
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/4] Container Freezer
Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 20 Jun 2007 16:47:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Cedric Le Goater (clg@fr.ibm.com):

> Dave Hansen wrote:

> > On Wed, 2007-06-20 at 17:07 +0200, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> >> This patchset is a prototype using the container infrastructure and

> >> the swsusp freezer to freeze a group of tasks.

> >

> > And this is useful because you don't want processes doing anything while

> > you try to checkpoint them, right? ;)

>

> right there's always a reason for a patchset :) The freezer is something

> already used by openvz. IBM's Metacluster uses a from kernel SIGSTOP signal.

>

> I would be in favor of adding a new c/r signal like other operating systems

> have done today and in the past. This is something to discuss.

What do you mean by "would be in favor of" - you'd prefer that to this subsystem?

I definately prefer using the freezer subsystem.

-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
