
Subject: user namespaces config option

Posted by [Pavel Emelianov](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2007 11:40:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, Cedric, Serge.

I have noticed, that you have removed config options for uts and ipc namespaces but kept one for user namespace.

What's the policy about what namespaces should have config option? I thought, that the only code that is worth having under option is clone/destroy one to save .text size for people who don't need them (embedded).

Thanks,
Pavel

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Subject: Re: user namespaces config option

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Thu, 30 Aug 2007 16:44:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Pavel Emelyanov wrote:

> Hi, Cedric, Serge.

>

> I have noticed, that you have removed config options for
> uts and ipc namespaces but kept one for user namespace.

>

> What's the policy about what namespaces should have config
> option? I thought, that the only code that is worth having
> under option is clone/destroy one to save .text size for
> people who don't need them (embedded).

yes .text size is important for the embedded people but performance also. so if perf is not impacted and .text increase is reasonable, it's interesting to remove the config option and clarify the code at the same time. This was the case of uts and ipc ns.

user ns is far from complete and should be kept experimental.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
