses are debugging (running tests in not-quite-virtual-servers) and resource isolation, such as the use of mounts namespaces to simulate multi-level directories for LSPP.

2. Task Containers:

(Vatsa to fill in)

3. Checkpoint/restart

load balancing:

applications can be migrated from high-load systems to ones with a lower load. Long-running applications can be checkpointed (or migrated) to start a short-running high-load job, then restarted.

kernel upgrades:

A long-running application - or whole virtual server - can be migrated or checkpointed so that the system can be rebooted, and the application can continue to run

===== Section 4 =====

=Involved parties

===== Section 4 =====

In the list of stakeholders, I try to guess based on past comments and contributions what *general* area they are most likely to contribute in. I may try to narrow those down later, but am just trying to get something out the door right now before my next computer breaks.

Stakeholders:

- Eric Biederman
 - everything
- google
 - task containers
- ibm (serge, dave, cedric, daniel)
 - namespaces
- checkpoint/restart
- bull (benjamin, pierre)
 - namespaces
- checkpoint/restart
 - ibm (balbir, vatsa)
- task containers
 - kerlabs
 - checkpoint/restart
- openvz
 - everything
- NEC Japan (Masahiko Takahashi)
 - checkpoint/restart
- Linux-VServer
 - namespaces+containers
- zap project
 - checkpoint/restart
- planetlab
 - everything
- hp
 - network namespaces, virtual servers?
- XtreemOS
 - checkpoint/restart
- Fujitsu/VA Linux Japan
 - resource control
- BLCR (Paul H. Hargrove)
 - checkpoint/restart

Is anyone else still missing from the list?

thanks,
-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Oren Laadan](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 03:26:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> Hello All,
>
> Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
> Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in
> making this mini-summit happen !
>
> It will be help on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE
> in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and,
> if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.
>
> Here's a first try for the Agenda.
>
> Global items
>
> [let's try to defer discussion after presentation]
>
> * Pavel Emelianov status update
> * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including
> . task containers (Paul Menage)
> . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)
>
> Special items
>
> [brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on]
>
> * builing the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)
> * container user space tools
> * container checkpoint/restart

5. checkpoint/restart

memory c/r

(there are a few designs and prototypes)

(though this may be ironed out by then)

per-container swapfile?

overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)

in-kernel

userspace-driven

hybrid

overall restart strategy

use freezer API

use suspend-to-disk?

sysvipc

"set identifier" syscall

pid namespace

clone_with_pid()

There are other identifiers - pseudo terminals, message queues (mq) (if you insist on supporting these ...). In general, we need a way to specify the virtual id of a resource that is created. I suggest that this should be part of an interface between c/r and containers (see below)

live migration

aka pre-copy (which can be used for live migration but also to reduce the downtime due to a checkpoint).

how about adding incremental checkpoint to the list ?

I think that it is also important to discuss an interface between c/r and containers, each of which stands on it own. For instance, how to request a specific virtual id (during restart), define required notifiers (to set/unset c/r related data on/off a task), control c/r-related setting of container (e.g. frozen, restarting) that may affect behavior, such as signal handling, and so forth. Also, such an interface can allow existing c/r implementations to work with different virtualization implementations as they become available.

Many of these were discussed in a recent Zap paper present in USENIX:
http://www.ncl.cs.columbia.edu/publications/usenix2007_fordist.pdf
The paper describes important design choices in Zap (but I'm biased ...).
I think it may serve as an appetizer for the discussion :P

Oren.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 14:26:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Oren,

Oren Laadan wrote:

> Cedric Le Goater wrote:

```

>> Hello All,
>>
>> Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
>> Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in
>> making this mini-summit happen !
>>
>> It will be held on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE
>> in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and,
>> if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.
>>
>> Here's a first try for the Agenda.
>>
>> Global items
>>
>> [ let's try to defer discussion after presentation ]
>>
>> * Pavel Emelianov status update
>> * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including
>> . task containers (Paul Menage)
>> . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)
>>
>> Special items
>>
>> [ brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on ]
>>
>> * building the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)
>> * container user space tools
>> * container checkpoint/restart
>
>     5. checkpoint/restart
>         memory c/r
>             (there are a few designs and prototypes)
>             (though this may be ironed out by then)
>             per-container swapfile?
>         overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
>             in-kernel
>             userspace-driven
>             hybrid
>         overall restart strategy
>         use freezer API
>         use suspend-to-disk?
>
>         sysvipc
>             "set identifier" syscall
>     pid namespace
>         clone_with_pid()
> There are other identifiers - pseudo terminals, message queues (mq)

```

right, we have plans for developing these if needed (cf 2.)

- > (if you insist on supporting these ...). In general, we need a way
- > to specify the virtual id of a resource that is created.

right, pierre peiffer has sent such a patchset for the sysvipc namespace.
I'm looking at a clone_with_pid() for pid namespace.

- > I suggest
- > that this should be part of an interface between c/r and containers
- > (see below)
- >
- > live migration
- > aka pre-copy (which can be used for live migration but also to reduce
- > the downtime due to a checkpoint).

yes that's usually what the buzz term "live migration" is used for.

- > how about adding incremental checkpoint to the list ?

sure. I think it's a bit early to address these topic but we should have them in mind as some implementations already exist. And we need to gather all the needs.

- > I think that it is also important to discuss an interface between c/r and
- > containers, each of which stands on it own. For instance, how to request
- > a specific virtual id (during restart), define required notifiers (to
- > set/unset c/r related data on/off a task), control c/r-related setting of
- > container (e.g. frozen, restarting) that may affect behavior, such as
- > signal handling, and so forth.

This is exactly what we want to talk about.

We need to identify these C/R needs, talk and agree about possible APIS and then convince the linux subsystem maintainers that they are useful for a large set of C/R solutions based on containers.

- > Also, such an interface can allow existing c/r implementations to work with
- > different virtualization implementations as they become available.

what you call "virtualization" (private identifier namespaces), is I think being covered by the namespaces. These namespaces are not complete (like we're missing a way to reassign ids) but they are going in the right direction, IMO. However, I don't think there will be different "virtualization" implementations in mainline.

- > Many of these were discussed in a recent Zap paper present in USENIX:
- > http://www.ncl.cs.columbia.edu/publications/usenix2007_fordist.pdf

> The paper describes important design choices in Zap (but I'm biased ...).
> I think it may serve as an appetizer for the discussion :P

Thanks, I hope we all have time to read it.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 14:59:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> Many of these were discussed in a recent Zap paper present in USENIX:
>> http://www.ncl.cs.columbia.edu/publications/usenix2007_fordist.pdf
>> The paper describes important design choices in Zap (but I'm biased ...).
>> I think it may serve as an appetizer for the discussion :P
>
> Thanks, I hope we all have time to read it.

The abstract says :

"...
Our results show checkpoint and restart times 3 to 55 times faster than
OpenVZ and 5 to 1100 times faster than Xen."

I'm impressed ! :) When can we play it ?

Thanks for the appetizer !

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [dev](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 15:59:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>>> Many of these were discussed in a recent Zap paper present in USENIX:
>>> http://www.ncl.cs.columbia.edu/publications/usenix2007_fordist.pdf

>>>The paper describes important design choices in Zap (but I'm biased ...).
>>>I think it may serve as an appetizer for the discussion :P
>>
>>Thanks, I hope we all have time to read it.
>
>
> The abstract says :
>
> "...
> Our results show checkpoint and restart times 3 to 55 times faster than
> OpenVZ and 5 to 1100 times faster than Xen."
>
> I'm impressed ! :) When can we play it ?
>
> Thanks for the appetizer !

It is totally unfair to compare full virtualization solution such as OpenVZ
with sync on VE stop (for quotas consistency) and which doesn't require shared storage for
migration
with POC which uses shared storage in the paper.

I'm not sure why author didn't pay attention to these HUGE differences in configuration...
Maybe because 1100x times is so incredible :@)

Thanks,
Kirill

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Oren Laadan](#) on Fri, 31 Aug 2007 18:20:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cedric Le Goater wrote:
> Hello Oren,
>
> Oren Laadan wrote:
>> Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>>> Hello All,
>>>
>>> Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
>>> Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in
>>> making this mini-summit happen !
>>>

```

>>> It will be help on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE
>>> in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and,
>>> if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.
>>>
>>> Here's a first try for the Agenda.
>>>
>>> Global items
>>>
>>> [ let's try to defer discussion after presentation ]
>>>
>>> * Pavel Emelianov status update
>>> * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including
>>> . task containers (Paul Menage)
>>> . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)
>>>
>>> Special items
>>>
>>> [ brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on ]
>>>
>>> * building the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)
>>> * container user space tools
>>> * container checkpoint/restart
>>     5. checkpoint/restart
>>         memory c/r
>>             (there are a few designs and prototypes)
>>             (though this may be ironed out by then)
>>             per-container swapfile?
>>         overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
>>             in-kernel
>>             userspace-driven
>>             hybrid
>>         overall restart strategy
>>         use freezer API
>>         use suspend-to-disk?
>>
>>         sysvipc
>>             "set identifier" syscall
>>     pid namespace
>>         clone_with_pid()
>> There are other identifiers - pseudo terminals, message queues (mq)
>
> right, we have plans for developing these if needed (cf 2.)
>
>> (if you insist on supporting these ...). In general, we need a way
>> to specify the virtual id of a resource that is created.
>
> right, pierre peiffer has sent such a patchset for the sysvipc namespace.
> I'm looking at a clone_with_pid() for pid namespace.

```

>
>> I suggest
>> that this should be part of an interface between c/r and containers
>> (see below)
>>
>> live migration
>> aka pre-copy (which can be used for live migration but also to reduce
>> the downtime due to a checkpoint).
>
> yes that's usually what the buzz term "live migration" is used for.
>
>> how about adding incremental checkpoint to the list ?
>
> sure. I think it's a bit early to address these topic but we should have
> them in mind as some implementations already exist. And we need to gather
> all the needs.

exists in Zap; many lessons learned ;)

>
>> I think that it is also important to discuss an interface between c/r and
>> containers, each of which stands on it own. For instance, how to request
>> a specific virtual id (during restart), define required notifiers (to
>> set/unset c/r related data on/off a task), control c/r-related setting of
>> container (e.g. frozen, restarting) that may affect behavior, such as
>> signal handling, and so forth.
>
> This is exactly what we want to talk about.
>
> We need to identify these C/R needs, talk and agree about possible APIS
> and then convince the linux subsystem maintainers that they are useful
> for a large set of C/R solutions based on containers.
>
>> Also, such an interface can allow existing c/r implementations to work with
>> different virtualization implementations as they become available.
>
> what you call "virtualization" (private identifier namespaces), is I think
> being covered by the namespaces. These namespaces are not complete (like
> we're missing a way to reassign ids) but they are going in the right
> direction, IMO. However, I don't think there will be different
> "virtualization" implementations in mainline.

I do hope so too. I'm thinking that the current ones may take some time
to converge, and even then there may be out-of-mainline (experimental ?
alternative ?) implementation as it so happens with linux at time :)
In that case defining an interface can be useful (apart from the fact
that you tackle issues when you actually define one).
There is also the other side -- multiple c/r implementations (mainline

or not) that may be geared toward different goals depending on desires performance, functionality etc.

>
>> Many of these were discussed in a recent Zap paper present in USENIX:
>> http://www.ncl.cs.columbia.edu/publications/usenix2007_fordist.pdf
>> The paper describes important design choices in Zap (but I'm biased ...).
>> I think it may serve as an appetizer for the discussion :P
>
> Thanks, I hope we all have time to read it.
>
> C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Kirill Kolyshkin](#) on Sun, 02 Sep 2007 22:49:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

So, this is just to confirm the final details about container mini-summit which will be held tomorrow.

Time: starting at 9am 3th Sept.
Place: Cambridge's University Arms Hotel, room Churchill D.

Let's meet at the hotel lobby close to 9am and when go to the room.

Eric, Paul,
Can you please clarify whether will you be able to present or not?

PS sorry if you got this message a few times -- some DNS problems on my mail server.

On 30/08/07, Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> wrote:

>
> Hello All,
>
> Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
> Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in
> making this mini-summit happen !
>
> It will be help on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE
> in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and,

> if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.

>

> Here's a first try for the Agenda.

>

> Global items

>

> [let's try to defer discussion after presentation]

>

> * Pavel Emelianov status update

> * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including

> . task containers (Paul Menage)

> . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)

>

> Special items

>

> [brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on]

>

> * builing the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)

> * container user space tools

> * container checkpoint/restart

>

>

> Thanks,

>

> C.

>

>

>

> ===== Section 1 =====

> =Introduction

> ===== Section 1 =====

>

> We are trying to create a roadmap for the next year of

> 'container' development, to be reported to the upcoming kernel

> summit. Containers here is a bit of an ambiguous term, so we are

> taking it to mean all of:

>

> 1. namespaces

> kernel resource namespaces to support resource isolation

> and virtualization for virtual servers and application

> checkpoint/restart.

> 2. task containers framework

> task containers provide a framework for subsystems which

> associate

> state with arbitrary groups of processes, for purposes

> such as

> resource control/monitoring.

> 3. checkpoint/restart

```

>
> ===== Section 2 =====
> =Detailed development plans
> ===== Section 2 =====
>
> A (still under construction) list of features we expect to be worked on
> next year looks like this:
>
>     1. completion of ongoing namespaces
>         pid namespace
>             push merged patchset upstream
>             kthread cleanup
>                 especially nfs
>                 autofs
>             af_unix credentials (stores pid_t?)
>         net namespace
>         ro bind mounts
>     2. continuation with new namespaces
>         devpts, console, and ttydrivers
>         user
>         time
>         namespace management tools
>         namespace entering (using one of:)
>             bind_ns()
>             ns container subsystem
>             (vs refuse this functionality)
>         multiple /sys mounts
>             break /sys into smaller chunks?
>             shadow dirs vs namespaces
>         multiple proc mounts
>             likely need to extend on the work done for pid
> namespaces
>             i.e. other /proc files will need some care
>             virtualization of statistics for 'top',
> etc
>     3. any additional work needed for virtual servers?
>         i.e. in-kernel keyring usage for cross-namespace
> permissions, etc
>             nfs and rpc updates needed?
>             general security fixes
>                 per-container capabilities?
>             device access controls
>                 e.g. root in container should not have
> access to /dev/sda by default)
>             filesystems access controls
>             'container object'?
>             implementation (perhaps largely userspace
> abstraction)

```

- > container enter
- > container list
- > container shutdown notification
- >
- > 4. task containers functionality
- > base features
- > hierarchical/virtualized containers
- > support vserver mgmnt of sub-containers
- > locking cleanup
- > control file API simplification
- > userpace RBCE to provide controls for
- > users
- > groups
- > pgrp
- > executable
- > specific containers targeted:
- > split cpusets into
- > cpuset
- > memset
- > network
- > connect/bind/accept controller using
- > iptables
- > memory controller (see detail below)
- > cpu controller d (see detailbelow)
- > io controller (see detail below)
- > network flow id control
- > per-container OOM handler (userspace)
- > per-container swap
- > per-container disk I/O scheduling
- > per container memory reclaim
- > per container dirty page (write throttling) limit.
- > network rate limiting (outbound) based on
- > container
- > misc
- > User level APIS to identify the resource limits
- > that is allowed to a
- > job, for example, how much physical memory
- > a
- > process can use. This should seamlessly
- > integrated with non-container environment
- > as
- > well (may be with ulimit).
- > Per container stats, like pages on active list,
- > cpus usage, etc
- > memory controller
- > users and requirements:
- > 1. The containers solution would need
- > resource

> management (including memory control and
> per container swap files).
> Paul Menage, YAMOMOTO Takshi, Peter
> Zijlstra, Pavel Emelianov have all shown
> interest in the memory controller patches.
> 2. The memory controller can account for
> page
> cache as well, all people interested in
> limiting page cahce control, can
> theoratically put move all page cache
> hungry applications under the same
> container.
> Planned enhancements to the memory controller
> 1. Improved shared page accounting
> 2. Improved statistics
> 3. Soft-limit memory usage
> generic infrastructure work:
> 1. Enhancing containerstats
> a. Working on per controller
> statistics
> b. Integrating taskstats with
> containerstats
> 2. CPU accounting framework
> a. Migrate the accounting to be
> more precis
> cpu controller
> users and requirements:
> 1. Virtualization solutions like
> containers and
> KVM need CPU control. KVM for example
> would
> like to have both limits and guarantees
> supported by a CPU controller, to
> control CPU
> allocation to a particular instance.
> 2. Workload management products would like
> to exploit this for providing
> guaranteed cpu bandwidth and also
> (hard/soft) limiting cpu usage.
> work items
> 1. Fine-grained proportional-share
> fair-group scheduling.
> 2. More accurate SMP fairness
> 3. Hard limit
> 4. SCHED_FIFO type policy for groups
> 5. Improved statistics and debug facility
> for group scheduler
> io controller

- > users and requirements:
- > 1. At a talk presented to the Linux
- > Foundation
- > (OSDL), the attendees showed interest in
- > an IO
- > controller to control IO bandwidth of
- > various
- > filesystem operations (backup,
- > journalling,
- > etc)
- > work items:
- > 1. Proof of concept IO controller and
- > community discussion/feedback
- > 2. Development and Integration of the IO
- > controller with containers
- > open issues
- > 1. Automatic tagging/resource
- > classification engine
- >
- >
- > 5. checkpoint/restart
- > memory c/r
- > (there are a few designs and prototypes)
- > (though this may be ironed out by then)
- > per-container swapfile?
- > overall checkpoint strategy (one of:)
- > in-kernel
- > userspace-driven
- > hybrid
- > overall restart strategy
- > use freezer API
- > use suspend-to-disk?
- > sysvipc
- > "set identifier" syscall
- > pid namespace
- > clone_with_pid()
- > live migration
- >
- >
- > ===== Section 3 =====
- > =Use cases
- > ===== Section 3 =====
- >
- > 1, Namespaces:
- >
- > The most commonly listed uses for namespaces are virtual
- > servers and checkpoint restart. Other uses are debugging
- > (running tests in not-quite-virtual-servers) and resource

> isolation, such as the use of mounts namespaces to simulate
> multi-level directories for LSPP.
>
> 2. Task Containers:
>
> (Vatsa to fill in)
>
> 3. Checkpoint/restart
>
> load balancing:
> applications can be migrated from high-load systems to ones
> with a lower load. Long-running applications can be checkpointed
> (or migrated) to start a short-running high-load job, then
> restarted.
>
> kernel upgrades:
> A long-running application - or whole virtual server - can
> be migrated or checkpointed so that the system can be
> rebooted, and the application can continue to run
>
>
> ===== Section 4 =====
> =Involved parties
> ===== Section 4 =====
>
> In the list of stakeholders, I try to guess based on past comments and
> contributions what *general* area they are most likely to contribute in.
> I may try to narrow those down later, but am just trying to get something
> out the door right now before my next computer breaks.
>
> Stakeholders:
> Eric Biederman
> everything
> google
> task containers
> ibm (serge, dave, cedric, daniel)
> namespaces
> checkpoint/restart
> bull (benjamin, pierre)
> namespaces
> checkpoint/restart
> ibm (balbir, vatsa)
> task containers
> kerlabs
> checkpoint/restart
> openvz
> everything
> NEC Japan (Masahiko Takahashi)

> checkpoint/restart
> Linux-VServer
> namespaces+containers
> zap project
> checkpoint/restart
> planetlab
> everything
> hp
> network namespaces, virtual servers?
> XtremOS
> checkpoint/restart
> Fujitsu/VA Linux Japan
> resource control
> BLCR (Paul H. Hargrove)
> checkpoint/restart
>
> Is anyone else still missing from the list?
>
> thanks,
> -serge
>
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Alasdair G Kergon](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 00:03:17 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We are still hoping to have a speaker phone set up - you may want to prepare and distribute a dial-in number.

Alasdair
--
agk@redhat.com

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 00:25:36 GMT

"Kirill Kolyshkin" <kolyshkin@gmail.com> writes:

- > So, this is just to confirm the final details about container mini-summit which
- > will be held tomorrow.
- >
- > Time: starting at 9am 3th Sept.
- > Place: Cambridge's University Arms Hotel, room Churchill D.
- >
- >
- > Let's meet at the hotel lobby close to 9am and when go to the room.
- >
- > Eric, Paul,
- > Can you please clarify whether will you be able to present or not?

Not physically. I might be able to dial in if that is available, depends on how much I adjust my sleep schedule today before my trip. I won't be present physically until sometime on the 4th.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 03:51:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/2/07, Kirill Kolyshkin <kolyshkin@gmail.com> wrote:

- >
- > Eric, Paul,
- > Can you please clarify whether will you be able to present or not?
- >

I'll be dialling in or on Skype, depending on what's available.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 04:44:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, Sep 02, 2007 at 11:49:54PM +0100, Kirill Kolyshkin wrote:

> So, this is just to confirm the final details about container
> mini-summit which will be held tomorrow.

I am planning to attend this on phone (along with Dhaval and Vaidya from IBM).

--

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 08:22:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sun, Sep 02, 2007 at 11:49:54PM +0100, Kirill Kolyshkin wrote:

> So, this is just to confirm the final details about container
> mini-summit which will be held tomorrow.
>
> Time: starting at 9am 3th Sept.
> Place: Cambridge's University Arms Hotel, room Churchill D.

Hi Kirill,
What's the callin details for this conference?

Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 08:45:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello !

Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> Hello All,
>
> Some of us will meet next week for the first mini-summit on containers.
> Many thanks to Alasdair Kergon and LCE for the help they provided in
> making this mini-summit happen !
>
> It will be held on Monday the 3rd of September from 9:00 to 12:45 at LCE
> in room D. We also might get a phone line for external participants and,
> if not, we should be able to set up a skype phone.
>
> Here's a first try for the Agenda.
>
> Global items
>
> [let's try to defer discussion after presentation]
>
> * Pavel Emelianov status update

slides are available here :

<http://download.openvz.org/~xemul/minisummit.odp>

thanks,

C.

> * Serge E. Hallyn Container Roadmap including
> . task containers (Paul Menage)
> . resource management (Srivatsa Vaddagiri)
>
> Special items
>
> [brainstorm sessions which we would like to focus on]
>
> * building the global container object ('a la' openvz or vserver)
> * container user space tools
> * container checkpoint/restart
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> C.
>
>
>
> -----

>
>
> Containers mailing list
> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 09:03:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/3/07, Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> wrote:
>
> <http://download.openvz.org/~xemul/minisummit.odp>
>

I notice ?s against "Task scheduler" and "Network scheduler".

Is "Task scheduler" meant to represent "CPU scheduler" or "task count limit". If the former, CFS in the mainline should provide a lot of what we need, and has already been linked with task containers by Srivatsa Vaddagiri.

Network scheduling is already fairly advanced in Linux - all we need is a way to be able to feed container information into existing Linux traffic control concepts. We've played with an approach that lets us tag a container with a particular id, and then use that id as the primary classifier in a standard HTB controller, and it seems to be fairly successful.

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 09:32:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/3/07, Cedric Le Goater <clg@fr.ibm.com> wrote:
>> <http://download.openvz.org/~xemul/minisummit.odp>
>>
>
> I notice ?s against "Task scheduler" and "Network scheduler".
>
> Is "Task scheduler" meant to represent "CPU scheduler" or "task count
> limit". If the former, CFS in the mainline should provide a lot of
> what we need, and has already been linked with task containers by
> Srivatsa Vaddagiri.

Yes, task scheduler is the CPU scheduler.

> Network scheduling is already fairly advanced in Linux - all we need
> is a way to be able to feed container information into existing Linux
> traffic control concepts. We've played with an approach that lets us
> tag a container with a particular id, and then use that id as the
> primary classifier in a standard HTB controller, and it seems to be
> fairly successful.
>
> Paul
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 09:48:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 9/3/07, Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org> wrote:
>
> Yes, task scheduler is the CPU scheduler.

OK. Am I right in thinking that CFS is expected to provide most of the
CPU scheduler support that we need, when enhanced with Vatsa's group
scheduling patches?

Paul

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 09:50:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 9/3/07, Pavel Emelyanov <xemul@openvz.org> wrote:
>> Yes, task scheduler is the CPU scheduler.
>
> OK. Am I right in thinking that CFS is expected to provide most of the
> CPU scheduler support that we need, when enhanced with Vatsa's group
> scheduling patches?

I hope so :)

> Paul
>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: [RFC] Container mini-summit agenda for Sept 3, 2007
Posted by [Srivatsa Vaddagiri](#) on Mon, 03 Sep 2007 10:16:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Mon, Sep 03, 2007 at 02:48:23AM -0700, Paul Menage wrote:
> OK. Am I right in thinking that CFS is expected to provide most of the
> CPU scheduler support that we need, when enhanced with Vatsa's group
> scheduling patches?

CFS pretty much provides the core logic to fairly divide the cpu as per the weight of each group. One complication is with respect to SMP load balance, to ensure that each group gets its fair share on all the cpus put together.

We have been experimenting with few ideas on the smp group fairness and expect to send out the patches to Andrew in a week or two.

--
Regards,
vatsa

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
